



Exotica and Dark Matter searches


Michele Gallinaro

LIP Lisbon

April 27, 2020

- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Dark matter
- ✓ Exotica searches

2012: A new boson discovery




<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb>







M. Gallinaro - "Exotica and Dark Matter searches" - April 27, 2020

Standard Model theory of everything?

- Discovery of the Higgs boson marks the triumph of the SM
- However, even with the inclusion of the Higgs boson, SM is an incomplete theory




Tests of the SM




Beyond the Standard Model

The SM answers many of the questions about the structure of matter. But SM is not complete; still many unanswered questions:

- 
- a) Why do we observe matter and almost no antimatter if we believe there is a symmetry between the two in the universe?
 - b) What is this "dark matter" that we can't see that has visible gravitational effects in the cosmos?
 - c) Are quarks and leptons actually fundamental, or made up of even more fundamental particles?
 - d) Why are there three generations of quarks and leptons? What is the explanation for the observed pattern for particle masses?
 - e) How does gravity fit into all of this?


Dark matter and energy

- What is that accounts for 96% of the Universe?
Nobody knows.
- It is one of the greatest mysteries of Science



What can we look for?

A crowded field. At the LHC we can search for some of these



How?

- Search for new phenomena
- Look for New Physics
- **Indirect searches**
 - precision measurements, event properties, etc.
- **Direct searches**
 - resonances, specific final states, model-(in)dependent searches, etc.
- Production and decay rates, event characteristics, advanced tools



Dark Matter

What is it?

- DM does not interact electromagnetically
- DM interacts gravitationally

Visual map



Dark Matter (cont.)

Why is it interesting?

- We do not see it...but we feel it


Mass map



Dark Matter (cont.)

How do we find DM?

- Need to understand how it interacts with Universe
- Traditionally through a mediator
- Yields at least two new particles





Searching for DM



Direct Detection



Particle Colliders




Indirect Detection





How do we find it: @underground

- Through a nuclear recoil




How do we find it: @Space

- Through annihilation
 - Cosmic rays from DM




How do we find it: @LHC

- Produced it through a mediator



DM at the LHC


- CMS/ATLAS experiments **not** designed for DM searches



DM searches at LHC

How do we find DM at the LHC?

- DM production gives MET signature




DM searches: backgrounds

What are the backgrounds?

- $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$


–very similar to signal



DM searches: backgrounds (cont.)

How to discriminate signal against the background?

- Look for high MET:



Study hadronic recoil

$$MET = -\sum_{\text{All particles}} p_T$$


$$MET(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu) = -Z \text{ recoil} + p_T(\nu\nu)$$

$$MET(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu) = -Z p_T$$

DM searches: backgrounds (cont.)


How to discriminate signal against the background?

- Can fit the shape and look for signal




DM+Z


signal



background




- Main background is from ZZ di-bosons
- Understanding ZZ di-boson pT is critical



Build a V-tagger

- Two jets are more collimated at high p_T




- At **low** p_T jets are “resolved”
 - Focus on reconstructing di-jets with mass near W mass
- At **high** p_T get one “fat” jet
 - Focus on identifying one jet with mass near W mass
- Use additional variables to improve discrimination



DM+jet/V

arXiv:1712.02345

DM search in mono-jet/V




Need good control of systematics




VBF: H(invisible)

arXiv:1809.06682, arXiv:1809.05937


- In the SM, $B(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ only 0.1%
- Any significant deviation would indicate BSM
- Signature: Large MET, $\Delta\phi(jj)$, veto ℓ/b -jets
 - C&C and shape fit of $m(jj)$
- Main bkg: V+jets (95%)
- Tag with forward jets+MET
- Cross section $\sim 4\text{pb}$
- Small background



Shape: bkg-only fit in CRs+SR




Set limits: $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv.}) < 0.37$ (0.28) @95%CL




DM+Higgs

arXiv:1703.05236

- DM search with $H(\rightarrow bb, \gamma\gamma)$
- Model dependent search
- Z' 2HDM Model







- No significant excess
- Set limits for coupling $g=0.8$



Dark Matter


arXiv:1903.01400, arXiv:1901.0155, CMS-EXO-18-009

- Complementarity to direct/indirect searches
- DM particles:
 - interact via spin-0 & spin-1 mediators
 - are undetected (MET) recoiling against SM particle(s)
- Extensive program of mono-X searches (X=jet, γ , lepton, W, Z, t, tt, bb, H)
- No excess observed
- Interpretation through simplified models (DM and mediator masses, couplings to DM and SM)



Experimental results

- Limits for given couplings between SM and DM interaction
- **Competitive limits at low masses wrt other experiments**




⇒ Collider results complement direct searches for low masses (<5-10GeV)

Resonant searches

Among the highest dijet mass event recorded: $m_{jj} = 8.12 \text{ TeV}$

Resonant Searches







$p_T = 3.8 \text{ TeV}$

$p_T = 3.8 \text{ TeV}$

BSM models predict new resonances



- BSMs predict resonances with spin 0,1,2
- Are quarks fundamental particles?
 - Excited quarks in models of compositeness
- Randall-Sundrum (RS) models
 - Spin-2 graviton (KK-particle)
- Heavy-Vector Triplets
 - Spin-1 resonance
 - Models based on strength of vector boson interactions
- Sequential SM
 - Z' and Z with same couplings to fermions
 - Width proportional to the mass




New phenomena in di-jet events

CMS-EXO-17-026, arXiv:1910.08447

- Searches up to high masses
- QCD predicts a smooth, monotonic decrease in dijet invariant mass
- Search for a localized excess
- No significant excess observed




Searching for dilepton resonances



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN

Data recorded: 2017-Jun-27 15:39:36.789504 GMT




Run / Event / LS: 297599 / 134277310 / 86



**Dimuon candidate event:
Reconstructed mass of 2.4 TeV**

Di-muon events



- Di-muon events: a re-discovery of the SM



High-mass dilepton resonances


arXiv:1803.06292, arXiv:1903.06248, CMS-EXO-19-019

- Search for dilepton ($ee, \mu\mu$) resonance




Search for diboson resonances

- Heavy BSM resonances ($>1\text{TeV}$) may decay into SM bosons (W,Z, H)
- Several final states
- Experimental challenges
 - SM bosons decay mostly to quarks
 - Due to large Lorentz boost, decay products merge into single jet
 - Clustered within a large-cone jet ($R=0.8$)
- Look into jet substructure
 - **Jet “grooming”**: get rid of soft jet components from UE/pileup, keep constituents from hard scatter
 - Apply filters (mass drop, pruning, trimming)



Diboson resonances

- Many potential final states are possible
 - $WW/WZ, ZW/ZZ, VV$
- Hadronic channels with high sensitivity in high mass region




Jet grooming


arXiv:0802.2470

Mass drop/filtering


- Identify approx. symmetric sub-jets (with smaller mass than sum)



Mass drop: define 2 sub-jets



Filtering: re-cluster j_1, j_2 constituents




Jet grooming (cont.)

arXiv:0912.1342, arXiv:0912.0033


“Trimming”

- Uses k_T algorithm to make subjets (subjets with $p_T^i/p_T < \text{cut}$ removed)



“Pruning”

- Recombine jet constituents, while veto wide-angle/softer constituents



W, Z, H reconstruction



arXiv:1707.01303

- Grooming and jet mass

- Pruning
- soft drop (stable w/pileup, and good jet mass resolution $\sim 10\%$)

- Vector boson tagging ($V \rightarrow qq$)


- n-subjettiness τ_{21} : how consistent with 2 sub-jets
- Categorization according to purity: high (< 0.35) and high (> 0.35)



W, Z, H reconstruction (cont.)

arXiv:1707.01303



- Higgs boson tagging ($H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$)
 - Double b-tagging
 - Exploit b-tagging to identify two b-quarks in same jet
 - Soft-lepton information
 - Combines tracking and vertexing in MVA



Searching for diboson resonances

arXiv:1906.08589




- No significant excess in any of the observed final states
- Exclusion limits: HVT models excluded up to 4.1 TeV, Spin-2 RS models up to 2.8 TeV
- Large improvements due to new methods for jet reconstructions and boson tagging



Search for multilepton final states

arXiv:1708:07962


- Type-III extension to SM
- Search for 3 or more lepton final states
- Pair production of $W/Z/H \rightarrow \Sigma\Sigma$
- Scalar sum of lepton p_T (L_T)
- Bin and count ($L_T + \text{MET}$)



$X \rightarrow VV \rightarrow qqqq$


arXiv:1708:05379

- All hadronic resonance search with single (qV) or double (VV) V-tag
 - At least 2 back-to-back jets $p_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$
 - Categorization (jet mass, τ_{21})
- Background estimation: “bump hunt” fit data with power law




Candidate ZZ event
Dijet mass: 3.2 TeV

Anti- k_T R=0.8 jet	
p_T	1321 GeV
η	-0.40
ϕ	-2.71
M_{SD}	103 GeV
τ_{21}	0.23





Anti- k_T R=0.8 jet	
p_T	1374 GeV
η	0.79
ϕ	0.43
M_{SD}	94.8
τ_{21}	0.29



$X \rightarrow VH \rightarrow qqbb$

arXiv:1707.01303

- All-hadronic search for $V \rightarrow qq$ and $H \rightarrow bb$ resonances
 - dedicated identification for $H \rightarrow bb$ (b-tagging)
- Use categories
 - V-jet mass (W or Z), V-jet τ_{21} (high-purity, low-purity), H-jet (tight and loose b-tag)






- Similar topology and background estimate to VV resonance search
- No significant excess found in data

$X \rightarrow ZV \rightarrow \ell\ell qq$

arXiv:1803.10093

- Search for resonances in $Z \rightarrow ee/\mu\mu$, $V \rightarrow qq$
- Clean final state (leptons)
 - Good mass resolution, good efficiency
- τ_{21} categorization (HP, LP)
- Parametrize main bkg (Z +jets), fit to data in sidebands, take shape from MC





- Data compatible with SM-only hypothesis

$X \rightarrow WV \rightarrow \ell \nu qq$

arXiv:1802.09407

- Search for a resonance decaying to WV in lepton+jet channel
- Categorization in τ_{21} and W/Z mass
- Sideband+transfer function for bkg estimate






- Similar sensitivity to $Z(\ell)V(qq)$ search
- Excluded up to 1.1-3.1 TeV

$X \rightarrow VH \rightarrow \ell\nu qq$



PLB 768(2017)137

- Search for a resonance decaying to VH in leptonic channels
 - $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$: transverse mass $m_T(VH)$
 - $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$: top control region
 - $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$: high-efficiency dilepton ID
 - $H(bb)$ b-tagging
- Sideband bkg prediction

- Heavy vector triplet (Z' , W')
- g_V, g_H (c_V, c_F): couplings




Combination of diboson searches





Combination of resonance searches






- Combination of searches for heavy resonances decaying to boson and lepton final states
- Large gain in statistical combination



Resonance searches: Summary



CMS Preliminary





Vector-like quarks

Motivation

- Simplest extension allowed in the quark sector
- Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions with vector coupling
- Can mix with SM quarks and modify their couplings to the $W/Z/H$ bosons
- Sizeable mixing with 3rd family, b and t

Properties



- Produced via strong and EWK interactions
- Mainly pair-produced
- Both CC and NC decay modes



VLQ searches

PLB 779(2018)82


- Search for VLQ pair production decaying to $WbWb$
- Search in the **boosted regime**
- Can reconstruct the VLQ system




Looking forward: PPS

CERN-LHC-2014-021

- The Precision Proton Spectrometer is a joint CMS and TOTEM project that aims at measuring the surviving **scattered protons** on both sides of CMS in standard running conditions
- **Tracking** and **timing** detectors inside the beam pipe at ~210m from IP5
- Project approved in Dec. 2014 by LHCC
- Data taking started in 2016 (full scope from 2017)




CERN-LHC-2014-021



PPS physics motivations


- **Central Exclusive Production**
 - photon-photon collisions
 - gluon-gluon fusion in color singlet, $J^{PC}=0^+$
- **High- p_T system in central detector, together with very forward protons in PPS**
 - momentum balance between central system and forward protons, provides strong kinematical constraints
 - Mass of central system measured by momentum loss of the two leading protons
- **Gauge boson production by photon-photon fusion and anomalous couplings ($\gamma\gamma WW$, $\gamma\gamma ZZ$, and $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$)**
- **Search for new BSM resonances**
- **Study of QCD in a new domain**



Detectors



- Tracking detectors

- Goal: measure proton momentum
- Technology: silicon 3D pixels (6 planes per pot)




- Timing detectors

- Goal: identify primary vertex, reject “pileup”
- $\sigma_{\text{time}} \sim 10\text{ps} \Rightarrow \sigma_z \sim 2\text{mm}$
- Technology: silicon/diamond



Roman Pot insertion

- Insertion procedure validated in 2016 by the LHC
 - Improvements carried out wrt earlier versions (RF shielding, cylindrical pots, ferrite, copper coating)
- Minimum distance of approach dramatically affects detector acceptance and physics reach
- A few mm ($\sim 15\sigma$) from beam in nominal high-luminosity runs
 - Monitor beam losses, showers, interplay with collimators, beam impedance (heating, vacuum and beam orbit stability)



LHC tunnel @ PPS location

215m

CT-PPS
timing


214m

CT-PPS
tracking

beam

220m



CT-PPS
tracking



Timing detectors

Use timing to reject pileup background

- Two scenarios studied:
 - 10ps and 30ps time resolution
- Baseline: solid state detectors
- Detector options investigated:
 - Diamond sensors
 - Fast silicon sensors (UFSD, HFS)
- Status:
 - Diamond and LGAD detectors installed



WW production

JHEP 08(2016)119

- Study of process: $pp \rightarrow pWWp$

- Clean process: W in central detector and “nothing” else, intact protons can be detected far away from IP
- Exclusive production of W pairs via photon exchange: QED process, cross section well known

- Backgrounds:

- inclusive WW, $\tau\tau$, exclusive two-photon $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ll$, etc.

- Events:



- WW pair in central detector, leading protons in PPS

- SM observation of WW events

- Anomalous coupling study

- AQGCs predicted in BSM theories
- parameters: a_0^W/Λ^2 , a_c^W/Λ^2


- Deviations from SM can be large




Exclusive Dileptons

CMS-PPS-17-001


- Study exclusive processes at the EWK scale
- Search for two-photon production of opposite charge lepton pair with forward proton tagging



signal



SD



DD



Background: SD, DD, DY, dibosons, PU

- Signal selected with:
- at least one proton tagged, muons, kinematic selection

Exclusive Dileptons (cont.)

CMS-PPS-17-001

- Correlation between the ξ values in central system vs RP
- $12\mu\mu$, $8ee$ candidates observed ($>5\sigma$ over expected bkg)
- First observation of two-photon production of a lepton pair at this mass range




AQGC expected limits

arXiv:1607.03745

Expected limits @95%CL:

$$a_0^W / \Lambda^2 = 2 \times 10^{-6} \quad (3 \times 10^{-6}),$$



$$a_C^W / \Lambda^2 = 7 \times 10^{-6} \quad (10 \times 10^{-6}).$$



$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: Anomalous couplings, etc.



PRD 89(2014)114004

- Indirect search: neutral quartic gauge couplings (forbidden in SM) in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- Expect to provide best sensitivity at LHC
- Sensitive to axion-like particles




Prospects for Run3 and beyond

- More luminosity in a more challenging environment
- Will enhance the mass reach in the search for new particles
- Need to meet experimental challenges
 - Aging of detector, improve/adapt capability
 - Integrated luminosity: 300-3000/fb
 - peak luminosity of $2 \times 10^{35} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
 - pileup will be ~ 150 or higher (Phase2)
 - large radiation doses



CMS FLUKA geometry v.3.7.0.0


BSM searches: resonances, etc.



HL-LHC upgrades

Luminosity of $\sim 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ expected for HL-LHC

- Tracking information in “L1 track-trigger”
 - Tracker designed to enable finding all tracks w/ $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ in $< 4 \mu\text{s}$
- Tracker is all silicon but with much higher granularity, up to $|\eta|=4$
 - > 2 billion pixels and strips
- High Granularity Endcap Calorimeters
 - Sampling of EM showers: every $\sim 1\lambda$ (28 samples) w/pixels, and every $\sim 0.35\lambda$ (24 samples) with pixels+scintillator to map 3D shower development
 - $\sim 6 \text{ M}$ channels in all
- Precision timing to add a 4th dimension to object reconstruction



Future: HL-LHC upgrades

Trigger/HLT/DAQ

- Track information in hardware event selection
- 750 kHz hardware event selection
- 7.5 kHz events registered

Barrel EM calorimeter

- New electronics
- Low operating temperature = -10°

Muon systems

- New DT & CSC electronics
- New chambers $1.6 < \eta < 2.4$
- Muon tagging $2.4 < \eta < 3$

New Endcap Calorimeters


- Rad. Tolerant
- 5D measurement

New Tracker




- Rad. Tolerant - light
- High Definition measurement
- 40 MHz selective readout for hardware trigger
- Extended Pixel coverage to $\eta \approx 3.8$

Beam radiation and luminosity


Common systems and infrastructure



Precision Timing Layer



- Time-of-flight precision $\sim 30\text{ps}$
 - $|\eta| < 3$, $p_T > 0.7\text{GeV}$
 - Crystal+SiPM: rad hard to $2 \times 10^{14} n_{\text{eq}} \text{cm}^{-1}$
- Provide $\sim x4-5$ effective PU reduction
 - 15% merged vertices reduce to 1.5%
 - Low PU track purity of vertices recovered
- Showers timed to 30ps in calorimeters



Summary

- Excellent consistency of SM but **SM is incomplete**
- Direct and indirect searches for New Physics
 - Collected $\sim 150/\text{fb}$ @13 TeV in 2015-2018
 - $\sim 300/\text{fb}$ to be collected in the next few years (up to LS3)
- Many studies performed with data collected so far
 - New dedicated algorithms being developed
 - Dark Matter, Exotica, signature-based searches
 - Other BSM searches
- Searches provide **no hints for BSM yet**

