# Distribution of participants & organisers by gender (1)


● Male
● Female
● Rather not say

Participants (1300 persons)

Male 68 %
Female 29 %
Rather not say 3 %

Speakers (257 persons)

Male 72 %
Female 25 %
Rather not say 3 %

Conveners (75 persons)

Male 59 %
Female 33 %
Rather not say 7 %

Distribution of participants & organisers by gender (1)

- 🔵 Male
- 🟢 Female
- ⚫ Rather not say

PC (17 persons) — 35 % / 65 %

SC (8 persons) — 38 % / 63 %

IAC (27 persons) — 37 % / 63 %

Speakers: talks vs posters


Male

Female

Rather not say

Talks+posters (257)

Talks only (213)

Posters only (47)

Geographical distribution of participants
based according on institute declared in Indico registration form



1.00 - 20.00
20.00 - 50.00
50.00 - 100.00
100.00 - 250.00

1301 participants

56 countries

Timezone distribution of participants
based according on institute declared in Indico registration form

1.00 - 20.00
20.00 - 50.00
50.00 - 100.00
100.00 - 250.00

1301 participants
17 timezones spanned

Continent in which your institute is located

354 responses

Legend:
- Africa
- Asia
- Europe/Middle East
- Australia/Oceania
- North America
- South America

73.4%
12.1%
8.8%

Position

354 responses

Age

354 responses

- 🔵 Below 26 years
- 🔴 26-30 years
- 🟠 31-40 years
- 🟢 41-50 years
- 🟣 Above 50 years
- 🔵 Prefer not to say

14.1%

27.7%

21.2%

9.3%

27.4%

Collaboration

354 responses

Legend:
- ALICE
- ATLAS
- CMS
- LHCb
- Theory
- Other

Pie chart values: 42.7%, 20.3%, 15.8%, 12.1%

In addition to your participation, did you have any other role(s) in the conference?

354 responses

Which sessions did you attend?

354 responses

To allow the largest time zone coverage, the conference was concentrated between 12:30-18:30 (CEST), with two 15' breaks and up to 7 parallel sessions running in parallel. How satisfied are you with this choice?

354 responses

How satisfied are you with having the parallel sessions in the central part of the daily schedule and the plenary sessions at the beginning or at the end of the schedule?

354 responses

A possible alternative of the proposed format could be a 2 week long conference, with less parallel sessions running in parallel, longer breaks, and about 4 hours of conference per day. Would you prefer this alternative?

354 responses

- Yes
- No
- I do not have an opinion

58.8%

18.1%

23.2%

A possible alternative of the proposed format could be "premiere" sessions whereby talks are live and followed by 1 or 2 "replay" sessions to cover all time zones and during which recored talks will be retransmitted . Would you prefer this alternative?

354 responses

- Yes
- No
- I do not have an opinion

32.2%

44.1%

23.7%

How satisfied are you with choice of ZOOM as the platform for the videoconferencing?

354 responses

Plenary sessions were also broadcast on Webcast. Did you join any Webcast?

354 responses

- Yes, because I could not connect to the ZOOM Webinar
- Yes, because I did not want to use ZOOM
- No

83.3%

10.5%

If you followed the plenary sessions on Webcast, how satisfied were you with it? (optional)

82 responses

The ZOOM "Webinar" style was chosen over the regular "Meeting" one for all the plenary and parallel sessions. Which in your opinion would be the most appropriate format for the sessions?

How satisfied were you with the ZOOM raise-hand feature for the question and answer (Q&A) phase?

354 responses

In addition to the ZOOM raise-hand feature, a possible option is to provide the Q&A window in ZOOM in which questions can be posted with the possibility to upvote/downvote the questions. Would you prefer this option to be used instead?

354 responses

- Yes
- No
- I do not have an opinion

32.5%

42.1%

25.4%

For the people attending the plenary sessions via the Webcast a Discord chat was provided in order to ask questions to the speaker. How useful is it? (0=not at all useful, 4=extremely useful)

To recreate the feeling of community that is lost with the ZOOM "Webinar" style, dedicated Discord channels were created for the parallel sessions, where the participants could have commented on the session or even just say "hello". How satisfied were you with it? (0=not at all satisfied, 4=completely satisfied)

Similarly a dedicated Discord "virtual coffee break" channel was created. How satisfied were you with it? (0=not at all satisfied, 4=completely satisfied)

We suggested to all the speakers, on a voluntary basis, to create and advertise in their talk a ZOOM meeting room (a "breakout room") for discussions with participants after their session (similarly to what might happen in an in-person coffee break). How satisfied were you with this option? (0=not at all satisfied, 4=completely satisfied)

How important to have a "breakout room" where both speaker and participants could discuss after the sessions?

354 responses

Talks have been recorded and posted on indico agenda. How satisfied were you with this choice?

354 responses

# Did you watch the recordings?

354 responses

Some talks have been live transcript and transcriptions posted on indico agenda. How satisfied were you with this choice?

354 responses

# Did you use the live transcriptions?

354 responses

Budget is very severely constrained for an online conference without a fee. How useful do you find the following items (0 = low priority, 4 = highs priority):

How important is to maintain a "fee free" registration for the online conferences?

354 responses

Do you think that your institute/funding agency should contribute financially to support the organisation of a fully online conference?

354 responses

What is in your opinion a reasonable fee for the (major) online conferences?

354 responses

- 0 EUR
- less than 20 EUR
- between 20 and 50 EUR
- between 50 and 100 EUR
- no limit

45.5%
10.2%
16.7%
26.3%

How important is to propose the public online outreach program during an online conference ?

354 responses

How satisfied were you with the LHCP2020 online conference?

354 responses