


CPU BENCHMARKING IN THE ALICE EXPERIMENT




Students:
Avram Alexandru
Garaiman Andres

Supervisors:
Costin Grigoras
Latchezar Betev




PURPOSE

- Our project aims to study the infrastructure and the different types of CPUs in the ALICE GRID, by creating a benchmark that will then be compared with the MonteCarlo simulation data;




PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- Collect statistics on the CPU types available to ALICE Grid jobs
- Implement a CPU benchmark that would evaluate the performance of those cores
- Run it on the distributed computing infrastructure
- Correlate the CPU model with the results of the benchmark




BENCHMARK

- In order to create the benchmark, we wrote a C++ program based on the backtracking algorithm and we analysed the time needed for computation, for each CPU model available.



DATA COLLECTION

- To collect the data needed for the CPU performance analysis, we performed around 400,000 jobs in the AliMonitor, and (after some bugs and errors) we ended up with 100,000 viable data.
- The collected data consists of:
 - CPU model
 - No. of cores
 - Max. Frequency
 - CPU load
 - Execution Site
 - Computing time (result of the benchmark)




STATISTICS



After we finished collecting data, we started working on the following statistics:


- Comparison between the most used Intel CPU model and the most used AMD CPU model;
- Job distribution on different sites around the world;
- Correlation between our benchmark results and MonteCarlo simulations;




AMD VS. INTEL

Comparison between the most used CPU models

AMD EPYC 7302 16-Core Processor






Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4216 CPU @ 2.10GHz



OUR INTERPRETATION

- Firstly, we noticed that our benchmark returned similar execution time on all tests performed by the AMD processors, while on the Intel CPUs there was a wide distribution of the duration values;
- We think this is due to the different architectures of the two CPU models: the AMD model has a stable behaviour, while the Intel model is strongly influenced by the Turbo Boost technology, because it changes the maximum frequency and how the data is processed according to the given job;






This plot shows the result of our benchmark, correlated with the CPU load;



- The AMD processor clearly outperformed the Intel one, because it maintained a stable execution time with the increase of workload;
- On the other hand, for the Intel Xeon Silver 4216 CPU, the performance time varies a lot depending on the workload;



A VISUALISATION OF THE ALICE SITES WORLDWIDE




...AND SOME MORE DETAILED MAPS



ALICE GRID JOBS DISTRIBUTION


Job distribution on sites



In total, we ran around 100,000 jobs in 36 different sites around the world, on 90 different CPU models.




MonteCarlo-Benchmark Correlation



CONCLUSIONS

- Why can't we see an obvious correlation?
 - One reason is that our benchmark uses a rather simple code and tests the performance on one single core. It is best used for analysing the performance of “brute force”-type applications and other single-core processes. That is why our results may differ from others produced by more specialised benchmarks.
 - Another explanation is that MonteCarlo is a complex simulation that runs on multiple cores and involves more complicated algorithms, making use of the advanced features of the CPUs, like Turbo Boosting.



WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED?

- ✓ We think that the most valuable lesson here is that research of any kind implies a lot of work, patience, persistence and not giving up. Even though you might not get your expected results, you should always continue your exploration, improving your methods all along the way.
- ✓ We also learned more about the ALICE Experiment and its computing infrastructure.
- ✓ Last, but not least, we were introduced to the world of CERN and we had a great time discovering its people and its technologies.



SPECIAL THANKS

- ❖ We want to thank our supervisors, **Costin Grigoras** and **Latchezar Betev**, for all their support.
- ❖ We also want to thank the amazing people that we met here and, let's not forget, the organizing team that made all of this possible.
- ❖ We hope to see you again soon!!!.....

