Rare decays and CP violation in systems of *B* and *K* mesons

Christoph Bobeth TU Munich

> LHCP 2020 Online May 29, 2020

Outline

Motivation

▶
$$B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$$
 and $B_{s,d}$ -mixing

•
$$K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$$
, ε_K and $\varepsilon' / \varepsilon$

- \rightarrow only Standard Model: CKM from FCNCs
- → recent developments in theory (lattice, perturbation theory)
- \rightarrow experimental situtation

Motivation for FCNC processes

SM-CPV in quark flavour sector given by CKM mechanism \Rightarrow only 4 parameters: λ , A, $\bar{\rho}$, $\bar{\eta}$

 \Leftrightarrow

CC decays = tree

V_{ud,us}, V_{cd,cs}, V_{ub,cb}

Consistency tests of SM CKM paradigm

 V_{td}, V_{ts}, V_{tb}

Motivation for FCNC processes

SM-CPV in quark flavour sector given by CKM mechanism \Rightarrow only 4 parameters: λ , A, $\bar{\rho}$, $\bar{\eta}$

CC decays = tree $\overleftarrow{\mathsf{FCNC}}$ decays = loop $V_{ud,us}, V_{cd,cs}, V_{ub,cb}$ V_{td}, V_{ts}, V_{tb}

Consistency tests of SM CKM paradigm

Determination of Vtd,ts,tb in FCNC decays require high control of hadronic input for theory

- **!!!** Lattice (QCD) calculations provide only simple hadronic quantities $f_{B_a}, B_K, B_{B_a}, F_{B \to K}(q^2)$
- \Rightarrow only a few $s \rightarrow d$, $b \rightarrow d$, s FCNC processes with accuracy at percent level
- ▶ leptonic $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \ell \bar{\ell}$ ($K_{L,S} \rightarrow \ell \bar{\ell}$ suffers from long-distance contributions)
- semi-leptonic $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$
- ▶ mass differences of neutral $B_{d,s}$: $\Delta M_{d,s}$

??? conceptually very challenging to go below 1% hadronic uncertainty

⇒ requires control over QED effects (virtual, real, Coulomb)

future: $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$

[►] ε_K

$B_{s,d} \rightarrow \ell \bar{\ell}$ – theory status

Branching ratio (q = d, s)

$$Br(B_q \to \ell \bar{\ell}) \propto \left(\frac{2m_\ell}{m_{B_q}}\right)^2 \times \left|V_{tb}V_{tq}^*\right|^2 \left(\left(f_{B_q}^{(0)}\right)^2\right) \times \left|A_{LO} + \frac{\alpha_{\theta}}{4\pi}A_{NLO}\right|^2$$

- helicity suppression
- CKM to be determined
- $\blacksquare \quad B_q \text{ decay constant} \text{ in pure QCD from lattice } f_{B_{u,d}} = (189.4 \pm 1.4) \text{ MeV} \quad f_{B_s} = (230.7 \pm 1.2) \text{ MeV}$ [FNAL/MILC 1712.09262]
- **LO amplitude** $\propto C_{10}$ at NNLO QCD & NLO EW

[Hermann/Misiak/Steinhauser 1311.1347, CB/Gorbahn/Stamou 1311.1348]

NLO QED amplitude $\propto C_{7.9}^{\text{eff}}$

III restricted to $\ell = \mu$, assuming $m_{\mu} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$

- \Rightarrow power-enhanced m_b/Λ_{QCD} from spectator-quark dynamics [Beneke/CB/Szafron 1708.09157]
- \Rightarrow factorization in SCET₁₊₂ and resummation between $\mu \sim m_b \rightarrow \mu \sim m_\mu, \Lambda_{QCD}$
- + $f_{B_a}^{(0)}$ sufficient for power-enhanced A_{NLO} , beyond new $f_{B_a}^{(n)}$ required
- + combination with soft real-radiation for $\Delta E \ll m_{\mu}$, Λ_{QCD} [Beneke/CB/Szafron 1908.07011]

$B_s \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$ – uncertainty budget



- **main parametric** long-distance (f_{B_q}) and short-distance (CKM and m_t)
- **non-QED:** parametric (Γ_q , α_s) and non-parametric (μ_W , μ_b and higher order)
- **B-meson LCDA:** λ_B and $\sigma_{1,2}$ entering power-enhanced QED crr'n

World average: $\overline{Br}_{s\mu}^{(0)}|_{exp} = (2.67^{+0.45}_{-0.35}) \cdot 10^{-9}$

[Aebischer et al. 1903.10434: LHCb, CMS, ATLAS Run 1+2] [see talk by Aidan Grummer]

$B_s \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$ – uncertainty budget



- **•** main parametric long-distance (f_{B_q}) and short-distance (CKM and m_t)
- **non-QED:** parametric (Γ_q , α_s) and non-parametric (μ_W , μ_b and higher order)
- **B-meson LCDA:** λ_B and $\sigma_{1,2}$ entering power-enhanced QED crr'n

World average: $\overline{Br}_{s\mu}^{(0)}\Big|_{exp} = (2.67^{+0.45}_{-0.35}) \cdot 10^{-9}$ [Aebischer et al. 1903.10434: LHCb, CMS, ATLAS Run 1+2] [see talk by Aidan Grummer]

Sensitivity to $|V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|$ with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ & assuming LHCb: 4% uncertainty with 300/fb
[A. Puig @ LHCb Upgrade WS, LAPP, Annecy, 03/2018, LHCb 1208.3355] $\delta \overline{Br}_{s\mu}^{(0)}|_{theory} \approx 2.1\%$ + $\delta \overline{Br}_{s\mu}^{(0)}|_{LHCb 300/fb} \approx 4.0\%$ \Rightarrow $\delta |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*| \approx 2.5\%$ for comparison from $b \rightarrow c\ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$: $\delta |V_{cb}|_{incl} = 1.5\%$ [Gambino/Healey/Turczyk 1606.06174][see talks by Nico Gubernari and Mirco Dorigo \rightarrow] $\delta |V_{cb}|_{excl} = 2.2\%$ [Bordone/Jung/van Dyk 1908.09398]

$\triangle M_{s,d}$ – Mass difference of neutral B_q -mesons

$$\Delta M_q \propto \left| V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \right|^2 \left(\left(f_{B_q}^{(0)} \right)^2 B_{B_q} \times \hat{\eta}_B S_0(x_t) \right)$$

CKM to be determined

- $|\Delta B| = 2 \text{ matrix element} \text{ as (decay constant)} \times \text{(bag factor)}$
- **short-distance** $\propto \hat{\eta}_B S_0(x_t)$ including NLO QCD & NLO EW

[Buras/Jamin/Weisz NPB347(1990)491, Gambino/Kwiatkowski/Pott hep-ph/9810400]

$\Delta M_{s,d}$ – Mass difference of neutral B_q -mesons

$$\Delta M_q \propto \left| V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \right|^2 \left(\left(f_{B_q}^{(0)} \right)^2 B_{B_q} \times \hat{\eta}_B S_0(x_t) \right)$$

CKM to be determined

- $|\Delta B| = 2 \text{ matrix element} \text{ as (decay constant)} \times \text{(bag factor)}$
- **short-distance** $\propto \hat{\eta}_B S_0(x_t)$ including NLO QCD & NLO EW

[Buras/Jamin/Weisz NPB347(1990)491, Gambino/Kwiatkowski/Pott hep-ph/9810400]

⇒ from Lattice first high-precision B_{B_q} [FNAL/MILC 1602.03560, RBC/UKQCD 1812.08791, HPQCD 1907.01025] ⇒ averages from several Lattice and Sum rule results [Di Luzio/Kirk/Rauh 1909.11087] ⇒ udpated predictions [Lenz/Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi 1912.07621]

$$\Delta M_{s}|_{\text{SM}} = 18.77 \left(1 \pm 3.1\% \big|_{B_{B_{q}}} \pm 3.4\% \big|_{\text{CKM}} \right) \text{ps}^{-1} \qquad \Delta M_{s}|_{\text{exp}} = (17.757 \pm 0.021) \text{ ps}^{-1}$$
$$\Delta M_{d}|_{\text{SM}} = 0.543 \left(1 \pm 3.6\% \big|_{B_{B_{q}}} \pm 3.4\% \big|_{\text{CKM}} \right) \text{ps}^{-1} \qquad \Delta M_{d}|_{\text{exp}} = (0.5064 \pm 0.0019) \text{ ps}^{-1}$$

Sensitivity to $\left| V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \right| = \delta \left| V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right| \approx 1.5\%$ and $\delta \left| V_{tb} V_{td}^* \right| \approx 1.8\% \iff \text{TODAY as for } |V_{cb}| \text{ from } b \to c \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$

$$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu} \text{ and } K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \bar{\nu}$$

$$Br(K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}) = \tilde{\kappa}_{+} \times X_{t}^{2} \times \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Im}^{2} \lambda_{t}}{\lambda^{10}} + \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{t}}{\lambda^{5}} + \frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{c}}{\lambda} \times \frac{P_{c}}{\lambda} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

$$Br(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \bar{\nu}) = \kappa_{L} \times X_{t}^{2} \times \frac{\operatorname{Im}^{2} \lambda_{t}}{\lambda^{10}} \qquad \lambda_{U} \equiv V_{Ud} V_{Us}^{*} \qquad \lambda = V_{Us}$$

$$\operatorname{Pretrive element}$$
from $K_{L} \rightarrow \sigma \bar{\nu} \rightarrow 0$.

 matrix element
 from $K \to \pi e \bar{\nu}_e + QED$ real radiation
 [Mescia/Smith 0705.2025]

 $\tilde{\kappa}_+ = 5.072 (1 \pm 0.5\%) \cdot 10^{-11}$,
 $\kappa_L = 2.194 (1 \pm 0.6\%) \cdot 10^{-10}$

short-distance (SD) $\propto X_t = 1.481(1 \pm 0.9\%|_{th+exp})$ at NLO QCD & EW

▶

[Buchalla/Buras NPB400 (1993) 225, Misiak/Urban 9901278, Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947]

► charm SD + LD $P_c = X_c \pm \delta P_{c,u}$ with $X_c = 0.365 (1 \pm 3.3\%)$, $\delta P_{c,u} = 0.04 \pm 0.02$ [Buras/Gorbahn/Haisch/Nierste 0508165 & 0603079] [Isidori/Mescia/Smith 0503107] lattice studies of $\delta P_{c,u} \rightarrow$ [Christ/Feng/Portelli/Sachrajda 1910.10644]

$$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\nu\bar{\nu} \text{ and } K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}$$

$$Br(K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}) = \left[\vec{\kappa}_{+} \times X_{t}^{2}\right] \times \left\{ \frac{|\mathrm{Im}^{2}\lambda_{t}|}{\lambda^{10}} + \left(\frac{|\mathrm{Re}\lambda_{t}|}{\lambda^{5}} + \frac{|\mathrm{Re}\lambda_{c}|}{\lambda} \times \left(\frac{|P_{c}|}{\lambda} \right)^{2} \right) \right\}$$

$$Br(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}) = \left[\vec{\kappa}_{L}\right] \times \left[\frac{\chi^{2}}{\chi^{2}} \times \frac{|\mathrm{Im}^{2}\lambda_{t}|}{\lambda^{10}} + \frac{\chi^{2}}{\chi^{10}} \times \left(\frac{|\Psi_{U}|}{\chi^{5}} + \frac{|\Psi_{U}|}{\chi^{5}} \times \frac{|\Psi_{U}|}{\chi^{5}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$Mu \equiv V_{Ud}V_{Us}^{*} \quad \lambda = V_{us}$$

$$Matrix element from K \rightarrow \pi e\bar{\nu}_{e} + QED real radiation \qquad [Mescia/Smith 0705.2025] \\ \kappa_{+} = 5.072 (1 \pm 0.5\%) \cdot 10^{-11}, \qquad \kappa_{L} = 2.194 (1 \pm 0.6\%) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Brod/Gambino/Stamou 1009.0947}]$$

$$Mu = NPB400 (1993) 225, \text{ Misiak/Urban 9901278}, \text{ Mu = NPB400} = 0.02 \text{$$

2021-23 (LHC Run 3) (higher beam intensity, suppressed upstream bkg) with aim $\delta \textit{Br}|_{exp} \sim 10\%$

ε_{K} – CPV in $|\Delta S|$ = 2

Rearranged PT series from $\lambda_u = -\lambda_c - \lambda_t \rightarrow \lambda_c = -\lambda_u - \lambda_t$ [Brod/Gorbahn/Stamou 1911.06822] \Rightarrow improves SD accuracy

$$|\varepsilon_{\mathcal{K}}| \propto F_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \widehat{B}_{\mathcal{K}} \times |V_{cb}|^2 \lambda^2 \overline{\eta} \times \left(|V_{cb}|^2 (1-\overline{\rho}) \times \eta_{tt} S(x_t) - \eta_{ut} S(x_c, x_t) \right)$$

CKM to be determined

▶ $|\Delta S = 2|$ matrix element | as (decay constant) × (bag factor) ⇒ Lattice $\widehat{B}_K = 0.7625(97)$

 $\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline $\mathbf{short-distance}$ & $\eta_{tt}^{\text{NLL}} = 0.55 \left(1 + 0.042 \right|_{\mu}$\right)$ and $\eta_{ut}^{\text{NNLL}} = 0.402 \left(1 + 0.013 \right|_{\mu}$\right)$ previously dominant uncertainty from η_{ct} & $[Brod/Gorbahn 1007.0684, 1108.2036]$ now from η_{tt} & $[Brod/Gorbahn/Stamou 1911.06822]$ & $[Brod/Gorbahn/Stamou 1911.0682]$ & $[Brod/Gorbahn/Stamu 1911.0682]$ & $[Brod/Gorb$

$$|\varepsilon_K|_{SM} = 2.161 \left(1 + 3.0\% \Big|_{pert} + 3.5\% \Big|_{non-pert} \right) \cdot 10^{-3} \qquad |\varepsilon_K|_{exp} = \left(2.228 \pm 0.011 \right) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

 \Rightarrow very sensitive $|\varepsilon_{\mathcal{K}}| \propto \bar{\eta} |V_{cb}|^2$, top-contribution even $\propto |V_{cb}|^4$

ε'/ε – CPV in $|\Delta S|$ = 1

Direct CPV in $K^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ tiny in SM $\Rightarrow \epsilon'/\epsilon \propto \text{Im} \left(V_{td} V_{ts}^* \right) \sim \lambda^5 \sim 10^{-4}$

$$\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{i\omega e^{i(\delta_2 - \delta_0)}}{\sqrt{2}|\varepsilon|} \left[\frac{\operatorname{Im} A_2}{\operatorname{Re} A_2} - \frac{\operatorname{Im} A_0}{\operatorname{Re} A_0}\right]\right)$$

RBC-UKQCD Lattice Collab. no IB (isospin breaking)

• Re A_0 , Im A_0 and δ_0 [RBC-UKQCD 2004.09440]

• Re A_2 , Im A_2 and δ_2 [RBC-UKQCD 1502.00263]

 $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon|_{SM} = 21.7 (1 \pm 12\%|_{stat} \pm 29\%|_{syst} \pm 23\%|_{IB}) \cdot 10^{-4}$ New result for A₀ in 2020:

- agrees with experiment $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon|_{exp} = (16.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$
- good agreement for $\pi\pi$ -phase shifts $\delta_{0,2}$ with chiral limit ►

• $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule: $\frac{\text{Re } A_0}{\text{Re } A_1} = 19.9(2.3)(4.4)$ agrees with experiment 22.45(6)

III huge shift w.r.t. 2015: $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon = 1.4 (1 \pm 370\%|_{stat} \pm 330\%|_{syst}) \cdot 10^{-4}$ [RBC-UKQCD 1505.07863]

ε'/ε – CPV in $|\Delta S|$ = 1

Direct CPV in $K^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ tiny in SM $\Rightarrow \epsilon'/\epsilon \propto \text{Im} (V_{td} V_{tc}^*) \sim \lambda^5 \sim 10^{-4}$

Leading IB in QCD penguins from ChPT $\rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{eff}$

[Cirigliano/Ecker/Neufeld/Pich hep-ph/0310351, 0307030 1]

$$\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\omega_+}{\sqrt{2}|\varepsilon|} \left[\frac{\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{A}_0}{\operatorname{Re} A_0} (1 - \hat{\Omega}_{\text{eff}}) - \frac{1}{a} \frac{\operatorname{Im} A_2}{\operatorname{Re} A_2} \right]$$

[Buras/Gorbahn/Jäger/Jamin 1507.06356 1]

 $\omega_{\pm} = a \operatorname{Re} A_2 / \operatorname{Re} A_0 = (4.53 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2}$ from experiment • octet scheme: $\hat{\Omega}_{off}^{(8)} = 0.17 \pm 0.09$ ChPT with octet of light pseudoscalars

- nonet scheme: $\hat{\Omega}_{off}^{(9)} = 0.29 \pm 0.07$ include effects of $\eta_8 - \eta_0$ mixing
- ⇒ inclusion of IB to RBC-UKQCD result leads to suppression of ε'/ε :

 $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon^{(8)} = (17.4 \pm 6.1) \cdot 10^{-4}$ $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon^{(9)} = (13.9 \pm 5.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$

Also "ChPT-only" prediction including IB (does not use RBC-UKQCD matrix elements)

 $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon^{\text{ChPT}} = (14+5) \cdot 10^{-4}$ [Cirigliano/Gisbert/Pich/Rodríguez-Sánchez 1911.01359]

[Cirigliano/Gisbert/Pich/Rodríguez-Sánchez 1911.01359]

[Buras/Gérard 2005.08976]

[Aebischer/CB/Buras 2005.05978]

Summary

Rare decays and mixing in B- and K-meson systems are

- valueable FCNC probes of CKM elements V_{ts,td}
- only very few observables with theory accuracy at percent level
- short-distance at NLO QCD & EW, in many cases also NNLO QCD, but still room for improvements: |ΔS| = 2 in ε_K (η_{tt}), |ΔS| = 1 in ε'/ε
- progress from Lattice QCD, and more to come:

 $\Rightarrow V_{ts,td}$ from $\Delta M_{s,d}$ with similar precision as $|V_{cb}|_{incl}$ from $b \rightarrow c \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell} !!!$

- experimentally challenging to reach percent level
 - \Rightarrow $B_s \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$ at LHCb 300/fb after 2030?
 - $\Rightarrow K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ at NA62, next run 2021-2023
 - $\Rightarrow K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ at KOTO, (KLEVER) around 2030?
- **!!!** beyond SM, theoretical accuracy can be much lower, depending on observable, because additional hadronic parameters can enter