

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



# **Particle Decay Tree Reconstruction with Graph Neural Networks**

Lea Reuter<sup>1,\*</sup>, James Kahn<sup>2,3</sup>, Ilias Tsaklidis<sup>4</sup>, Oskar Taubert<sup>2</sup>, Markus Götz<sup>2,3</sup>, Giulio Dujany<sup>5</sup>, Tobias Boeck<sup>4</sup>, Arthur Thaller<sup>5</sup>, Torben Ferber<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Goldenzweig<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Insitute for Experimental Particle Physics (ETP), Karlsruhe Institue of Technology (KIT) <sup>2</sup>Steinbuch Centre for Computing (SCC), Karlsruhe Institue of Technology (KIT) <sup>4</sup>Physikalisches Institut der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn <sup>3</sup>Helmholtz AI, Karlsruhe Institue of Technology (KIT) <sup>5</sup>Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC, UMR 7178

Neural Network approach to Event Reconstruction

#### **Challenges of Event Reconstruction**

Heavy particles produced in collisions decay rapidly until stable daughter particles can reach the detector

- Particles can escape the detector undetected and particle reconstruction is imperfect  $\rightarrow$  can only use detected particles
- Large amount of possible decay channels leads to unknown number of intermediate particles and an unknown tree structure
- different multiplicities in event decays  $\rightarrow$  network must handle a variable number of particles

#### Have to predict tree structure using only detected particles

Propose new method applicable for event tagging by reconstructing the tag side



owest Common Ancestor (LCA) Matrix	LCA (generation view)							Training LCA Matrix (Including Detector Effects)								
		T 7-1	<b>•</b> (	<b>•</b> ′	_	+			$\gamma_{ m s}$	?	$\gamma$	$\gamma$	$\pi^{-}$	$\pi^+$	$\gamma_{ m s}$	
$K^+$ $\gamma$ $\gamma$ $\pi$ $\pi^-$	Ī	K <sup>+</sup>	Ŷ	γ	$\pi$	$\pi$		$\gamma_{ m s}$	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$+ \frac{K^+}{D^0} \frac{D^0}{D^0} \frac{D^0}{B^+}$	$K^{+}$	0	2	2	2	3		?	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	
$\gamma D^0 \gamma \pi^0 D^0 B^+$	$\gamma$	2	0	1	2	3		· ~	0	•	1	1	ິ ວ	2	0	
$\gamma D^0 \pi^0 \gamma D^0 B^+$	$\gamma$	2	1	0	2	3		Ŷ	0	0	- 1	l	2	3	0	
$- D^0 D^0 \overline{D}^0 \pi B^+$	$\pi^{-}$	2	2	2	0	3		$\gamma$	0	0	1	-1	2	3	0	
$+$ D D D $\pi$ D	~~ +	2	2	2	0	0		$\pi^{-}$	0	0	2	2	-1	3	0	
$ B^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} B^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} B^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} B^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} B^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle  au} $	$\pi$ '	3	3	3	3	0		$\pi^+$	0	0	3	3	3	-1	0	
								~	•	-	5	5	5	-	<u> </u>	

 $\gamma_{\rm s}$  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1

#### **Encoding the Particle Decay Tree**

- The natural representation of a particle decay tree is a rooted, directed, acyclic tree graph
- Use lowest common ancestor (LCA) matrix representation to encode graph structure as final state particle (FSP) relations
  - any two detected particles connected via lowest common parent
  - intermediate particles assigned classes corresponding to generation
- LCA extracted from event generation and detector simulation, use as **single target** for training neural network
  - used Belle II simulated datasets [1] for benchmark studies

#### Neural Relational Inference (NRI) encoder model Legend Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) Block: Utilise Graph Neural Network based Transition Layers Description - 2 Linear Layers with ELU activation Build additional fully connected graph out of Forward pass Batchnorm Layer ----> Residual connection detected particles Node Embedding on modified encoder from the NRI [2] Layers Block Multiple MLP Blocks

- Input the kinematic features of de- $E_{\gamma\,\mathsf{s}}\left|p_{x\gamma\,\mathsf{s}}\right|p_{y\gamma\,\mathsf{s}}\left|p_{z\gamma\,\mathsf{s}}\right|$ tected particles
- Predict the LCA as edge-weights between fully connected graph of input particles



## Proof of concept on single Belle II simulated decay



- Training on decay:  $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} (\rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) \pi^-) \pi^+$ with different background levels caused by detector effects
- Scaling of performance based on background levels
  - Predictive capacity of 86.6% for realistic case

### Mixed Dataset of Belle II simulated decays



- Trained on a mix of six decay channels (incl. missing particles)
- correctly predicted LCA matrix 43.2% compared to 31.8% on transformer model baseline [3]
- achieves high accuracy (correctly predicted LCA entries) of 85.6% for different decay topologies

#### **Conclusion and Outlook**

We have demonstrated a novel approach to deal with scenarios where the structure of the rooted tree graph representing a particle decay is unknown. Namely, we implemented a method of encoding the entire decay tree structure into a single matrix which relies on minimal assumptions about the intermediate particles. This method allows for training on the entire decay structure as a single training target. This method was tested on Belle II simulated data on

- a single decay study including various detector effects, showing that the approach is suitable for experimental realities
- a mix of different decay channels

Current work is demonstrating decay tree reconstruction on all Belle II simulated decays and comparing with the existing reconstruction algorithm.

[1] Belle II software: Kuhr, T., Pulvermacher, C., Ritter, M., Hauth, T. and Braun, N. The Belle II Core Software. In Computing and Software for Big Science, vol. 3 no. 1 (2018). [2] NRI Model: Kipf, T., Fetaya, E., Wang, K.-C., Welling, M. and Zemel, R. Neural Relational Inference for Interacting Systems. In Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML '18), vol. 80 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 2688–2697 (PMLR, 2018). [3] Transformer Model: Vaswani, A.et al. Attention Is All You Need. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 30 (Curran Associates, Inc., 2017).

\* lea.reuter@student.kit.edu

ACAT 2021, Daejeon, poster #727

