

Probing the SM: Top quarks and beyond

Michele Gallinaro

LIP Lisbon

March 25, 2020



- ✓ Top quarks as window to New Physics
- ✓ Top-Higgs associated production
- ✓ Top quark signatures in SUSY
- ✓ Top and Dark Matter


SM confirmed by the data

Standard model of elementary particles

Three generations of matter (fermions)					
	I	II	III		
mass	2.4 MeV/c ²	1.27 GeV/c ²	171.2 GeV/c ²	0	? GeV/c ²
charge	2/3	2/3	2/3	0	0
spin	1/2	1/2	1/2	1	0
name	u	c	t	γ	Higgs boson

Quarks	I	II	III		
d	4.8 MeV/c ²	104 MeV/c ²	4.2 GeV/c ²	0	?
s	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3	0	?
b	1/2	1/2	1/2	1	?
down	up	charm	top	photon	gluon

Leptons	I	II	III	Gauge bosons
e	<2.2 eV/c ²	<0.17 MeV/c ²	<15.5 MeV/c ²	Z ⁰
electron	0	0	0	0
neutrino	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
ν _e	ν _μ	ν _τ	Z boson	W [±]
μ	0.511 MeV/c ²	105.7 MeV/c ²	1.777 GeV/c ²	W [±]
electron	-1	-1	-1	±1
muon	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
τ	0.511 MeV/c ²	105.7 MeV/c ²	1.777 GeV/c ²	W [±]
tau	-1	-1	-1	±1
W [±]	0.511 MeV/c ²	105.7 MeV/c ²	1.777 GeV/c ²	W [±]




Excellent agreement with all experimental results

Top quarks as window to BSM physics

Top quark affects stability of Higgs mass

Contributions grow with Λ :



$$m^2 = m_0^2 + g^2 \Lambda^2$$

Cancellation?

Solutions:

- Naturalness: There is no problem
- Weakly-coupled model at TeV scale
 - New particles to cancel SM divergences
 - Top partners: new scalar/vectors coupled to top, exotic top decays
- Strongly-coupled model at TeV scale
 - ttbar resonances, bound states, 4-top production, etc.
- New space-time structure
 - Introduce extra space dimensions to lower Planck scale cutoff to $\sim 1\text{TeV}$
 - KK excitations


The top quark

- The heaviest known elementary particle
- Large coupling to the Higgs: ~1
- Short lifetime

$$\tau = 0.4 \times 10^{-24} \text{ sec}$$



- for $m_{\text{top}} = 175 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \Gamma = 1.4 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow$ no hadronization
- large contributions to EWK corrections $\sim G_F m_{\text{top}}^2$
- very short lifetime \Rightarrow bound states are not formed
 \Rightarrow opportunity to study a free quark

- Large samples of top quarks available
- Top quarks are main background for many New Physics searches
- Precision measurements may provide insight into physics beyond SM




Role of top quark physics

- Top quark physics after the Higgs discovery
 - Heavy particle, preferential coupling?
 - Special role in EWSB mechanism?
 - Does it play a role in non-SM physics?
 - Are the couplings affected?
 - Main background for many NP searches
- Monitoring of production mechanism
- Is there any sign of NP in top production/decay?




Role of top quark physics


- Top quark physics after the Higgs discovery
 - Heavy particle, preferential coupling?
 - Special role in EWSB mechanism?
 - Does it play a role in non-SM physics?
 - Are the couplings affected?
 - Main background for many NP searches
- Monitoring of production mechanism
- Is there any sign of NP in top production/decay?



Study characteristics




Regions hard to explore




Top quark decays


Top quarks (mostly) produced in pairs



Top Pair Branching Fractions



- Dilepton (ee , $\mu\mu$, $e\mu$):
 - BR~5%, 2 leptons+2 b-jets+2 neutrinos
- Lepton (e or μ) + jets
 - BR~30%, one lepton+4jets (2 from b)+1 neutrino
- All hadronic
 - BR~44%, 6 jets (2 from b), no neutrinos





b-jets always present
b-jet reconstruction plays important role

Cross section: multi-dimensional fit

CMS-TOP-16-006

- Lepton+jet final state
- Keep selection as inclusive as possible
- Categorize events according to (b-)jet multiplicity
 - high-purity vs background dominated
 - Constrain systematics (JES, ISR/FSR, modeling, etc)
- Combined fit of M_{lb} to signal and backgrounds
- Precise cross section measurement





Differential cross section

EPJC 73(2013) 2339, CMS-TOP-12-027, TOP-15-013, TOP-16-011, arXiv:1610.04191

- Measure differential cross section
 - Test perturbative QCD
 - Test BSM scenarios (Z' decays, etc)
- Cross sections measured as a function of p_T , η , invariant mass of the final state leptons, top quarks, ttbar system, etc.
- Good agreement with expectations

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}} \frac{d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{dX}$$





Probing the Wtb vertex

PRD 85 (2012) 112007, PLB 739 (2014) 23

Dileptons with taus

- cross section measurement including τ s
- Includes only 3rd generation quarks/leptons
- Syst unc: tau ID, fakes


Channel	Signature	BR
Dilepton(e/ μ)	e e , $\mu\mu$, e μ + 2b-jets	4/81
Single lepton	e, μ + jets + 2b-jets	24/81
All-hadronic	jets + 2b-jets	36/81
Tau dilepton	$\tau\tau$, $\mu\tau$ + 2 b-jets	4/81
Tau+jets	τ + jets + 2b-jets	12/81



- If top quark plays special role in EWK symmetry breaking, couplings to W may change
- Charged Higgs may alter coupling to W
- Search for final states with taus: charged Higgs


Looking at tau decays

CMS-HIG-12-052





SM


BSM



VS



How does a top quark decay?



- almost always $t \rightarrow W b$ (i.e. $V_{tb} \sim 1$)
- lifetime is short, and it decays before hadronizing
- the W is real:
 - can decay $W \rightarrow l\nu$ ($l = e, \mu, \tau$), $BR \sim 1/9$ per lepton
 - can decay $W \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $BR \sim 2/3$

Cross section in the R measurement




N.Cim. B125(2010)983, PLB 736(2014)33

- Measure R:
- Dilepton final state

$$R \equiv \frac{BR(t \rightarrow Wb)}{BR(t \rightarrow Wq)} \approx |V_{tb}|^2$$


$$\sigma(t\bar{t}) = 238 \pm 1 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 15 \text{ (syst.) pb} \pm 6\%$$

$$|V_{tb}| > 0.975 \text{ at 95% CL}$$




Top quark mass

- Top quark mass is a fundamental parameter of the SM



- Precise measurement needed for checking consistency of the SM





- Top is the only fermion with the mass of the order of EWSB scale
- Discovered Higgs boson fits well with precise determinations of m_W and m_{top}
- Other properties (EWK coupling, production asymmetries, etc.) are predicted by SM
- Precise measurements could reveal breakdown of SM

Precise mass measurement




arXiv:1509.04044, EPJC78(2018)891

- Select lepton+jet final state
 - Best channel to measure m_{top}
 - well defined final state (1 lepton, 1 ν , 2b $W_{\text{qq}'}$)
- Select ttbar events: hadronic decays (m_{top} , m_W)
- Kinematic fit: constrain W mass, top-antitop masses
 - In-situ JES calibration
- Measure m_{top} and JSF



Top quark mass results



- accurate (~0.3%) measurement



W boson polarization

arXiv:1612.02577, PRD 93(2016)052007


- Properties of Wtb vertex in SM is characterized by V-A structure
- W bosons can be produced with left-handed, right-handed, or longitudinal polarization
 - Fractions of polarization states are well predicted
- Can probe by measuring the angular distributions of the W boson decay products
- New physics could alter the polarization



Spin correlation

PRD 93(2016)052007, ATLAS-CONF-2018-027



- Top quark produced are not polarized
 - ...but spins between quark and anti-quark are correlated
- Top quark decays before spins decorrelate
 - It decays before hadronization ($\tau \sim 10^{-25}$ s) \Rightarrow spin information transmitted to decay products
 - No need to reconstruct full $t\bar{t}$ system
- Spin correlation depends on production mode
- It may differ from SM expectations
 - Decays to charged Higgs and b quark ($t \rightarrow H^+ b$)
 - Other BSM scenarios



How else is Top produced?

PRD102(2009)182003, PRD81(2010)054028

- Single top quark production



Resonance Production?
Top Color-Assisted Technicolor
OR
?????

Probing top quark production


- Differential measurements

- Testing QCD, measuring properties, searching for new physics, ...
- Function of kinematics, global variables, associated production

- Increased sensitivity: top quark pairs produced at rest


- $\sigma(M_{tt} > 1 \text{ TeV} \text{ at } 13 \text{ TeV}) = 8 \times \sigma(M_{tt} > 1 \text{ TeV} \text{ at } 8 \text{ TeV})$

⇒ Unique opportunity to probe boosted production at 13 TeV




Boosted topology

JHEP 1209(2012)029, TOP-16-013



- At high energy, particles produced beyond threshold
- All-hadronic topology
 - Top p_T boosted, jets are collimated
 - Decay products and FSR collected in a “fat” jet
- Look at jet substructure
- Measure mass (no neutrinos)




Boosted topology

- In many models there is high potential to discover new physics in the top sector in search for heavy resonances

$$pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow t\bar{t}$$



- Simple approach to merge neighboring jets



- At LHC energy, EWK scale particles produced beyond threshold
- Jets are highly collimated
- Decay products and FSR collected in a fat jet

Jet/Event selection




- Locate hadronic energy deposit in detector by choosing initial jet finding algorithm
- Impose jet selection cuts on fat jet
 - Recombine jet constituents with new algorithm
 - Filtering: recombine n sub-jets min $d(i,j)$
 - Trimming: recombine sub-jets with min p_T
- Minimum distance between jets is R



Top quark pair resonance


CMS-B2G-17-017, EPJC78(2018)565

- No resonance expected in SM
- Why is top so heavy?
 - new physics?
 - is third generation ‘special’?
- Search for massive neutral bosons decaying via a $t\bar{t}$ quark pair
- Experimental check
 - search for bump in the inv. mass spectrum
 - progressive loss in reconstruction ability due to jet merging
 - reconstruct $M_{t\bar{t}}$ in different categories (e/μ , n -jets, n b-tags)
 - I+jet events: full event reconstruction
 - Subdivide in categories




ttbar+Higgs

- ttbar produced in association with H
 - ttbar is a “clean” tag
- direct measurement of Higgs couplings



Cross section for $t\bar{t}H$ at the LHC:
0.13 pb (8 TeV)
0.61 pb (14 TeV)

$t\bar{t}H \sim 1\%$ of total Higgs cross section




ttbar+heavy flavour

arXiv:1411.5621, PLB776(2018)355

- Study rate of ttbb: $\sigma(t\bar{t}b\bar{b})/\sigma(t\bar{t}jj)$
- Anomalous tt+jets could signal BSM final states
- First direct measurement of typical bkg to top-Higgs coupling
 - Irreducible non-resonant bkg from ttbb
- Improved theoretical understanding of ttH(bb) crucial to ttH and NP searches

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}jj} = 0.022 \pm 0.003 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.005 \text{ (syst)}$$




$$\sigma(\text{ttbb}) = 4.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.3 \text{ (syst)} \text{ pb}$$



Higgs couplings to top quarks


PRL 120(2018)231801, arXiv:1806:00242

- Direct study of Top-Higgs Yukawa coupling
- Explore all accessible Higgs decay modes
- Independent analysis of different final states (WW, ZZ, $\gamma\gamma$, $\tau\tau$, bb)



Event selection



- Improve sensitivity thanks to progress in data analysis strategies that use advanced algorithms
- Analysis workflow more efficient thanks to compressed data format



Observation of ttH



PRL 120(2018)231801, arXiv:1806:00242

- Use several event categories
- Establishes directly tree-level coupling to an up-type quark



Consistency with SM


JHEP 08(2016)45, CMS-HIG-15-002, ATLAS-CONF-2015-044



VBF+VH: boson in production
ggF+ttH: fermions in production

ttV production ($V=\gamma, W, Z$)

- Large datasets give access to rare tt+W and tt+Z processes
- ttZ: direct probe of top-Z coupling (new physics?)
- ttW: important background to NP searches




- Use multi-lepton final states
 - 2 same-sign charge leptons, 3 or 4 lepton final states

ttV production ($V=\gamma, W, Z$)

arXiv:1808.02913, JHEP08(2018)011, JHEP10(2017)006


- Measurements gives access to EW couplings of the top



Measure $\sigma(\tau\tau\gamma)=127 \pm 27 \text{ fb}$

$\sigma(pp \rightarrow t\gamma j)\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow \mu\nu b) = 115 \pm 17 \text{ (stat)} \pm 30 \text{ (syst)} \text{ fb}$


\Rightarrow Consistent with SM predictions





Top-Z coupling

CMS-TOP-18-008

- Small production rate (~ 50 times smaller than that of the Higgs boson) and large backgrounds



$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow tZq \rightarrow t\ell^+\ell^-q) = 111^{+13}_{-13} \text{ (stat)}^{+11}_{-9} \text{ (syst)} \text{ fb}$$



Flavor Changing Neutral Currents

- FCNC: top couples to light quarks (u/c) and neutral bosons (γ, Z, H, g)
- Forbidden at tree level in SM
- Very small rates predicted
- Deviations would give hint for NP



Process	SM	2HDM(FV)	2HDM(FC)	MSSM	RPV	RS
$t \rightarrow Zu$	7×10^{-17}	–	–	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	–
$t \rightarrow Zc$	1×10^{-14}	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$
$t \rightarrow gu$	4×10^{-14}	–	–	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	–
$t \rightarrow gc$	5×10^{-12}	$\leq 10^{-4}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-6}$	$\leq 10^{-10}$
$t \rightarrow \gamma u$	4×10^{-16}	–	–	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	–
$t \rightarrow \gamma c$	5×10^{-14}	$\leq 10^{-7}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-8}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$
$t \rightarrow hu$	2×10^{-17}	6×10^{-6}	–	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	–
$t \rightarrow hc$	3×10^{-15}	2×10^{-3}	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-5}$	$\leq 10^{-9}$	$\leq 10^{-4}$

Flavor Changing Neutral Currents


- Expect small signal from SM
- ...but signal may be large in BSM models

Final states:


Wb



tZ



SM: tZq



Couplings:

$t \rightarrow ug$

$t \rightarrow uH$

$t \rightarrow cg$

$t \rightarrow cH$

$t \rightarrow ug, t \rightarrow cg$

$t \rightarrow uZ, t \rightarrow cZ$

$$\sigma_{qg \rightarrow t} \times B(t \rightarrow Wb) < 3.4 \text{ pb}$$

$$\sigma_{qg \rightarrow t} \times B(t \rightarrow Wb) < 2.9 \text{ pb}$$

$$B(t \rightarrow Hc) < 0.40\%$$

$$B(t \rightarrow Hu) < 0.55\%$$

$$B(t \rightarrow Zu) < 0.022\%$$




$$B(t \rightarrow Zc) < 0.049\%$$

$$\text{SM } \sigma(tZq) = 10^{+8.7} \text{ fb}$$

Top quarks and rare decays



arXiv:1711.02547, PLB779(2018)358, EPJC78(2018)140, CMS-TOP-17-016

- Heaviest fundamental particle
- Study naked quark, decays before hadronization
- Strongly interacting with EWK sector and Higgs
- Anomalous couplings: Wtb vertex may include BSM terms



Vector-like quarks

- Predicted in many BSM models, aim to solve the hierarchy problem
 - in multiplets: singlet, doublet, triplet
 - left- and right-handed component with same quantum numbers
- VLQs can mix with SM quarks and modify the couplings to the Z/W/Higgs bosons
- Search for VLQ single and pair production
 - Most searches assume VLQs couple/decay to SM particles (bosons and 3rd generation quarks)
- Busy events, a lot of top quarks, bottom quarks, leptons and jets in final state
 - Example: 2 tops in final state, look for resolved/merged top quark decays
 - use top/H/W/Z taggers to find hadronic decays



Vector-like quarks

- Predicted in many BSM models, aim to solve



the hierarchy
 – in multiplets
 – left- and right-handed numbers

- VLQs can have different couplings

Search for VLQs
 – Most sensitive channels (bosons)

- Busy environment with leptons and jets
 – Examples: top quark decays

- use top/H/W/Z taggers to find hadronic decays




Scalar top quark

- SUSY is one plausible extension of the SM
- due to the heavy top quark, mass splitting between \tilde{t}_1 and \tilde{t}_2 can be large, such that the lighter stop \tilde{t}_1 can be even lighter than the top quark
- Decays dictated by mass spectrum of other SUSY particles



- Light stop:

$$m_{\tilde{t}_1} \lesssim m_t$$



- Heavy stop:

$$\tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$



$$\tilde{t} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}^+ \rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

$$\tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$




Top and SUSY

EPJC 74 (2014) 3109, arXiv:1603.02303, SUS-16-002, JHEP10(2017)019

- If SUSY exists and is responsible for solution of hierarchy problem, naturalness arguments suggest that SUSY partners of top quark (*stop*) may have mass close to m_{top} to cancel top quark loop contributions to Higgs mass

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 &\rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & \text{"heavy"} \\ \tilde{t} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^+ &\rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & \text{"light"} \end{aligned}$$

- Small predicted cross section
 - for 175GeV: 40pb@8TeV
- Stop pair production: $t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
 - similar to ttbar lepton+jet and dilepton ch.
 - additional MET from neutralinos
- change in ttbar cross section




Top and SUSY


EPJC 74 (2014) 3109, arXiv:1603.02303, SUS-16-002, JHEP10(2017)019

- If SUSY exists and is responsible for solution of hierarchy problem, naturalness arguments suggest that SUSY partners of top quark (*stop*) may have mass close to m_{top} to cancel top quark loop contributions to Higgs mass

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 &\rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 && \text{"heavy"} \\ \tilde{t} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^+ &\rightarrow b W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 && \text{"light"} \end{aligned}$$





- Small predicted cross section
 - for 175GeV: 40pb@8TeV
- Stop pair production: $t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
 - similar to ttbar lepton+jet and dilepton ch.
 - additional MET from neutralinos
- change in ttbar cross section



Top cross section: dileptons


- Indirect searches
- SUSY models could produce final states very similar (with additional MET)
- For example: dilepton channel



Multi-top production

arXiv:1605.03171, 1702.06164, TOP-18-003



- Production of 4 tops is an attractive scenario in a number of new physics models
- The SM cross section is 12fb @ 13TeV
- Use lepton+jets final state
- Combination of kinematical variables and BDT
- Search for same-sign dileptons, or >2 leptons
- Consider multiple **control-** and **search-regions** defined by MET, hadronic energy, number of (b-) jets, and p_T of the leptons in the events
- Measure cross section: $\sigma = 12.6^{+5.8}_{-5.2} \text{ fb}$



Multi-top production

arXiv:1605.03171, 1702.06164, TOP-18-003




- Production of 4 tops is an attractive scenario in a number of new physics models
- The SM cross section is $12\text{fb}@13\text{TeV}$
- Use lepton+jets final state
- Combination of kinematical variables and BDT
- Search for same-sign dileptons, or ≥ 2 leptons
- Consider multiple control- and search-regions defined by MET, hadronic energy, number of (b-) jets, and p_T of the leptons in the events
- Measure cross section: $\sigma = 12.6^{+5.8}_{-5.2} \text{ fb}$
- Limits on Yukawa couplings: $|y_t/y_t^{\text{SM}}| < 1.7$



Dark Matter + ttbar



CMS-EXO-16-005

- Search for DM + ttbar($\rightarrow l+jets, all hadr.$)
- Shape of MET distribution
- Signature: ttbar+MET
- Top-tagging categorization
- Signal events at large MET




Precision Proton Spectrometer

- Joint CMS and TOTEM project that aims at measuring the surviving scattered protons on both sides of CMS in standard running conditions
- Tracking and timing detectors inside the beam pipe at $\sim 210\text{m}$ from IP5
- Approved (2014), exploratory phase in 2015, data taking started in 2016, pixels installed from 2017, full detectors in 2018



Exclusive top quark production

- Reconstruction of $t\bar{t}$ events is incomplete due to neutrinos (dileptons) etc.
- Exclusive production allows full reconstruction of $t\bar{t}$ kinematics from the leading protons with **excellent momentum resolution**



- Couplings of top quark to photons are small
- Process expected to be very sensitive to top quark anomalous couplings with the photon
- Anomalous production cross section or kinematical properties would provide **hints for New Physics**

Searches for new particles

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Status: August 2016


1 TeV

ATLAS Preliminary

$$\int \mathcal{L} dt = (3.2 - 20.3) \text{ fb}^{-1}$$

$$\sqrt{s} = 8, 13 \text{ TeV}$$

Reference




$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Lower bounds are specified only when explicitly not excluded.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Cross sections at the LHC




“Well known” processes, don’t need to keep all of them ...

New Physics!!
This is where to look

LHC: from searches to precision

- A hadron collider at full throttle
 - Reaching the energy limit
 - In Run3, collisions at 14 TeV
 - Large datasets ($\sim 300/\text{fb}$ expected in Run3)
- Moving from searches to precision measurements and rare processes
 - Top quarks and rare decays
 - Higgs couplings and rare decays
 - Anomalous couplings etc.
- Preparing for High-Luminosity (2026 and beyond) with improved detectors
 - Several technological challenges ahead as complexity increases

Rich and extensive set of results



Summary

- Top quarks are valuable probes of SM
- Excellent consistency but SM is incomplete
 - Extensions foresee existence of additional bosons
 - Searches for BSM bosons ongoing
- Dominant background for New Physics searches
- Due to large mass, top quarks may couple to heavy objects
- Deviations from SM may indicate New Physics
- More data will enhance the sensitivity
 - Higgs, multi-top, boosted objects, SUSY, Dark matter, etc.