

# Roadmap Process of the Muon Beam Panel

Daniel Schulte for the Muon Collider Collaboration

D. Schulte

Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021

## Lepton Physics at High Energy

High energy lepton colliders are precision and discovery machines

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + (1 + \frac{k_3}{2})\lambda_{hhh}^{SM}vh^3 + (1 + \frac{k_4}{2})\lambda_{hhhh}^{SM}h^4$$

500 A. Wulzer TeV 200 sp 100 50 20 10 15 25 5 20 30  $\sqrt{s_{\mu}}$  [TeV]

Precision potential

Measure  $k_4$  to some 10% With 14 TeV, 20 ab<sup>-1</sup>

#### **Discovery reach**

14 TeV lepton collisions are comparable to 100 TeV proton collisions for production of heavy particle pairs

 $L \gtrsim \frac{5 \,\mathrm{years}}{\mathrm{time}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{s_{\mu}}}{10 \,\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^2 2 \cdot 10^{35} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ 

### **Luminosity goal**

(Factor O(3) less than CLIC at 3 TeV) 4x10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 14 TeV

D. Schulte Muon Collider, INFIERI, Madrid, August 2021





Chiesa, Maltoni, Mantani,

Mele, Piccinini, Zhao

**Preparatory Meeting** 

Muon Collider -

## Proton-driven Muon Collider Concept



The muon collider has been developed by the MAP collaboration mainly in the US Muon cooling demonstration by MICE in the UK, some effort on alternative mainly at INFN



Short, intense proton bunches to produce hadronic showers Muon are captured, bunched and then cooled by

ionisation cooling in matter

Acceleration to collision energy

Protons produce pions Pions decay to muons

Muon collider is unique for very high lepton collision

## Comparing Luminosity in MAP vs. CLIC

CLIC is at the limit of what one can do (decades of R&D)

No obvious way to improve

Luminosity per beam power increases with energy in muon collider

power efficient

#### Site is compact

 10 TeV comparable to 3 TeV CLIC

#### Staging is natural

 acceleration by a factor of a few is done in rings

## Appears to promise **cost** effectiveness

but need detailed study

# Other **synergies** exist (neutrino/higgs



### Muon collider promises unique opportunity for a **high-energy**, **high-luminosity lepton collider**

D. Schulte

and the second second second

## Luminosity Goals



Target integrated luminosities



Note: currently consider 3 TeV and either 10 or 14 TeV

- Tentative parameters achieve goal in 5 years
- FCC-hh to operate for 25 years
- Might integrate some margins
- Aim to have two detectors

Now study if these parameters lead to realistic design with acceptable cost and power Tentative target parameters Scaled from MAP parameters

Comparison: CLIC at 3 TeV: 28 MW

Parameter	Unit	3 TeV	10 TeV	14 TeV	
L	10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.8	20	40	
N	10 <sup>12</sup>	2.2	1.8	1.8	
f <sub>r</sub>	Hz	5	5	5	
P <sub>beam</sub>	MW	5.3	14.4	20	
С	km	4.5	10	14	
<b></b>	т	7	10.5	10.5	
ε	MeV m	7.5	7.5	7.5	
σ <sub>E</sub> / Ε	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	
σ	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
β	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
3	μm	25	25	25	
σ <sub>x,y</sub>	μm	3.0	0.9	0.63	

## **Key Challenge Areas**



### **10+ TeV is uncharted territory**

- **Physics potential** evaluation, including detector concept and technologies
- Impact on the environment
  - The **neutrino flux mitigation** and its impact on the site (first concept exists)
- The impact of **machine induced background** on the detector, as it might limit the physics reach.
- **High-energy systems** after the cooling (acceleration, collision, ...)
  - This can limit the energy reach via cost, power, technical risk and beam quality
- High-quality muon beam production
  - MAP did study this in detail
  - First experimental verification in MICE
  - Need to optimise and prepare cooling string demonstration
- Integrated Collider Design with choices, parameters, trade-offs, cost, power, site, ...
  - need to cover all accelerator areas



## **Neutrino Flux Mitigation**



Legal limit 1 mSv/year MAP goal < 0.1 mSv/year Our goal: arcs below threshold for legal procedure < 10 µSv/year LHC achieved < 5 µSv/year

### 3 TeV, 200 m deep tunnel is about OK

**Need mitigation of arcs at 10+ TeV**: idea of Mokhov, Ginneken to move beam in aperture our approach: move collider ring components, e.g. vertical bending with 1% of main field



Opening angle ± 1 mradian

14 TeV, in 200 m deep tunnel comparable to LHC case

Need to study mover system, magnet, connections and impact on beam

Working on different approaches for experimental insertion

## Physics Potential, Detector and MDI



Physics potential studies including detector and background

- Theory and phenomenology
- Detector technologies, simulation studies
- Collider and mask design
- Important effort is required

#### Main background sources

- Muon decay products (40,000 muons/m/crossing at 14 TeV)
- Beam-beam background
- Note: background reduces while beam burns off

### Mitigation methods

• masks

D. Schulte

- detector granularity
- detector timing
- solenoid field
- event reconstruction strategies



#### Simulation tools exist

First studies at lower energies (125 GeV and 1.5 TeV are encouraging (D. Lucchesi et al.) Will develop systems for higher energies

# **Proton Complex and Target Area**





Proton beam power is no issue, some look required at

H- source and accumulator and combiner complex

### **2 MW proton beam** requires radiation protection

**High field** to efficiently collect pions/muons: 20 T, then tapering Using copper solenoid in superconducting solenoid



Large aperture O(1.2m) to allow shielding

# **Cooling Concept**





Limit muon decay, cavities with **high gradient in a magnetic field** tests much better than design values but need to develop

**Compact integration** to minimise muon loss

Minimise betafunction with strongest solenoids (40+ T) 32 T achieved, 40+ T planned



Need to **optimise lattice design** to gain factor 2 in emittance, integrating demonstrated better hardware performances

This is the **unique** and **novel** system of the muon collider Will need a **test facility** The principle has been demonstrated in MICE

Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021

# MICE (in the UK)









More particles at smaller amplitude after absorber is put in place

Principle of ionisation cooling has been demonstrated



Nature volume 578, pages 53-59 (2020)

More complete experiment with higher statistics, more than one stage required

Integration of magnets, RF, absorbers, vacuum is engineering challenge

# **High-energy Acceleration**







FNAL 12 T/s HTS now 290 T/s Test of **fastramping normalconducting magnet** design



Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS)

- Combine static and ramping magnets
- Ramp magnets to follow beam energy
  - normal conducting
  - or novel HTS
- **Power consumption** of fast-ramping systems is important

**EMMA** proof of FFA principle

Nature Physics 8, 243– 247 (2012)



System of linacs followed by sequence of RCS and/or FFA

### RF system

 Important single-bunch beam loading

### FFA

- Fixed (high-field) magnets but large energy acceptance
- Challenging lattice design for large bandwidth and limited cost
- Complex high-field magnets
- Challenging beam dynamics

D. Schulte

12

## **Final Focus**



**Strong focusing** at IP to minimise betafunction and maximise luminosity

 $b^* \mu \frac{1}{E}$ 

**At 3 TeV**: Field level close to HL-LHC (12 vs 11 T) At 10+ TeV: Higher field is likely required

First considerations on 14 TeV Design (R: Tomas)





# **Collider Ring Arcs**



**High field dipoles** to minimise collider ring size and maximise luminosity

### Beam loss protection O(500 W/m)

### MAP 3 TeV example:

- 10.4 T in dipoles, 150 mm aperture
- 5 m-long combined function magnets with 8 T and 85 T/m and 9 T and -35 T/m
- 50/30 mm shielding
- Acceptable losses in cold mass: 1%, maximum of 1.5 mW/g

### At 10 TeV

- Currently no real design
- Ring length assume shorter ring per TeV at 10+ TeV, 16 T might be sufficient
- But will adjust to magnet performance
- Expect shielding/aperture not to increase dramatically with beam energy



### (V.V. Kashikhin et al.)



## Facility Design

### Design of the key accelerator systems

- e.g. muon cooling, collider ring, ...
- Lattice design with functional specifications
- Beam dynamics
- Neutrino flux mitigation (impact on beam, components and site)
  - Promising concept needs to be further developed
- Beam loss mitigation
  - Shielding and collimation, optics, component robustness
- Some basis from MAP studies but
  - Some challenges in proton complex
  - Need improvement for muon production and cooling complex
  - Novel design for 10 TeV and improvement of 3 TeV

**Develop R&D programme** to demonstrate functional specifications where they exceed state of the art and to develop maturity

- For implementation after next ESPPU
- Design of important systems
- Some experimental efforts already before next ESPPU

### Considerations on cost, power and site

- Identification of cost, power and site drivers (tentative list exists)
- Determination of cost scale
- and integration into overall optimisation



## Magnet Development

- Will depend on high-field programme (Roadmap), in particular for HTS
- Fast-ramping magnets and powering is muon collider specific
  - needs to be further developed, longest part of the accelerator
- For 3 TeV
  - Final cooling solenoid: small aperture, highest field
    - HTS solenoids are quite advanced but will know more in 5 years
    - goal 45 T (beam studies may relax), 32 T demonstrated, 40 T planned
    - risk is factor two in luminosity
  - Target solenoid is engineering challenge
    - Ni<sub>3</sub>Sn with resistive insert or HTS
    - engineering challenge, mitigation options can be explored
  - Other cooling solenoids within reach
  - Interaction region and collider arc magnets are very close
- In addition, at 10 TeV
  - Timescale depends on the HTS progress, will know more in 5 years
  - Have been warned to remain open for important progress





**NHFML** 32 T solenoid with HTS

Planned efforts to push even further

## Other Technology Development

RF:

- Proof of principle of cooling RF in high magnetic field exists for two options and reach more than the target gradient
  - Move from single demonstrations into practical cavities
- Superconducting RF needs to be further developed

Target:

- Studies of the shock by **beam impact** and of **radiation**
- Some material test to improve shock resistance

Neutrino radiation mitigation:

- Design the system
  - Impact on magnet, cryogenics etc.
  - Impact on operation

### Cooling cell design:

Very involved engineering design required

### Sofar, no showstopper identified no inconsistency with 10-20 years timescale identified

#### Will know at next ESPPU





MuCool: >50 MV/m in 5 T



7

## **Selected Recent Progress**

Ramping **magnet challenge** At 14 TeV, energy in field is O(200 MJ) Need to recover it pulse to pulse Started to develop **powering scheme** with energy recovery





S. Zadeh U. van Rienen

**RF challenge** (also for FFA):

High efficiency for power consumption High-charge (10 x HL-LHC), short, single-bunch beam Maintain small longitudinal emittance Studies on cavity wakefields and longitudinal dynamics started

**Collective effects** might be a bottleneck Revisiting for higher energies Need to develop tools for collective effects in matter



## Selected Recent Progress, cont.





#### **Collider Ring Lattice Design:**

Based on MAP design, lattice design for high energy is starting Started production of **radiation maps** and identified hot spots around IP and in arcs Need to include radiation considerations in lattice design



# **Demonstrator Considerations**



Muon cooling is the key novel and unique component of muon collider  $\Rightarrow$  need a test in a demonstrator Other technology challenges exist but can be addressed with prototypes (e.g. collider dipoles), also in other locations

Modular approach to demonstrator: start with minimum complex and upgrade as demonstration progresses

Identified components of test facility with approximate dimensions

Will also explore alternative options, if resources permit

• e.g. PIC, parametric ionization cooling



## CERN Site Example



Will consider site proposed by partners and at CERN, but need at least one

First option considered:

Could use CERN land close to TT10 and inject beam from PS (10<sup>13</sup> 26 GeV protons in 7ns, produces a few 10<sup>12</sup> muons per pulse)

Would be in molasse (no radiation to ground water), could accommodate 4 MW

Could later upgrade with SPL and accumulator ring to have full power option



## **Tentative Layout**





CERN TT10 branch



# Synergy

The Facility could accommodate other experiments.

- nuSTORM and potentially ENUBET could be branched from the target of the Demonstrator
- roughly half the nuSTORM cost would be shared
- 26 GeV/c beam from the PS is appropriate for nuSTORM
- Would be on CERN land (other location using SPS as injector is not but has higher proton energy)







Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021



## My Impression of Discussions

### MInternational Collaboration

### Muon collider has a high potential

- The muon collider presents enormous potential for fundamental physics research at the energy frontier.
- Not as mature as some other lepton collider options such as ILC and CLIC; but promises attractive cost, power consumption and time scale for the energy frontier, reaching beyond linear colliders.

#### **Challenges but no showstoppers**

- The panel identified the key R&D challenges.
- At this stage the panel did not identify any showstopper in the concept.
- Strong support of feasibility from previous studies.
- The panel considers baseline parameter set viable starting point.

#### Panel sees way forward

- The panel will propose the R&D effort that it considers essential to address these challenges during the next five years to a level that allows estimation of the performance and cost with greater certainty.
- Ongoing developments in underlying technologies will be exploited as they arise in order to ensure the best possible performance.
- This R&D effort will allow the next ESPPU to make fully informed decisions. It will also benefit equivalent strategy processes in other regions.

### and potential ramp-up

• Based on these decisions a significant ramp-up of resources could be envisaged, in particular if a fast implementation is deemed essential.

. ....

# European Accelerator R&D Roadmap



**Council** charged Laboratory Directors Group (LDG) to deliver European **Accelerator R&D Roadmap** by the end of the year

Panels

- Magnets: P. Vedrine
- Plasma: R. Assmann
- RF: S. Bousson
- Muons: D. Schulte
- ERL: M. Klein



Muon Beam Panel members: Daniel Schulte (CERN, chair), Mark Palmer (BNL, co-chair), Tabea Arndt (KIT), Antoine Chance (CEA/IRFU) Jean-Pierre Delahaye (retired), Angeles Faus-Golfe (IN2P3/IJClab), Simone Gilardoni (CERN), Philippe Lebrun (European Scientific Institute), Ken Long (Imperial College London), Elias Metral (CERN), Nadia Pastrone (INFN-Torino), Lionel Quettier (CEA/IRFU), Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC), Chris Rogers (STFC-RAL), Mike Seidel (EPFL and PSI), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL), Akira Yamamoto (KEK and CERN) Contributors: Alexej Grudiev (CERN), Donatella Lucchesi (INFN-Padua), Roberto Losito (CERN), Andrea Wulzer (EPFL, CERN, Padua)

Roles of panel members and European (other regions to be added) contact persons at https://muoncollider.web.cern.ch/organisation

D. Schulte Muon Collider, APS April Meeting, 2021

## International Muon Collider Collaboration



Objective:

In time for the next European Strategy for Particle Physics Update, the study aims to **establish whether the investment into a full CDR and a demonstrator is scientifically justified**.

It will provide a baseline concept, well-supported performance expectations and assess the associated key risks as well as cost and power consumption drivers. It will also identify an R&D path to demonstrate the feasibility of the collider.

Scope:

- Focus on two energy ranges:
  - **3 TeV**, if possible with technology ready for construction in 10-20 years
  - 10+ TeV, with more advanced technology, the reason to chose muon colliders
- Explore synergy with other options (neutrino/higgs factory)
- Define **R&D path**



## **Community Meeting Convener**



Conveners list (to be updated)

**Radio-Frequency (RF):** Alexej Grudiev (CERN), Jean-Pierre Delahaye (CERN retiree), Derun Li (LBNL), Akira Yamamoto (KEK).

*Magnets:* Lionel Quettier (CEA), Toru Ogitsu (KEK), Soren Prestemon (LBNL), Sasha Zlobin (FNAL), Emanuela Barzi (FNAL).

*High-Energy Complex (HEC):* Antoine Chance (CEA), J. Scott Berg (BNL), Alex Bogacz (JLAB), Christian Carli (CERN), Angeles Faus-Golfe (IJCLab), Eliana Gianfelice-Wendt (FNAL), Shinji Machida (RAL).

*Muon Production and Cooling (MPC):* Chris Rogers (RAL), Marco Calviani (CERN), Chris Densham (RAL), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL), Akira Sato (Osaka University), Katsuya Yonehara (FNAL).

**Proton Complex (PC):** Simone Gilardoni (CERN), Hannes Bartosik (CERN), Frank Gerigk (CERN), Natalia Milas (ESS).

**Beam Dynamics (BD):** Elias Metral (CERN), Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC and Stanford University), Rob Ryne (LBNL).

Radiation Protection (RP): Claudia Ahdida (CERN).

**Parameters, Power and Cost (PPC):** Daniel Schulte (CERN), Mark Palmer (BNL), Jean-Pierre Delahaye (CERN retiree), Philippe Lebrun (CERN retiree and ESI), Mike Seidel (PSI), Vladimir Shiltsev (FNAL), Jingyu Tang (IHEP), Akira Yamamoto (KEK).

*Machine Detector Interface (MDI):* Donatella Lucchesi (University of Padova), Christian Carli (CERN), Anton Lechner (CERN), Nicolai Mokhov (FNAL), Nadia Pastrone (INFN), Sergo R Jindariani (FNAL). *Synergy:* Kenneth Long (Imperial College), Roger Ruber (Uppsala University), Koichiro Shimomura (KEK).

Test Facility (TF): Roberto Losito (CERN), Alan Bross (FNAL), Tord Ekelof (ESS, Uppsala University).

## Timeline



#### Initial design phase 2021-2025

Establish whether investment into full CDR and demonstrator is scientifically justified. Provide a baseline concept, well-supported performance expectations and assess the associated key risks as well as cost and power consumption drivers.

Identify an R&D path toward the collider, considering Highfield Magnet and RF Roadmap results. Design phase 2026-Develop concept and technology to be ready to commit Verify performance of all key components. In particular, build cooling cell string and test with beam. Build and test magnet models and RF components. Start building industrial base for production. Develop site

Decision to move to technical design Pre-commitment to project

and infrastructure. Determine

cost, power, construction

schedule. Optimise design.

#### **Technical design phase**

Prepare approval and project implementation Prepare industrial production of components, e.g. build magnet prototypes and preseries with industry. Prepare site for construction. Refine cost, power and construction schedule.

**Project Approval** 

**Strategy decision (2026)** Define performance goals and timeline for muon collider Potentially ramp up of muon collider effort

D. Schulte

8\_\_\_\_\_

## **Ongoing Timeline Discussions**



Muon collider is a long-term direction toward high-energy, high-luminosity lepton collider

Collaboration prudently also explores if muon collider can be option as next project (i.e. operation mid2040s) in case Europe does not build higgs factory

Exploring shortest possible aggressive timeline with initial 3 TeV stage on the way to 10+ TeV

• Important ramp-up 2026

**High-field magnet and RF programmes** will allow to judge maturity what can be reached in a collider with this timeline

**Preparation of R&D programme** needs to be advanced enough for implementation after next ESPPU

Based on strategy decisions a significant ramp-up of resources could be made to accomplish construction by 2045 and exploit the enormous potential of the muon collider.

### Tentative Target for Aggressive Timeline

to assess when 3 TeV could be realised, assuming massive ramp-up in 2026



## Conclusion



- Muon colliders are a unique opportunity for a high-energy, high-luminosity<sup>c</sup> lepton collider
  - high luminosity to beam power ratio
  - cost efficiency to be assessed
- Two different options considered
  - 3 TeV collider that can start construction in less than 20 years
  - 10 TeV collider that uses advanced technologies
- Not as mature as ILC or CLIC
  - have to address important R&D items
  - but no showstopper identified
- Aim to develop concept to a maturity level that allows to make informed choices by the next ESPPU and other strategy processes
  - Baseline design

D. Schulte

- R&D and demonstration programme
- An important opportunity that we should not miss
- <u>http://muoncollider.web.cern.ch</u>

Many thanks to the Muon Beam Panel, the collaboration, the MAP study, the MICE collaboration, and many others



## Reserve



The second

-----

## Memorandum of Cooperation



CERN is initially hosting the study

- International collaboration board (ICB) representing all partners
  - elect chair and study leader
  - can invite other partners to discuss but not vote (to include institutes that cannot sign yet)
- Study leader
- Advisory committee reporting to ICB

Addenda to describe actual contribution of partners

-1-

# **MDI and Detector Design**



BIB particles not coming from primary vertex, double layer structure can be exploited correlate hit pairs on adjacent sensors to estimate incoming particle direction.

Vertex detector properly designed to not overlap with the BIB hottest spots around the interaction region.



Collider

Tracking performance have been studied applying timing on clusters reconstruction compatible with IP time spread.

# **Collaboration Timeline Goals**



### **3 TeV collider option**

- Goal: option ready to take data before 2045
- Important step up in energy after a higgs factory
- Maximum energy of CLIC
  - CLIC integrated cost is 18 GCHF and it uses 590 MW power)
  - aim for significantly lower cost and power consumption
- One option with technologies expected to be available in 15-20 years
  - will adjust design accordingly

### 10+ TeV collider option

- Goal: Highest lepton energies, well above the reach even of CLIC
- To explore energy reach for a realistic collider and understand if muon collider is right direction for long-term future
  - aim for competitive cost and power
- Employing advanced technologies, not yet concerned about schedule
- Could be upgrade of a 3 TeV collider
  - splitting the cost into two stages, only 3 TeV collider ring cost is lost

# Test Programme



# High-energy complex mostly consists of known components with pushed performances

- Can be tested as individual prototypes
- Synergies with other developments exist
- Some beam experiments might be useful but could be considered at other accelerators, e.g. control of longitudinal phase space

### Production and cooling complex is novel and unique to the muon collider

- Many components are unconventional
  - e.g. high-gradient cavities in magnetic field with Be windows or filled with gas
  - massive use of absorbers in the beam path
- Novel technologies beyond MAP design can be considered
  - e.g. very short RF pulse to reduce breakdown probability
  - e.g. use of cooled copper
- Also compact integration is required to maximise muon survival
  - strong superconducting solenoids next to RF at room temperature
  - complex lattice design optimisation
- Almost no experience with beam in these components
  - MICE has been a limited model (no RF, single muons, ...)
- $\Rightarrow$  Need to have a test facility that produces and cools muons

## **Cooling Challenges and Status**



FNAL 12 T/s HTS 0.6 T max

Need to push in field and speed



Test of **fast-ramping normal-conducting magnet** design MuCool: >50 MV/m in 5 T field

Two solutions

- Copper cavities filled with hydrogen
- Be end caps







NHFML 32 T solenoid with HTS

Planned efforts to push even further MICE (UK) Muon cooling principle



Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021



D. Schulte Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021

## Physics at Muon Collider



### Muon Collider can be the game changer

#### Muon collider physics potential

D. Buttazzo

A high-energy muon collider is simply a dream machine: allows to probe unprecedented energy scales, exploring many different directions at once!



- Theory input needed: define energy, luminosity and detector performance goals — physics potential of a multi-TeV muon collider
- Great interest in the theory community:

 1807.04743
 2005.10289
 2008.12204
 2012.11555
 2102.11229
 2104.05720

 1901.06150
 2006.16277
 2009.11287
 2101.10334
 2103.01617
 etc ...

 2003.13628
 2007.14300
 2012.02769
 2102.08366
 2103.14043



### CONCLUSIONS

There are BROAD EXCITING PHYSICS THEMES to pursue at future colliders:

Dark Matter, Baryogenesis, SUSY, Compositences, flavor origins parallel gange sectors, long-lived particles, precision Higgs structure

Need a collider at highest energies, clean enough & with sensitive enough detectors, to pursue both high mass &/or weakly coupled BSM at high precision & to excite & challenge next generation of experimentalists.

If new physics (dimly) seen in DM, flavor, EDM, precision, gravitational wave, cosmological expts., we need collider with reach/precision to complement, corroborate, clarify

### A Muon Collider is great! P. Maede

The Muon Smasher's Guide

к-0	HL-LHC	LHeC	HE	LHC		ILC			CLIC		CEPC	FC	C-ee	FCC-ee/	$\mu^+\mu^-$
fit			S2	S2'	250	500	1000	380	1500	3000		240	365	eh/hh	10000
$\kappa_W$ [%]	1.7	0.75	1.4	0.98	1.8	0.29	0.24	0.86	0.16	0.11	1.3	1.3	0.43	0.14	0.06
$\kappa_Z~[\%]$	1.5	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.29	0.23	0.22	0.5	0.26	0.23	0.14	0.20	0.17	0.12	0.23
$\kappa_g~[\%]$	2.3	3.6	1.9	1.2	2.3	0.97	0.66	2.5	1.3	0.9	1.5	1.7	1.0	0.49	0.15
$\kappa_{\gamma}$ [%]	1.9	7.6	1.6	1.2	6.7	3.4	1.9	98*	5.0	2.2	3.7	4.7	3.9	0.29	0.64
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ [%]	10.	-	5.7	3.8	$99\star$	$86\star$	$85\star$	$120 \star$	15	6.9	8.2	$81\star$	$75\star$	0.69	1.0
$\kappa_c~[\%]$	-	4.1	-	-	2.5	1.3	0.9	4.3	1.8	1.4	2.2	1.8	1.3	0.95	0.89
$\kappa_t ~ [\%]$	3.3	-	2.8	1.7	-	6.9	1.6	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	1.0	6.0
$\kappa_b$ [%]	3.6	2.1	3.2	2.3	1.8	0.58	0.48	1.9	0.46	0.37	1.2	1.3	0.67	0.43	0.16
$\kappa_{\mu}$ [%]	4.6	-	2.5	1.7	15	9.4	6.2	320×	13	5.8	8.9	10	8.9	0.41	2.0
$\kappa_{\tau}~[\%]$	1.9	3.3	1.5	1.1	1.9	0.70	0.57	3.0	1.3	0.88	1.3	1.4	0.73	0.44	0.31

P. Maede



#### Double Higgs production

+ Reach on Higgs trilinear coupling:  $hh \rightarrow 4b$ 

B, Franceschini, Wulzer 2012.11555 Costantini et al. 2005.10289

Han et al. 2008.12204

E [TeV]	£ [ab-1]	N <sub>rec</sub>	$\delta\sigma \sim N_{\rm rec}^{-1/2}$	δκ3
3	5	170	~ 7.5%	~ 10%
10	10	620	~ 4%	~ 5%
14	20	1340	~ 2.7%	~ 3.5%
30	90	6'300	~ 1.2%	~ 1.5%

Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021

## **Challenges and Status**



**FNAL** 12 T/s HTS 0.6 T max

now 290 T/s



Test of **fast-ramping normal-conducting magnet** design MuCool: >50 MV/m in 5 T field

Two solutions

- Copper cavities filled with hydrogen
- Be end caps







NHFML 32 T solenoid with HTS

Planned efforts to push even further MICE (UK) Muon cooling principle



Muon Collider, NuFact, September 2021

# Example Cell Designs



Main 6D-cooling has many magnets and needs tight integration with RF and absorbers



Are already aware of slightly violated space constraints

• maybe cool copper can help both gradient, space and peak power

Alignment has to be integrated (e.g. additional bellows)

Beam operation is important, e.g. beam position on absorber wedge, diagnostics integration, ...