M-Theory as a Dynamical System

Georgios Linardopoulos

Institute of Nuclear & Particle Physics National Center for Scientific Research "Demokritos"



INPP Annual Meeting NCSR, "Demokritos", November 15th 2019

Research Themes, References & Collaborators

AdS\CFT Correspondence & Integrability

• Work on Holographic Defects in collaboration with M. de Leeuw and C. Kristjansen (my INPP talk last month):

 M. de Leeuw, C. Kristjansen, and G. Linardopoulos. "Scalar One-point Functions and Matrix Product States of AdS/dCFT". In: Phys.Lett. B781 (2018), p. 238. arXiv: 1802.01598 [hep-th].

[2]. M. de Leeuw, C. Kristjansen, and G. Linardopoulos. "One-point Functions of Non-protected Operators in the SO(5) Symmetric D3-D7 dCFT". In: J. Phys. A50 (2017), p. 254001. arXiv: 1612.06236 [hep-th].

Work on the Spectral Problem of AdS/CFT with M. Axenides and E. Floratos:

[3]. M. Axenides, E. Floratos, and G. Linardopoulos. "The Omega-Infinity Limit of Single Spikes". In: Nucl. Phys. B907 (2016), p. 323. arXiv: 1511.03587 [hep-th],

in collaboration with E. Floratos:

[4]. E. Floratos and G. Linardopoulos. "Large-Spin and Large-Winding Expansions of Giant Magnons and Single Spikes". In: Nucl.Phys. B897 (2015), p. 229. arXiv: 1406.0796 [hep-th],

in collaboration with E. Floratos and G. Georgiou:

[5]. E. Floratos, G. Georgiou, and G. Linardopoulos. "Large-Spin Expansions of GKP Strings". In: JHEP 03 (2014), p. 018. arXiv: 1311.5800 [hep-th],

and single-authored work:

[6]. G. Linardopoulos. "Classical Strings and Membranes in the AdS/CFT Correspondence".

http://www.didaktorika.gr/eadd/handle/10442/35838?locale=en. PhD thesis. National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 2015.

[7]. G. Linardopoulos. "Large-Spin Expansions of Giant Magnons". In: PoS (CORFU2014), p. 154. arXiv: 1502.01630 [hep-th].

M-Theory and Relativistic Membranes

• Work on the BMN Matrix Model in collaboration with M. Axenides and E. Floratos (the present talk):

[8]. M. Axenides, E. Floratos, and G. Linardopoulos. "Multipole stability of spinning M2 branes in the classical limit of the BMN matrix model". In: Phys. Rev. D97 (2018), p. 126019. arXiv: 1712.06544 [hep-th].

[9]. M. Axenides, E. Floratos, and G. Linardopoulos. "M2-brane Dynamics in the Classical Limit of the BMN Matrix Model". In: Phys. Lett. B773 (2017), p. 265. arXiv: 1707.02878 [hep-th].

• Work on Relativistic Membranes with M. Axenides and E. Floratos (see talks on 2012 & 2013 annual meetings of INPP):

[10]. M. Axenides, E. Floratos, and G. Linardopoulos. "Stringy Membranes in AdS/CFT". In: JHEP 08 (2013), p. 089. arXiv: 1306.0220 [hep-th].

Work on Minimal Hypersurfaces with J. Hoppe and T. Turgut:

[11]. J. Hoppe, G. Linardopoulos, and T.O. Turgut. "New Minimal Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{(k+1)(2k+1)}$ and $S^{2k^2+3k_n}$. In: Mathematische Nachrichten (2016). arXiv: 1602.09101 [math.DG].

What is M-theory?

<ロ> < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < ()、 < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (), < (),

Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).



Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).

 During the second superstring revolution (1994-2003), it was found that the 5 superstring theories are linked with dualities (T-duality, S-duality, U-duality, mirror symmetry)...



Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).

- During the second superstring revolution (1994-2003), it was found that the 5 superstring theories are linked with dualities (T-duality, S-duality, U-duality, mirror symmetry)...
- What is more, it was realized that all five 10dimensional superstring theories were just limiting cases of an 11-dimensional theory...



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).

- During the second superstring revolution (1994-2003), it was found that the 5 superstring theories are linked with dualities (T-duality, S-duality, U-duality, mirror symmetry)...
- What is more, it was realized that all five 10dimensional superstring theories were just limiting cases of an 11-dimensional theory...
- This theory has been called "M-theory" and it is obtained in the strong-coupling limit $(g_s \to \infty)$ of (IIA) superstring theory...



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).

- During the second superstring revolution (1994-2003), it was found that the 5 superstring theories are linked with dualities (T-duality, S-duality, U-duality, mirror symmetry)...
- What is more, it was realized that all five 10dimensional superstring theories were just limiting cases of an 11-dimensional theory...
- This theory has been called "M-theory" and it is obtained in the strong-coupling limit $(g_s \to \infty)$ of (IIA) superstring theory...
- The letter "M" stands for "magic, mystery and matrix" according to one of its founders, Edward Witten...



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Why do we need M-theory?

• By the end of the first superstring revolution (1984-1994), five different 10-dimensional superstring theories had emerged:

Types I, II (IIA, IIB), Heterotic ($\mathfrak{so}(32)$, $\mathsf{E}_8 \times \mathsf{E}_8$).

- During the second superstring revolution (1994-2003), it was found that the 5 superstring theories are linked with dualities (T-duality, S-duality, U-duality, mirror symmetry)...
- What is more, it was realized that all five 10dimensional superstring theories were just limiting cases of an 11-dimensional theory...
- This theory has been called "M-theory" and it is obtained in the strong-coupling limit $(g_s \to \infty)$ of (IIA) superstring theory...
- The letter "M" stands for "magic, mystery and matrix" according to one of its founders, Edward Witten...
- Others have associated "M" with "membranes"...



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Membranes

• The idea behind membrane theory is simple: replace 1-dimensional strings with 2-dimensional membranes...

Membranes

• The idea behind membrane theory is simple: replace 1-dimensional strings with 2-dimensional membranes...



Membranes

• The idea behind membrane theory is simple: replace 1-dimensional strings with 2-dimensional membranes...



• Like strings, membranes can be supersymmetrized... we thus obtain supermembranes...

Membranes

• The idea behind membrane theory is simple: replace 1-dimensional strings with 2-dimensional membranes...



- Like strings, membranes can be supersymmetrized... we thus obtain supermembranes...
- There are reasons to believe that membranes (or "M2-branes") are the fundamental objects of 11-dimensional M-theory, just like strings are the fundamental objects of 10-dimensional string theory...

M(atrix) theory

 According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...



- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...



- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...
- In the limit of large matrix dimensions ($N \to \infty$), matrix models are known to reduce to membrane theories...



- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...
- In the limit of large matrix dimensions ($N \to \infty$), matrix models are known to reduce to membrane theories...
- In 2002, Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a reformulation of the BFSS matrix model on a particular type of weakly curved space-times that are known as plane-waves...



- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...
- In the limit of large matrix dimensions ($N \to \infty$), matrix models are known to reduce to membrane theories...
- In 2002, Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a reformulation of the BFSS matrix model on a particular type of weakly curved space-times that are known as plane-waves...
- The BMN matrix model is a deformation of the BFSS matrix model by mass terms and a flux (Myers) term...



- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...
- In the limit of large matrix dimensions ($N \to \infty$), matrix models are known to reduce to membrane theories...
- In 2002, Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a reformulation of the BFSS matrix model on a particular type of weakly curved space-times that are known as plane-waves...
- The BMN matrix model is a deformation of the BFSS matrix model by mass terms and a flux (Myers) term...
- In the large-N limit, the BMN matrix model is again known to reduce to a theory of membranes inside an 11-dimensional planewave background...



M(atrix) theory

- According to the matrix theory conjecture of Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind (BFSS, 1996), a theory of matrix-quantized supermembranes provides a realization of M-theory in flat spacetime...
- In the matrix-model language, membranes are fuzzy objects represented by N × N matrices...
- In the limit of large matrix dimensions ($N \to \infty$), matrix models are known to reduce to membrane theories...
- In 2002, Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a reformulation of the BFSS matrix model on a particular type of weakly curved space-times that are known as plane-waves...
- The BMN matrix model is a deformation of the BFSS matrix model by mass terms and a flux (Myers) term...
- In the large-N limit, the BMN matrix model is again known to reduce to a theory of membranes inside an 11-dimensional planewave background...
- Very recently, M(atrix) theory has been applied to the study of chaotic phenomena that take place on the horizons of black holes...



◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣…

The black hole membrane paradigm

 Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...
- In 1974, Stephen Hawking predicted that it is (quantummechanically) possible for BHs to emit thermal radiation...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...
- In 1974, Stephen Hawking predicted that it is (quantummechanically) possible for BHs to emit thermal radiation...
- The BH slowly evaporates... Because Hawking radiation is purely thermal, all the information that is stored in the BH seems to get lost...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...
- In 1974, Stephen Hawking predicted that it is (quantummechanically) possible for BHs to emit thermal radiation...
- The BH slowly evaporates... Because Hawking radiation is purely thermal, all the information that is stored in the BH seems to get lost...
- To resolve the BH information paradox we ultimately need to understand the mechanisms of information processing in BHs...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...
- In 1974, Stephen Hawking predicted that it is (quantummechanically) possible for BHs to emit thermal radiation...
- The BH slowly evaporates... Because Hawking radiation is purely thermal, all the information that is stored in the BH seems to get lost...
- To resolve the BH information paradox we ultimately need to understand the mechanisms of information processing in BHs...
- One such mechanism is *fast scrambling* or ultra-fast thermalization... In general it is believed that chaotic phenomena are a dominant feature of BH horizons...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

- Black holes (BHs) are regions of spacetime where the force of gravity is so strong that nothing (not even light) can escape...
- The 2-dimensional surface beyond which it is (classically) impossible for matter or information to escape the gravitational pull of a BH is known as the event horizon...
- In 1974, Stephen Hawking predicted that it is (quantummechanically) possible for BHs to emit thermal radiation...
- The BH slowly evaporates... Because Hawking radiation is purely thermal, all the information that is stored in the BH seems to get lost...
- To resolve the BH information paradox we ultimately need to understand the mechanisms of information processing in BHs...
- One such mechanism is *fast scrambling* or ultra-fast thermalization... In general it is believed that chaotic phenomena are a dominant feature of BH horizons...
- Because it is inherently nonlocal, M(atrix) theory turns out to be a valuable tool in the study of BH information processing...



Gargantua black hole - Interstellar movie.

Membranes as dynamical systems

↓ □ ▶ ↓ ⑦ ▶ ↓ ≧ ▶ ↓ ≧ ▶ ↓ ≧ → ○ へ ↔
10 / 17

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

General setup

• Consider the 11-dimensional maximally supersymmetric plane-wave background:

$$ds^{2} = -2dx^{+}dx^{-} - \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{9}\sum_{i=1}^{3}x^{i}x^{i} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{36}\sum_{j=1}^{6}y^{j}y^{j}\right]dx^{+}dx^{+} + dx^{i}dx^{i} + dy^{j}dy^{j}, \qquad F_{123+} = \mu.$$

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

General setup

• Consider the 11-dimensional maximally supersymmetric plane-wave background:

$$ds^{2} = -2dx^{+}dx^{-} - \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{9}\sum_{i=1}^{3}x^{i}x^{i} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{36}\sum_{j=1}^{6}y^{j}y^{j}\right]dx^{+}dx^{+} + dx^{i}dx^{i} + dy^{j}dy^{j}, \qquad F_{123+} = \mu.$$

• The Hamiltonian of a bosonic relativistic membrane in the above background reads

$$H = \frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \left[p_x^2 + p_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ x^i, x^j \right\}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ y^i, y^j \right\}^2 + \left\{ x^i, y^j \right\}^2 + \frac{\mu^2 x^2}{9} + \frac{\mu^2 y^2}{36} - \frac{\mu}{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \left\{ x^i, x^j \right\} x^k \right]$$

in the so-called light-cone gauge ($x_+ = \tau$).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

General setup

• Consider the 11-dimensional maximally supersymmetric plane-wave background:

$$ds^{2} = -2dx^{+}dx^{-} - \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{9}\sum_{i=1}^{3}x^{i}x^{i} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{36}\sum_{j=1}^{6}y^{j}y^{j}\right]dx^{+}dx^{+} + dx^{i}dx^{i} + dy^{j}dy^{j}, \qquad F_{123+} = \mu.$$

• The Hamiltonian of a bosonic relativistic membrane in the above background reads

$$H = \frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \left[p_x^2 + p_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ x^i, x^j \right\}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ y^i, y^j \right\}^2 + \left\{ x^i, y^j \right\}^2 + \frac{\mu^2 x^2}{9} + \frac{\mu^2 y^2}{36} - \frac{\mu}{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \left\{ x^i, x^j \right\} x^k \right]$$

in the so-called light-cone gauge ($x_+ = \tau$).

• The corresponding equations of motion and the Gauß-law constraint are given by:

$$\ddot{x}_{i} = \left\{ \left\{ x_{i}, x_{j} \right\}, x_{j} \right\} + \left\{ \left\{ x_{i}, y_{j} \right\}, y_{j} \right\} - \frac{\mu^{2}}{9} x_{i} + \frac{\mu}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \left\{ x_{j}, x_{k} \right\}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \dot{x}^{i}, x^{i} \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^{6} \left\{ \dot{y}^{j}, y^{j} \right\} = 0$$
$$\ddot{y}_{i} = \left\{ \left\{ y_{i}, y_{j} \right\}, y_{j} \right\} + \left\{ \left\{ y_{i}, x_{j} \right\}, x_{j} \right\} - \frac{\mu^{2}}{36} y_{i}.$$

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The ansatz

• The following $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ -invariant ansatz automatically satisfies the Gauß-law constraint:

$$x_i = \tilde{u}_i(\tau) e_i, \qquad y_j = \tilde{v}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad y_{j+3} = \tilde{w}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Collins-Tucker (1976), Harmark-Savvidy (2000)

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The ansatz

• The following so (3)-invariant ansatz automatically satisfies the Gauß-law constraint:

$$x_i = \tilde{u}_i(\tau) e_i, \qquad y_j = \tilde{v}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad y_{j+3} = \tilde{w}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Collins-Tucker (1976), Harmark-Savvidy (2000)

The coordinates e_i are given by

$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) \equiv (\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta), \qquad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$$

satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Poisson algebra and are orthonormal:

$$\{e_i, e_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} e_k, \qquad \int e_i e_j d^2 \sigma = \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta_{ij}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < 言 > < 言 > < 言 > こ の Q () 13 / 17
Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The ansatz

• The following so (3)-invariant ansatz automatically satisfies the Gauß-law constraint:

$$x_i = \tilde{u}_i(\tau) e_i, \qquad y_j = \tilde{v}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad y_{j+3} = \tilde{w}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Collins-Tucker (1976), Harmark-Savvidy (2000)

The coordinates e_i are given by

 $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \equiv (\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta), \qquad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$

satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Poisson algebra and are orthonormal:

$$\{\mathbf{e}_i,\mathbf{e}_j\}=\epsilon_{ijk}\,\mathbf{e}_k,\qquad \int \mathbf{e}_i\,\mathbf{e}_j\,d^2\sigma=\frac{4\pi}{3}\,\delta_{ij}.$$

 As we will see, the above ansatz leads to an interesting dynamical system with stable and unstable solutions that describe rotating and pulsating membranes of spherical topology.

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The ansatz

• The following so (3)-invariant ansatz automatically satisfies the Gauß-law constraint:

$$x_i = \tilde{u}_i(\tau) e_i, \qquad y_j = \tilde{v}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad y_{j+3} = \tilde{w}_j(\tau) e_j, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Collins-Tucker (1976), Harmark-Savvidy (2000)

The coordinates e_i are given by

 $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \equiv (\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta), \qquad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$

satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Poisson algebra and are orthonormal:

$$\{\mathbf{e}_i,\mathbf{e}_j\}=\epsilon_{ijk}\,\mathbf{e}_k,\qquad \int \mathbf{e}_i\,\mathbf{e}_j\,d^2\sigma=\frac{4\pi}{3}\,\delta_{ij}.$$

- As we will see, the above ansatz leads to an interesting dynamical system with stable and unstable solutions that describe rotating and pulsating membranes of spherical topology.
- Similar work in flat space has previously been carried out by (Axenides-Floratos, 2007).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$\begin{split} H &= \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_{u}^{2} + \tilde{p}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{p}_{w}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{1}^{2} \tilde{u}_{2}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{2}^{2} \tilde{u}_{3}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{3}^{2} \tilde{u}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{1}^{2} \tilde{r}_{2}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{2}^{2} \tilde{r}_{3}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{3}^{2} \tilde{r}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{1}^{2} \left(\tilde{r}_{2}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{3}^{2} \right) + \tilde{u}_{2}^{2} \left(\tilde{r}_{3}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{1}^{2} \right) + \\ &+ \tilde{u}_{3}^{2} \left(\tilde{r}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{\mu^{2}}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{2}^{2} + \tilde{u}_{3}^{2} \right) + \frac{\mu^{2}}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{2}^{2} + \tilde{r}_{3}^{2} \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{2} \tilde{u}_{3} \right], \quad \tilde{r}_{j}^{2} \equiv \tilde{v}_{j}^{2} + \tilde{w}_{j}^{2}, \ j = 1, 2, 3 \end{split}$$

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{p}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{p}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

• The effective potential of the membrane becomes:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{2\pi\,T}{3} \left[\frac{\ell_1^2}{\tilde{r}_1^2} + \frac{\ell_2^2}{\tilde{r}_2^2} + \frac{\ell_3^2}{\tilde{r}_3^2} + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right]. \end{split}$$

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

• The effective potential of the membrane becomes:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{2\pi T}{3} \bigg[\frac{\ell_1^2}{\tilde{r}_1^2} + \frac{\ell_2^2}{\tilde{r}_2^2} + \frac{\ell_3^2}{\tilde{r}_3^2} + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \bigg]. \end{split}$$

• There are four distinct types of terms: • (1) ℓ-terms (repulsive)

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

• The effective potential of the membrane becomes:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{2\pi\,T}{3} \left[\frac{\ell_1^2}{\tilde{r}_1^2} + \frac{\ell_2^2}{\tilde{r}_2^2} + \frac{\ell_3^2}{\tilde{r}_3^2} + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right]. \end{split}$$

• There are four distinct types of terms: • (1) *l*-terms (repulsive) • (2) quartic interaction terms (attractive)

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

• The effective potential of the membrane becomes:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{2\pi\,T}{3} \left[\frac{\ell_1^2}{\tilde{r}_1^2} + \frac{\ell_2^2}{\tilde{r}_2^2} + \frac{\ell_3^2}{\tilde{r}_3^2} + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right]. \end{split}$$

There are four distinct types of terms: • (1) *l*-terms (repulsive) • (2) quartic interaction terms (attractive)
• (3) μ-terms (attractive)

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

The Hamiltonian system

• Here's the Hamiltonian of the membrane:

$$H = \frac{2\pi T}{3} \left[\tilde{p}_u^2 + \tilde{p}_v^2 + \tilde{p}_w^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right], \quad \tilde{r}_j^2 \equiv \tilde{v}_j^2 + \tilde{w}_j^2, \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

• The Hamiltonian has an obvious $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetry in the coordinates \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{w}_i so that any solution will preserve three SO(2) angular momenta ℓ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). The kinetic terms are written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{v}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\dot{\tilde{r}}_{i}^{2} + \frac{\ell_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}} \right).$$

• The effective potential of the membrane becomes:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{2\pi\,T}{3} \left[\frac{\ell_1^2}{\tilde{r}_1^2} + \frac{\ell_2^2}{\tilde{r}_2^2} + \frac{\ell_3^2}{\tilde{r}_3^2} + \tilde{u}_1^2 \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 \tilde{u}_3^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_1^2 \left(\tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) + \tilde{u}_2^2 \left(\tilde{r}_3^2 + \tilde{r}_1^2 \right) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{u}_3^2 \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{9} \left(\tilde{u}_1^2 + \tilde{u}_2^2 + \tilde{u}_3^2 \right) + \frac{\mu^2}{36} \left(\tilde{r}_1^2 + \tilde{r}_2^2 + \tilde{r}_3^2 \right) - 2\mu \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{u}_3 \right]. \end{split}$$

• There are four distinct types of terms: • (1) ℓ -terms (repulsive) • (2) quartic interaction terms (attractive)

• (3) μ -terms (attractive) • (4) cubic Myers/flux terms (repulsive).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

Membranes as dynamical systems

• We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

Membranes as dynamical systems

• We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points...



- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...



- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)...

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)... But does it have integrable sectors?

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)... But does it have integrable sectors? If yes, can we find analytic solutions?



- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)... But does it have integrable sectors? If yes, can we find analytic solutions?
- We also want to understand the chaotic regions...

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)... But does it have integrable sectors? If yes, can we find analytic solutions?
- We also want to understand the chaotic regions... Is the system weakly chaotic or strongly chaotic?



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

16 / 17

Membranes as dynamical systems

- We have thus managed to reduce the dynamics of the M2-brane to a 9d Hamiltonian dynamical system... Due to its high degree of complexity, it is obviously hopeless to try to solve it exactly...
- Dynamical systems theory focuses instead on the long-term qualitative behavior of dynamical systems...
- The first goal is to find and classify the fixed points... We are also interested in charting periodic orbits...
- Our system is obviously chaotic (i.e. sensitively dependent on ICs)... But does it have integrable sectors? If yes, can we find analytic solutions?
- We also want to understand the chaotic regions... Is the system weakly chaotic or strongly chaotic?



What is its spectrum of Lyapunov characteristic exponents?



Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

Outlook

• Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the $SO(3) \times SO(6)$ case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

17 / 17

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox...

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the $SO(3) \times SO(6)$ case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox... fast scrambling...

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox... fast scrambling... eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)...

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox... fast scrambling... eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)... Maldacena-Shenker-Stanford (MSS) bound...
Outlook

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox... fast scrambling... eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)... Maldacena-Shenker-Stanford (MSS) bound... BH entropy...

Research Themes, References & Collaborators What is M-theory? Membranes as dynamical systems

Membranes in plane-wave backgrounds Membranes as dynamical systems

Outlook

- Radial & angular perturbation analysis in the SO(3) × SO(6) case in (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., 2017, 2018).
- Analysis of higher orders in perturbation theory... instability cascade... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Analysis of chaotic behavior near the separatrix... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Study of chaotic dynamics... KAM theory... Entanglement entropy...
- Full classification of all the spherical tops based on their solvability... (Axenides-Floratos-G.L., in progress).
- Quantum chaos... out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)...
- Generalization to M5-branes... Search for toroidal solutions... Study the topology change phenomenon...
- Uplift to the matrix model...
- Black holes & the information paradox... fast scrambling... eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)... Maldacena-Shenker-Stanford (MSS) bound... BH entropy...

Ευχαριστώ!