

35<sup>th</sup> RD50 Workshop Radiation hard semiconductor devices

## Review of neutron irradiated 6" SoI LGAD sensors CNM 11486

Evangelos – Leonidas Gkougkousis

Institut de Física d'Altes Energies

CERN – November 19th, 2019

## •Overview

Introduction

Electrical characterisation

Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameters

Conclusions

• Introduction

- Structure descriptio0n and irradiations
- Electrical characterisation
  - IV measurements
  - Gain modelling and breakdown definition
  - Acceptor removal coefficient
  - Gain reduction computation

### • Dark Rate measurements

- Methodology
- Maximum operating points
- Charged particle measurements
  - Introduction and setup
  - Gain, Time resolution and charge estimation
  - Efficiency
- Operating Parameters
  - HV Envelope and headroom
  - Power dissipation
- Conclusions and plans

# •Introduction

#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameter

Conclusions

- First 6" CNM LGAD run
- > 50µm active on 250µm SoI substrate
- Only single diodes
- ➢ 2 types of structures:
  - > 3x3 mm<sup>2</sup> active on 5x5mm<sup>2</sup> die
  - > 1.3x1.3 mm<sup>2</sup> active on 3x3mm<sup>2</sup> die



> 2 gain layer doping splits: medium (wafer 2) & high (wafer 3)

Fluence (n <sub>eq</sub> /cm²)	Irradiated devices				Neutr Fast
	Wafer 2	Wafer 3	PINs	Annealing	-dons@JSI
3e14	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	80 min @ 60 °C	<ul> <li>Irradiated in July 2019</li> <li>Not an official campaign</li> <li>A number of groups has received them</li> </ul>
7e14	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>		
1e15	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>		
3e15	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>		
5e15	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>	2 5x5mm <sup>2</sup>		

19 / 10 / 2019

#### Introduction

## Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurement

Operating parameter

Conclusion



- ✓ Measure separately GR and Pad current
- $\checkmark$  Measurements at -10, -20 and -30C
- ✓ Scaling with temperature and fluence corresponds to expectations





19 / 10 / 2019

#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameter

Conclusion

### Breakdown Mode Gain Breakdown

- Increased current from pad
- Ratio of I<sub>pad</sub>/I<sub>GR</sub> diverges
- Case at 3e14 and 7e14
- More gain, higher the ratio



- Increase current from Guard Ring
- Ratio of I<sub>pad</sub>/I<sub>GR</sub> converges
- Case for 1e15, 3e15 and 5e15
- Case also for PINs at any fluence



## IV & Breakdown

#### Introduction

#### **Electrical** characterisation

Current (A)



- $\checkmark$  Measure pad IV (-10°C, -20°C, -30°C)
- Select a stable range where behaviour follows Schottky model
- $\checkmark$  Define common for all temperatures stable range, after depletion and much before breakdown
- ✓ Perform exponential fit and request  $R^2 ≥$ 99%
- $\checkmark$  Calculate the multiplier with respect to the expected current

### Define breakdown in multiplier value



#### Introduction

### Breakdown Voltage

## Electrical characterisation

Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameter

Conclusions



- ✓ Breakdown defined as the point where leakage current is twice the expected current
- ✓ Definition considers gain
- ✓ Gain is modeled as an exponential increase on top of the exponential bulk current
- ✓ Final model is the convolution of 2 exponentials



19 / 10 / 2019



Gain depletion Voltage					
Fluence (n <sub>eq</sub> /cm²)	-20°C	-30 <i>°</i> C			
unirrad.	-34	-34			
3e14	-25	-25			
7e14	-20	-20			
1e15	-15	-15			
3e15	-6	-6			
5e15	0	0			





#### Introduction

## Electrical characterisation



Charged Particle measurement

Operating parameter

Conclusions



- ✓ Since GR and pad share same bulk and p back-side, have the same radiation effects
- ✓ Geometrical factor not stable across fluences
- ✓ The fit is expected to be straight line in the exponential plain





#### 19 / 10 / 2019

Introduction

Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameters

Conclusions

### Concepts & Methods

- ✓ Sensors with gain present dark rate at high enough voltages
- ✓ Dark rate events result of thermal movement and random in nature
- $\checkmark$  Follow the Poisson distribution

#### Quantification



+ . . . . . .

. .

- ✓ Study the time between consecutive self-triggering
- ✓ Use mean of 4 events (3 values) to reject cosmic background

Self-trigger time: 
$$\Delta T_{trig}^{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (T_{j+1}^{trig} - T_{j}^{trig})}{n}$$
  
Self-trigger Rate:  $R_{trig}^{i} = \frac{1}{\Delta T_{trigg}^{i}}$   
Median of several rate measurements  $\widetilde{R_{trig}} = \frac{R_{trig \lfloor (\#k+1) \div 2 \rfloor} + R_{trig \lceil (\#k+1) \div 2 \rceil}}{2}$ 



19 / 10 / 2019

Introduction

Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurement

Operating parameter

Conclusions

### Max. operating voltage

- $\checkmark\,$  Limitation on operating voltage occurs from dark rate
- $\checkmark$  Appears sooner than breakdown
- ✓ As gain is removed, the operating point is pused closer to the breakdown value
- $\checkmark\,$  Depends highly on the diffusion profile of the gain layer
- $\checkmark\,$  Instabilities at high radiation fluences and dense profiles



19 / 10 / 2019







 $\geq$ 

 $\triangleright$ 

Introduction

characterisation

**Electrical** 

Charged Particle measurements



#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameter

Conclusions



- $\checkmark$  1000 events per point
- ✓ Very low collected charge (< 3fq for irradiated sensors)
- $\checkmark$  High active area with larger capacitance
- ✓ Preliminary results, study ongoing



#### Introduction

## Collected Charge



#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

#### Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameter

Conclusions

### Efficiency vs HV

- ✓ Sr90 source follows exponential decay
- Sensor trigger distribution is convoluted with efficiency
- ✓ For 100% efficient sensor, median of trigger rate corresponds to radioactive decay





19 / 10 / 2019

### Efficiency vs Headroom

Introduction



#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

Dark Rate



Operating parameter

Conclusions



- ✓ 1.3x1.3 active area sensor becomes efficient rapidly
- ✓ Larger area (3x3) sensor has a hysteresis
- ✓ Possibly due to metallization opening over pad where field is reduced



MIP Relative Efficiency, CNM 11486 - neutron irradiated



- ✓ No significant effect on temperature variations
- ✓ 7e14 sensor never becomes fully efficient
- ✓ Limited in operating voltage by sensor stability

## Conclusions & Outlook

#### Introduction

Electrical characterisation

Dark Rate

Charged Particle measurements

Operating parameters

Conclusions

✓ The CNM 11486 6" run was tested

- 1. Electrical tests
  - ✓ A gain reduction was observed with 12% expected gain left at 3e15
  - ✓ Breakdown voltage was defined and is expected to no be a limiting factor
  - $\checkmark$  Acceptor removal observed following the exponential decrease
- 2. Dark rate
  - ✓ Dark rate was observed for non-irradiated and mildly irradiated sensors up to 10khz at lower than breakdown voltage
  - $\checkmark$  Main limiting factor in voltage operation
- 3. Charge particle studies
  - ✓ 50psec time resolution for the 1.3x1.3 diodes and 70psec for the 3x3mm2
  - ✓ Low collected charge for both irradiated and uneradicated
- 4. Efficiency
  - ✓ 3e15 & 7e14 fluences never become fully efficient
  - ✓ Unirradiated and higher fluences reach 100% efficiency with st least 20V headroom

## Backup

### PIN Breakdown Mode



19 / 10 / 2019

### 5e15, double junction





19 / 10 / 2019

### Acceptor removal





19 / 10 / 2019

### WaveForm



### **PIN Diodes**



19 / 10 / 2019