NA61/SHINE results for Bose-Einstein correlation measurements

Day of Femtoscopy, 2019, Gyöngyös

Barnabás Pórfy for the NA61/SHINE Collaboration

Wigner RCP, Hungary

October 31, 2019

Supported by the ÚNKP-19-1 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology

Search for the CEP: Spatial Correlations?



- At the critical point: fluctuations at all scales
- Power-law in spatial correlations
- Critical exponent η
- QCD universality class $\leftrightarrow$ 3D Ising:
  Halasz et al., Phys.Rev.D58 (1998) 096007

  Stephanov et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.81 (1998) 4816
  - 3D Ising: $\eta = 0.03631$

    El-Showk et al., J.Stat.Phys.157 (4-5): 869
  - Random field 3D Ising $\eta = 0.50 \pm 0.05$
    Rieger, Phys.Rev.B52 (1995) 6659

- Search for the crit. point with SPS beam momentum/species scan
- Spatial correlation exponent near **C**ritical **E**nd **P**oint?
- Possible to measure η with Lévy HBT

  Csörgő, Hegyi, Zajc, Eur.Phys.J. C36 (2004) 67, nucl-th/0310042

Bose-Einstein Correlations in Heavy-Ion Physics

A way to measure spatial correlations: Bose-Einstein mom. correlations

- R. Hanbury Brown, R.Q.Twiss observed Sirius with optical telescopes

  R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss 1956 Nature 178
  - Intensity correlations as a function of detector distance
  - Measuring size of point-like sources
- Goldhaber et al: applicable in high energy physics: (for identical pions)

  G. Goldhaber et al 1959 Phys.Rev.Lett. 3 181
  - Momentum correlation $C(q)$ is related to the source $S(x)$ $C(q) \cong 1 + |\widetilde{S}(q)|^2$ where $\widetilde{S}(q)$ Fourrier transform of $S(q)$







- S(r) frequently assumed to be Gaussian, leads to Gaussian C(q)

Lévy Distribution in Heavy-Ion Physics

- Expanding medium, increasing mean free path: anomalous diffusion

  Metzler, Klafter, Physics Reports 339 (2000) 1-77

  Csanád, Csörgő, Nagy, Braz.J.Phys. 37 (2007) 1002

- **Lévy-stable distribution:** $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, R, r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q e^{iqr} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|qR|^\alpha}$
  - From generalization of Gaussian, power-law tail: $\sim r^{-(d-2+\alpha)}$
  - $\alpha = 1$ Cauchy, $\alpha = 2$ Gaussian, $\alpha < 2$ e.g. anomalous diffusion

- The shape of the correlation function with Lévy source: $C(q) = 1 + \lambda \cdot e^{-(qR)^\alpha}$
  - $\alpha = 2$: Gaussian
  - $\alpha = 1$: Exponential
- Lévy distributions lead to power-law correlation functions
- Spatial correlation at the critical point: $\sim r^{-(d-2+\eta)}$
- Lévy-exponent α identical to correlation exponent η

  Csörgő, Hegyi, Novák, Zajc, AIP Conf. Proc. 828 (2006) 525-532, nucl-th/0512060



The NA61/SHINE Detector

- Located at CERN SPS, North Area
- Fixed target experiment
- Large acceptance hadron spectrometer (TPC)
  - Covering the full forward hemisphere
  - Outstanding tracking, down to $p_T = 0$ GeV/c
- Various nuclei at multiple energies







Details of an HBT Analysis

- Be+Be collision sample from NA61/SHINE



- Be+Be @ 150A GeV/c beam momentum
- Centrality selection based on forward energy measured by PSD
- Track selection:
  - Track quality and vertex cut applied
  - Particle identification possible via dE/dx method
  - Negative π pairs and positive π pairs
- Pair selection:
  - Check track pair transverse distance at several z values
    Drop one track randomly if their distance < 0.8 cm
    (pairs from actual and background events)
  - Ratio of number of recontructed to potential points > 0.5
    Reduce track splitting (already small effect in Be+Be)

Particle Identification Method: dE/dx

- Particle identification from the energy loss in the TPC gas
- dE/dx PID works well in relativistic rise region
- PID resolution for dE/dx is 4%
- dE/dx versus $log(p)$ measured, 80 slices fitted with Gaussians
- High π multiplicity; mean of Gaussians to describe pions





HBT Measurement setup with NA61/SHINE

- Event mixing method:
  - A(q) - Actual event relative momentum distribution
    - Pairs from same event
  - B(q) - Background event relative mom. distribution
    - Pairs from mixed event
- Correlation function:
  - C(q) = A(q)/B(q)
- C(q) corr. function as function of $|q|_{LCMS}$ in 4 K_T bins
  - Bins: (0-100, 100-200, 200-400, 400-600) MeV/c
  - $\langle K_T \rangle$: (65, 150, 266 , 441) MeV/c
    $m_T \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + (K_T/c)^2}$



Example Bose-Einstein Correlation Function

- Recall event mixing
  - $A(q)$ - q distribution of pairs from an actual event
  - $B(q)$ - q distribution of pairs from a mixed event
  - $C(q) = A(q)/B(q)$
- Example plots, showing B-E effect at low q values:





- Note Coulomb-hole appearing at small q

Handling the Coulomb Interaction

- Same charge pairs: Coulomb repulsion
  - Standard handling method: Coulomb corr.
  - Calculation: complicated numerical integral
  - Does not depend strongly on α, see plot $\rightarrow$
  - Small effect in Be+Be
- Approximate formula (for $\alpha = 1$) from CMS:
  Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collab.), arXiv:1712.07198 (PRC 2018)
  - $K_{Coulomb}(q) = \text{Gamow}(q) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\pi \eta q \frac{R}{\hbar c}}{1.26 + q \frac{R}{\hbar c}}\right)$
    where $\text{Gamow}(q) = \frac{2\pi\eta(q)}{e^{2\pi\eta(q)}-1}$ and $\eta(q) = \alpha_{QED} \cdot \frac{\pi}{q}$
- Fit function: Bowler-Sinyukov
  $C(q) = 1 - \lambda + (1 + e^{-|qR|^{\alpha}}) \cdot \lambda \cdot K(q)$
  Yu. Sinyukov et al., Phys. Lett. B432 (1998) 248,
  M.G. Bowler, Phys. Lett. B270 (1991) 69

Numerical Coulomb calculation





Parameters of the Lévy Correlation Function



- Lévy scale R:
  - Determines length of homogeneity
  - Simple hydro picture suggests transverse velocity (u_T) dependence: $R_{HBT} = R/\sqrt{1 + (m_T/T_0) \cdot u_T^2}$
- Correlation strength λ:
  - Describes core-halo ratio: $\lambda(m_T) = \left(\frac{N_{core}}{N_{core}+N_{halo}}\right)^2$
  - Core: primordial pions
  - Halo: resonance decay products and general background
- Lévy exponent α:
  - Stability exponent determines source shape
  - $\alpha = 2$: Gaussian, predicted from simple hydro
  - $\alpha < 2$: Anomalous diffusion, generalized limit theorem
  - $\alpha = 0.5$: Conjectured value at the critical point (CEP)

Example Lévy HBT Fit



- Log-likelihood fit
- Assuming no corr. among q points
- Goodness-of-fit analyzed: conventional χ^2
  - Full range
  - Peak range
- Fit parameters:
  - λ Correlation strength
    related to core/halo ratio
  - R Lévy scale parameter
    similar to a HBT size
  - α Lévy index of stability
    possibly related to the CEP

Correlation Radius R vs m_T

- Describes length of homogeneity
- From hydro: $R \sim 1/\sqrt{m_T}$
  A.N, Makhlin and Yu. M. Sinyukov, Z.Phys. C39 (1988) 69
  Csörgő, Lörstad, Phys.Rev.C54 (1996) 1390

  S. Chapman, P. Scotto and U. Heinz, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74 (1995) 4400-4403
- Slight decrease with m_T
  Sign of transverse flow?
- Similar results to RHIC p+p, LHC p+p and p+Pb





Correlation Strength λ vs m_T

- Describes core-halo ratio

  Core-Halo model: Csörgő, Lörstad, Zimányi, Z.Phys.C71 (1996)
- Comparing with SPS and RHIC results:
  - Low m_T values show no decrease in λ (sim. to other SPS results)
  - Halo component increases at RHIC (e.g. In-medium mass mod.)
    S. E. Vance et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81 (1998) 2205-2208
    T. Csörgő et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 182301

    A. Adare for PHENIX Collaboration, Phys.Rev. C97 (2018) no.6, 064911
- λ value shows weak m_T dependence





Lévy Stability Index α vs m_T

- Lévy-stability index α:
  - Shape of spatial correlation
- Between $\alpha \approx 1$ and 1.5
- Far from Gaussian ($\alpha = 2$), near Cauchy ($\alpha = 1$)
- Far from CEP($\alpha = 0.5$)
- Similar results to RHIC Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV results





Fixed Parameter Fitting

- Interparameter correlations:
  - Known characteristic of Lévy fit
  - Observed in PHENIX (see below)
- To reduce the correlation one can fix a parameter
  - Fixing α, fitting R and λ (Constant to all m_T)
  - Fixing R, fitting α and λ (m_T dependent fit, based on hydro) $A/\sqrt{1+m_T/B}$



Lévy Exponent α vs. m_T

- Stability exponent determines source shape
- Comparing free par. results with fixed parameter fits:
  - Fitting with R fixed yields similar results, maybe smaller α



Correlation Strength λ vs. m_T

- Correlation strength describes core/halo ratio
- Both R fixed and α fixed fitting show similar results
- Results are within free par. statistical uncertainty



Lévy Scale R vs. m_T

- Levy HBT scale determines correlation length
- Parameter results similar
- Trend a bit different for fixed α case



Summary

- First NA61/SHINE Lévy HBT analysis
- Measured momentum correlations of identical pion pairs
- Fitted them with correlation functions from Lévy source
- Investigated parameter m_T dependencies for free par. fit
  - $R(m_T)$: Decreasing trend, hadron transverse flow?
  - $\lambda(m_T)$: Slight dependence with m_T, no "hole"
  - $\alpha(m_T)$: Not Gaussian, nearly Cauchy, around 1.0-1.5
- Investigated parameter m_T dependencies for fixed par. fit
  - Statistical uncertainties reduced

Thank you for your attention!

Bowler-Sinyukov Fit Formula Comparison

- Coul. corr. 1: $C(q) = (1 + \lambda e^{-|qR|^{\alpha}}) \cdot K(q)$
- Coul. corr. 2: $C(q) = (1 - \lambda + (1 + e^{-|qR|^{\alpha}}) \cdot \lambda \cdot K(q))$







Lévy Exponent $\leftrightarrow$ Critical Exponent



- Power-law in spatial correlations: $\sim r^{-(1+\alpha)}$
- Spatial corr. at the crit. point: $\sim r^{-(d-2+\eta)}$

$$\alpha \equiv \eta$$

Csörgő, Hegyi, Zajc, Eur.Phys.J. C36 (2004) 67, nucl-th/0310042

- QCD universality class $\leftrightarrow$ (random field) 3D Ising:

  Halasz et al., Phys.Rev.D58 (1998) 096007 Stephanov et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.81 (1998) 4816

  - 3D Ising: $\eta = 0.03631$

    El-Showk et al., J.Stat.Phys.157 (4-5): 869
  - Random field 3D Ising $\eta = 0.50 \pm 0.05$

    Rieger, Phys.Rev.B52 (1995) 6659

- Lévy exponent α change near **C**ritical **E**nd **P**oint?

Core-Halo Model

- Hydrodinamically expanding core, emits pions at the freeze-out
- This results in a two component source: $S(x) = S_c(x) + S_h(x)$
- Core $\cong$ 10 fm size, halo(ω, η ...) $>$ 50 fm size
- Halo unresolvable experimentally
- True q$\rightarrow$ 0, limit $C(q = 0) = 2$
- Results show $C(q \rightarrow 0) = 1 + \lambda$, where $\lambda = \left(\frac{N_{core}}{N_{halo}+N_{core}}\right)^2$

Bolz et al, Phys.Rev. D47 (1993) 3860-3870
Csörgő, Lörstad, Zimányi, Z.Phys. C71 (1996) 491-497



Systematic Uncertainties

Investigated sources of uncertainties

- Track settings
- Pair cuts
- Q bin width choice
- Fit range (Q_{min}, Q_{max}) choice (for each K_T)
- PID cuts

Typical effects and results:

- # of points for reconstruction in all TPC
  - Does not depend on m_T
  - For every param. always the largest syst. err.
- Fit limits are strongly dependent on K_T
- Ratio of clusters has low impact
- Q bin width has very low impact
- Track proximity to the main vertex
  - Has slight effect in $m_{T,2}, m_{T,3}$ for α and R
  - For λ, any visible effect is in $m_{T,0}$

Projectile Spectator Detector

- Centrality measured using the Projectile Spectator Detector (PSD)
- Located on beam axis, measures forward energy E_F from spectators
- Intervals in E_F allows to select centrality classes
- $0 - 20\%$ corresponds to $E_F < 730 GeV$









Negative Hadron Results with Trigger Bias

- Negative hadrons selected, these are mostly pions ($\pi/K < 2\%$ in EPJC77(2017)10 671)