HIGGS PRECISION PHYSICS



LHCP, 2020 S. Dawson, BNL May, 2020

Is there physics beyond the SM?

- To the best of our knowledge.... The Higgs has no structure, no charge, no spin
- We know that the Higgs couples to fermions and gauge bosons at the 10-20% accuracy level
- We postulate that the Higgs interactions come from a scalar potential:

$$V = -\mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2$$

The potential could just as easily be an effective theory:

Why might new physics hide in Higgs sector?

- Many unanswered questions: dark matter, the pattern of fermion masses (including neutrinos), baryogenesis, strong CP violation, EW hierarchy....
- Why does the SM only have one Higgs doublet?
 - No good answer to this question
- Higgs can be portal to dark matter
 - Motivates models with extra Higgs gauge singlet
- Higgs models can be constructed to have flavor violation such as $H \rightarrow \mu e$
 - Motivates 2HDM type models

All of these can change Higgs properties and be a window to high scale physics





Higgs physics is a tool for exploration

Precision measurements

- Requires precise SM calculations
- Requires precise effective field theory calculations
- Precision constraints on Higgs properties
- Precision constraints on new interactions
- Searches for new Higgs particles
 - Model specific
 - Arbitrary, but can yield stringent bounds

Both approaches are necessary: Today's talk will focus on progress in theoretical calculations of differential Higgs rates

Precision calculations for Higgs physics

- We know SM Higgs rates at NNLO QCD or further in perturbation theory (except ttH)
- We know SM Higgs rates at NLO+EW in perturbation theory
- High statistics from future LHC runs allows for precision measurement of distributions
 - Need NLO (and higher) for both signal and background
 - Need to understand (and reduce) theoretical uncertainties
- Much recent progress in precision calculations of Higgs properties
 - Progress: differential rates at NNLO and top mass effects
 - Progress: connecting SM differential rates with EFT predictions

SM Higgs

- Total cross sections well known
- Most cross sections to NNLO, with NLO EW
- Goal is to use these measurements to extract couplings, particularly couplings to b and t
- Many models have anomalous couplings to only 3rd generation



Total cross sections don't tell the whole story

Extracting Information from Higgs Measurements

- First approach is arbitrary modification of Higgs couplings
- Scaling factors κ multiply SM contributions by a constant
- In general, cannot consistently include higher order corrections in κ framework $b_{t,\mu}$

$$-\frac{i}{w} \frac{m_f}{v} \kappa_f \qquad -\frac{i}{w} \frac{M_V^2}{v} g^{\mu\nu} \kappa_V$$

In the SM, gauge invariance requires $\kappa=1$

Need a comparison framework for SM

- Effective field theory extends SM Lagrangian
 - Assume 1 Higgs doublet, SU(3) x SU(2) x U(1) symmetry, dimension 6 operators only, only SM particles
- Expansion in $(Energy)^2/\Lambda^2$

$$L \to L_{SM} + \Sigma_i \frac{C_{6i}}{\Lambda^2} O_{6i} + \Sigma_i \frac{C_{8i}}{\Lambda^4} O_{8i} + \dots$$

- Expect enhanced effects in tails of distributions
- Global fits include LEP precision data, VV production, Higgs data, top data
 - Effective field theory connects different processes with large correlations
 - Precision requires a complete set of operators, not just one at a time

Gluon fusion is largest Higgs rate

- Calculation of Higgs production to NNNLO required:
 - New analytic techniques
 - New computational techniques
 - Surprisingly large corrections to gluon fusion production:



Progress in distributions

- Gluon fusion rapidity distribution to N³LO
- Rapidity distribution to N³LO is perturbatively stable



Distribution looks relatively flat with respect to NNLO

Cieri, Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss: <u>arXiv1807.11501</u> Dulat, Mistlberger, Pelloni: <u>arXiv1810.09462</u>

Higgs plus jet

■ NLO Higgs p_T spectrum including all top mass effects



Understanding top mass effects

Kudashkin, Lindert, Melnikov, Wever: <u>arXiv:1801.08226</u> Jones, Kerner, Luisoni: <u>arXiv:1802.00349</u>

Differential predictions for $pp \rightarrow H+jet \rightarrow 4I+jet$

NNLO QCD for 2 to 2 process: At theory forefront for distributions



Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss: arXiv:1905.13738

*See Chen parallel talk

Differential predictions for $pp \rightarrow H+jet \rightarrow 4I+jet$ at NNLO

- CMS: ~9% difference between fiducial and inclusive K factors
- (Attributed to different lepton isolation algorithm than ATLAS)



Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss: arXiv:1905.13738

Gluon fusion in boosted regime: $H+ \ge 1$ jet

- Combine full NLO calculation with NNLO in $m_t \rightarrow \infty$ for H+ ≥ 1 jet
- Cumulative cross sections for $p_{tH} > p_t^{cut}$



Combination: Becker, Caola, Massironi, Massironi, Mistlberger, Monni: arXiv:2005.07762

EFT operators change kinematic distributions

$$L_{eff} = L_{SM} + C_g \frac{\alpha_s}{12\pi} \frac{H}{v} G_{\mu\nu^A} G^{A,\mu\nu} - \delta Y_t m_t \frac{H}{v} \bar{t}t$$



Measuring the b quark Yukawa

 Higgs coupling to b's enhanced in many BSM models (e.g., 2HDM with large tan β)



Indirectly sensitive to t, b Yukawas



Directly sensitive to b Yukawa with $H \rightarrow bb$

• Measurement of $pp \rightarrow WH$, $H \rightarrow bb$ is at large p_{TW}

		σ _{fid} (fb)	σ _{fid} (p _{tW} >150 GeV) (fb)
LO	m _b =0	22.623 ^{+.845} -1.047	3.735- ^{+.000} 016
	m _b	22.501 ^{+.796} -1.007	3.638 ^{+.000} 009
NLO	m _b =0	25.364 ^{+.778} 756	4.586 ^{+.158} 141
	m _b	24.421 ^{+.853} 879	4.333 ^{+.165} ₁₅₄
NNLO	m _b =0	24.225 ^{+.642} 742	4.530 ^{+.071} 096
	m _b	22.781 ^{+.791} 898	4.207 ^{+.097} 116

b mass effects important

Behring, Bizon, Caola, Melnikov, Rontsch, arXiv:2003.08321

16

Boosted Higgs in WH at NNLO

- pp→ WH, H→ bb at NNLO including b mass effects
- O(5%) effects from b mass in tails of distributions (just where you expect new physics)



Behring, Bizon, Caola, Melnikov, Rontsch: arXiv:2003.08321

S. Dawson, BNL

17

Boosted Higgs in WH at NNLO

- Compare fixed order calculation with NLO POWHEG results for p_{tH} distribution:
 - O(5%) effects
 - At high p_T , POWHEG undershoots NNLO m_b result



Behring, Bizon, Caola, Melnikov, Rontsch: arXiv:2003.08321

Using differential information from WH

■ Compare SM at high p_T with EFT predictions: Understanding SM at high p_T is crucial



 Automated tools available for NLO EFT <u>Madgraph5 aMC@NLO</u> Baglio, Dawson, Homiller, Lane, Lewis: <u>arXiv:2003.07862</u>; Alioli, Dekens, Girad, Mereghetti: <u>arXiv:1804.07407</u>; Brehmer, Dawson, Homiller, Kling, Plehn: <u>arXiv:1908.06980</u>

Looking for b Yukawa from bbH

- Long history of calculations in both 4 and 5 flavor number scheme
- Total cross section for bb→H known at N³LO QCD shows calculation well understood
- New NLO EW+QCD differential calculation in 4FNS for bbH (including ZH, and ggF +bb topology) has discouraging conclusion about measuring b Yukawa from bbH



Duhr, Dulat, Hirschi, Mistlberger: <u>arXiv:2004.04752</u> Pagani, Shao, Zaro: <u>arXiv:2005.10277</u>

Looking for t Yukawa from ttH

- Top Yukawa indirectly measured in gluon fusion, but ttH is cleaner determination
 - Gluon fusion can have EFT contributions that are not top Yukawa modifications
- ttH QCD and EW NLO results long known, inclusion of NNLL reduces scale uncertainty



Broggio, Ferroglia, Frederix, Pagani, Pecjak, Tsinikos: arXiv:1907.04343

Progress on VBF

- Combining factorizable and non-factorizable contributions at NNLO
- Non-factorizable contributions may be relevant at high p_T, but more work needed on validity of Eikonal approximation in this region



Factorizable



Non-Factorizable

Dreyer, Karlberg, Tancredi: arXiv:2005.11334

S. Dawson, BNL

 $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, VBF cuts proVBFH v 1.2.0 2520NLO do/dP_{t.j2} [fb/GeV] 1510 5 0 1.04 full NNLO factorisable NNLO 1.02scale uncertainty 🔊 1 ratio to NLO 96'0 0.94 0.920.9 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 $\mathrm{p}_{t, j_2} \left[\mathrm{GeV} \right]$

22

Progress in calculating backgrounds too

- New calculation of ttW at NLO QCD including off-shell top effects
 - ttW+jets is a significant background for ttH production
 - Motivated by some theory/experimental discrepancies
- Found significant effects from off-shell top in tails of distributions



*See Bevilacqua parallel talk for details

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartano, Kraus, Worek: arXiv:2005.09427

Higher order corrections to HH distributions

HH first occurs at one-loop



- Very small rate at LHC

Measurement of Higgs tri-linear coupling necessary to confirm structure of Higgs potential

SM theory for gluon fusion production of HH

- NLO with full mass dependence (2-loop virtual)
 - Reduces rate by -14%; changes distributions
- NNLO+NNLL in large top mass limit
 - Increases NLO by +5%
- LHC HXSNWG: 13 TeV, σ =31.05 fb $^{+2.2\%}_{-5\%}$ ± 2.1% (Scale; α_{s+} PDF)
- N³LO in large top mass limit increases rate by ~3% (NEW)
 - *M_{HH}* shape unchanged with respect to NNLO
 - Scale uncertainties~3%, PDF uncertainties ~ 3.3%

Grazzini, Heinrich, Jones, Kallweit, Kerner, Lindert, Mazzitelli, Heinrich, Jones: <u>arXiv:1803.02463;</u> Borowka, Greiner, Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke: <u>arXiv:1608.04798;</u> Baglio, Campanario, Glaus, Muhlleitner, Spira, Streicher: <u>arXiv:1811.05692;</u> Chen, Li, Shao, Wan: , <u>arXiv.1909.06808.pdf</u>

SM theory for gluon fusion production of HH

■ NLO with exact top mass combined with NNLO in $m_t \rightarrow \infty$



Grazzini, Heinrich, Jones, Kallweit, Kerner, Lindert, Mazzitelli, Heinrich, Jones: arXiv:1803.02463 26

QCD and EFT operators at NLO and NNLO

- K factors differ from SM and are not constant (QCD matters in EFT studies)
- Prediction for LHCP2021: Combination of SM HH to NLO with EFT HH to NLO distributions





*See parallel talk by Glaus

de Florian, Fabre, Mazzitelli, <u>arXiv:1704.05700;</u> Baglio, Campanario, Glaus, Muhlleitner, Ronca, Spira, Streicher,<u>arXiv:2003.03227</u>

27

Triple Higgs production at NNLO

- Very small rate, but sensitive to HHHH coupling
- Calculation in infinite top mass limit using dynamical reweighting to include top mass effects
- Cancellations between diagrams altered without SM coupling
- K factor relatively flat



14 TeV	σ=.103+5%-8% fb	
100 TeV	σ=5.56+5%-6% fb	

deFlorian, Fabre, Mazzitelli: arXiv:1912.02760

Conclusions

- The future is bright for Higgs physics
 - Precision calculations of Higgs properties is a mature subject
 - NNLO for distributions is becoming the gold standard for comparison
 - Apologies for all the important and interesting calculations that I didn't mention. This is a dynamic and active area of theory
 - Part 2 of this talk should be a discussion of the many results matching fixed order to parton shower calculations at NNLO
 - Part 3 of this talk should be a discussion of higher order results for backgrounds
 - Part 4 of this talk should be a discussion of using SM calculations in an EFT framework beyond LO