Explainability of High Energy Physics events classification using SHAP.

Raquel Pezoa^{1,3}, Luis Salinas^{2,3}, and Claudio Torres^{2,3} (1) Escuela de Ingeniería Informática, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile. (2) Departamento de Informática, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María (3) Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso. raquel.pezoa@uv.cl, luis.salinas@usm.cl, claudio.torres@usm.cl

1. Introduction

• Understanding the predictions of a machine learning model can be as important as achieving high performance. In scientific domains, the model interpretation can enhance the model's performance, helping to trust them accurately for its use on real data and for knowledge discovery.

• eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) [1] proposes methods for producing more transparent models, as oppose to black-box models, and for understanding the predictions of **machine learning** (ML) models.

• In High Energy Physics (HEP), the complex nature of the physics processes and data has required the use of complex machine learning models \rightarrow black-box systems that lack transparency and interpretability for getting a better model performance. This work is focused on the use of the SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) [2] for interpreting ML classification models of HEP data.

2. HEP Event Classification

3. SHAP, SHapley Additive exPlanations



Universidad

de Valparaíso

CHILE



• Event classification is a fundamental task in HEP. In this work we classify the events of the public **Higgs dataset**^{*a*} corresponding to simulated data, for separating the signal: $gg \rightarrow$ $H^0 \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm} \to W^{\mp} W^{\pm} h^0 \to W^{\mp} W^{\pm} b\bar{b}$ from the background, for identification purposes.



• We used two ML approaches: **eXtreme Gra**dient Boosting (XGBoost) and deep neural networks (DNN).

• Each event is represented by 28 feature, and models were trained in the CCTVal cluster^b, using Python libraries: XGBoost, Keras, and Talos.

• SHAP [2] is a *post-hoc* explainability technique of the XAI field—for interpreting a ML models. • It is based on game theory and provides local interpretability assigning to each feature an importance value for a particular sample's prediction. • Let f(x) be a ML model that predicts y from an input $x = [x_1, ..., x_d]$. SHAP explains the prediction of each particular sample $x^{(i)}$ by assigning values $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_d$ -the SHAP values - to each feature $x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_d^{(i)}$.



^aBaldi et al. Searching for Exotic Particles in Highenergy Physics with Deep Learning. Nature Communications, 2014.

^bhttp://www.hpc.utfsm.cl

• We compute **SHAP** values with the SHAP Python library [3], using the **TreeExplainer** and **DeepExplainer** methods for XGBoost and DNN event classifiers, respectively. The waterfall plot displays explanations for individual predictions.

0.9324

4. Interpretability of HEP Events Classifiers with SHAP

shap.plots.force_plot shows for a particular event, the contribution of each feature to the model prediction. The

shap.force_plot(), TreeExplainer. \rightarrow event $x^{(0)}$, y = 0, $f(x^{(0)}) = 0.42$ higher \rightleftharpoons lower base value 0.0.42 0.08497 0.2016 0.5308 0.651 0.8353 0.1328 0.2939 0.7546 0.8932 jet1pt = 0.6434 m_jlv = 0.9441 m_jjj = 0.9976 jet2pt = 0.6465 jet4b-tag = 3.1 lepton_pT = 0.677 m_wwbb = 0.7782 m_bb = 0.8341 m_wbb = 0.7717 feature m_{bb} with value 0.8341 increases feature m_{wbb} with value 0.7717 decreases the model's prediction (it pushes to predict background) the model's prediction

shap.force_plot(), DeepExplainer. → event $x^{(96)}$, y = 1, $f(x^{(96)}) = 0.60$ higher \rightleftharpoons lower f(x)base value 0.60 629 0.02898 0.529 0.729 1.029 0.129 0.329 0.429 0.829 0.929 1.129).07102 0.229 m_wbb = 0.6934 = 1.457 jet2eta = 2.481 missing_energy_magnitude = 0.2783 m_bb = 0.7835 m_wwbb = 0.6259 jet1pt = 0.5815 jet2pt = 0.2756





feature m_{wwbb} with value 1.084 increases the model's prediction (it pushes to predict signal) feature m_{wbb} with value 1.268 decreases the model's prediction

• The shap.summary_plot shows the most important features and their range of effects over the events dataset. From our experiments, the top variables obtained for the XGBoost classifier were m_{bb} , m_{wwbb} , m_{wbb} , m_{jjj} , m_{jlv} , $jet1_{pt}$. For the DNN case, the top variables were m_{bb} , m_{wwbb} , m_{wbb} , m_{jjj} , m_{jlv} , m_{jlv} , m_{jlv} , m_{jlv} , m_{jlv} , m_{mvb} , m_{wbb} , m_{wbb} , m_{wbb} , m_{mvb} , $m_$ jet_{pt} . We can observe, that the high-level features belong to the top ranking, and hence, they contribute more to the model prediction. • Based on local explainability, SHAP allows to generate global explainability (ranking of features). More plots available at https://github.com/rpezoa/hep_shap

5. Conclusions and Future Work

•SHAP method in HEP is recent, hence interpretation of ML models using SHAP in HEP has a huge potential for the improvement of the model's accuracy • Future work includes to develop a framework to explain different ML models using SHAP and datasets of other HEP phenomena

• This research was partially supported by FONDECYT Postdoc Project N. 3190740 and ANID PIA/APOYO AFB180002.

6. References

[1] A Barredo Arrieta et al. Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI). Information Fusion, 2020.

[2] S Lundberg et al. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst, 2017.