

DQW LHC-series cavities

Discussion: Trim Tuning

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

AGENDA

- **Updated target frequency table for DQW SPS-series** cavities (Silvia)
- **Lessons learned** from tuning the DQW SPS-series cavities (Silvia)
- **Target frequency for DQW LHC-series** cavities (All):
 - Jacketed, evacuated, at 2K, delivering 3.4 MV to the LHC beam
- **Strategy** (All):
 - Metrology;
 - Symmetric trim tuning, or last is asymmetric?
 - Cavity profile sketch with location of trim edge needed to calculate available trim tuning range
- **Equipment** (Nuria):
 - Clamp
 - Probes
- **Responsibilities** (All):
 - Is RI responsible for trim tuning? Or Nuria and I?
 - Confidentiality agreement

Target frequencies for DQW SPS-series [1]

Operation	Expected shift [kHz]	Expected frequency [MHz]	Target frequency [MHz]	Acceptance range [MHz]	Cavity status
<i>Fabrication tolerances (before W03A/B)</i>		N/A			
TUNING: trimming			401.05	±0.10	Clamped, in air at room temperature
<i>Welds W03A/B (transverse shrinkage and penetration depth effects)</i>	-0.89	400.16			
<i>Leak check</i>	0				
TUNING: action on inductive plates (alternative tuning)			400.16	±0.10	In air at room temperature
<i>Bulk BCP (150 um)</i>					
<i>High temperature baking</i>	+0.22	400.38			
<i>Light BCP (30 um)</i>					
<i>Vessel assembly</i>	-0.42	399.96			
TUNING: action on tank plates (pre-tuning)			399.96	±0.05	In air at room temperature
<i>Assembly of HOM filters, pickup and FPC* (in 5 mm-longer ports)</i>	-0.04	399.92			
<i>Cool down with helium vessel (shifts due to ΔT, Δp, $\Delta \epsilon$)</i>	+0.71	400.63			
<i>RF on (LFD)</i>	-0.0004	400.63			
TUNING: with push/pull system			400.73-400.79	±0.005	In vacuum at 2 K

(Target frequencies updated from measurements; information from pull-push tuning not included.)

(Preference to operate tuner in pulling mode to avoid buckling.)

Lessons learned from DQW SPS-series cavities

- 1) Deformation during last two welds... found method to tune the frequency back.
- 2) Main frequency shifts:

<u>Step</u>	<u>Frequency shift (MHz)</u>	
	SPS-DQW-01	SPS-DQW-02
Last two welds	+3.10	+3.11
BCP	+0.14	+0.22
High-T baking	+0.07	-0.001
He tank assembly, welding	-0.42	-0.57
Coupler insertion	-0.04	-0.02
Cool down	+0.71	not available

- 3) Predicted shifts were underestimated and measured shifts have large deviation, but tuning range was sufficient to tune the frequency back.


Tuning methods, range

Tuning method	Tuning sensitivity (MHz/mm)	Minimum frequency step (MHz)	Minimum tuning step (mm)	Tuning range (MHz)	Range limit (mm)
Trimming	Increases from 0.7 to 1.0 with trimming	± 0.5	± 0.5 Nb machining	(+13, -2)	(+9.2, -2.0)
Alternative tuning	1.2	-0.1	-0.08	-2.4	2.0
Pre-tuning in tank (at warm)	0.77 – 0.94 (calculated)	± 0.025	± 0.025 Control screws	± 0.684	± 0.8 Stress in NbTi
Push-pull tuning (at cold)	0.318	± 0.000018	± 0.00006	± 0.508	± 1.6 Stress in Nb


Tuning methods, range

- Before the three last subassemblies of the cavity are welded together: **trim tuning** to correct from frequency deviations resulting from cavity profile within manufacturing and assembly tolerances.
- After leak check and before surface treatment preparatory for cavity cold rf test (that is, before light BCP): **action on the inductive plates** of the cavity to correct deviations due to welding, leak check and eventually, bulk BCP and high temperature baking.
- After helium vessel assembly: **pre-tuning in helium vessel** to correct from cavity deformations induced by helium tank assembly.
- For installed and operative cavity: **push-pull tuning** to shift cavity frequency during operation with different energy beams and to allow cavity transparency and provide a tool to mitigate bunch instabilities.

Trim tuning



Trim tuning





Stored energy density in a DQW SPS-series



Electric - U_E

Magnetic - U_H

In middle plane



In outer wall



REFERENCES

[1] *“Target frequencies for a DQW cavity”*, EDMS 1569809 v.3 (Nov. 8, 2017): <https://edms.cern.ch/document/1569809/3>

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

Predicted shifts were underestimated

- Low predictability but cavity is easy to tune.
- Tuning system implemented to correct weld effect offers enough range to bring cavity to target frequency.

Operation [CERN-001]	Frequency [MHz]	Frequency shift due to operation [kHz]	
		Expected	Measured
Last welds (W03A/B)	402.69	-890	+1250 / +1850
Leak check	402.39	0	-237
Alternative tuning	400.27		
Bulk BCP (150 um)	400.51	-127	+230
Bake 650 C for 24 h	400.58	0	+67
Light BCP (30 um)	400.59	-24	+14
Stiffening frame assembly	400.59	0	0
Evacuation: $\Delta\varepsilon$	400.69	+134	+100
Evacuation: $\Delta\rho + \Delta\varepsilon$	400.26		
Cooldown	401.20	+609	
Warm cavity, vented, no stiff. frame	400.51		
Alternative tuning	400.09		