(Fixed-Target) Searches for Light DM and Vector Mediators in the US

Tim Nelson FIPs 2020 - September 2, 2020





Key Motivation: Low-mass Freeze-out Thermal Relics



MeV-GeV thermal relic DM requires new, comparably light mediators to achieve required annihilation cross-section for freeze-out.

Dark Photon Production

Analogous SM process is irreducible background but allows $(M_{A'}, N_{observed}) \Longrightarrow (M_{A'}, \epsilon)$

-SLAC

SLAC

e- beam + spectrometer: APEX @ JLab CEBAF (2010-?)

Resonance search using Hall A High-Resolution Spectrometers, dark bremsstrahlung production from multi-GeV e⁻ beam

e- beam + spectrometer: APEX @ JLab CEBAF (2010-?)

Resonance search using Hall A High-Resolution Spectrometers, dark bremsstrahlung production from multi-GeV e⁻ beam

Compact e^+e^- spectrometer, immediately downstream of thin target in multi-GeV beam in Hall B.

- Low-mass, high-rate (>5 MHz/mm²) silicon tracker (SVT) allows vertexing long-lived A'.
 SVT must suppress SM tridents from target by factor ~10⁷
- PbWO₄ ECal trigger eliminates 10's MHz scattered single *e*⁻.

Short engineering runs in 2015 (1.7 days) and 2016 (5.4 days)

Compact e^+e^- spectrometer, immediately downstream of thin target in multi-GeV beam in Hall B.

- Low-mass, high-rate (>5 MHz/mm²) silicon tracker (SVT) allows vertexing long-lived A'. SVT must suppress SM tridents from target by factor $\sim 10^7$
- PbWO₄ ECal trigger eliminates 10's MHz scattered single e^{-} .

Short engineering runs in 2015 (1.7 days) and 2016 (5.4 days)

SLAO

Compact e⁺e⁻ spectrometer, immediately downstream of thin target in multi-GeV beam in Hall B.

- Low-mass, high-rate (>5 MHz/mm²) silicon tracker (SVT) allows vertexing long-lived A'.
 SVT must suppress SM tridents from target by factor ~10⁷
- PbWO₄ ECal trigger eliminates 10's MHz scattered single e⁻.

Short engineering runs in 2015 (1.7 days) and 2016 (5.4 days)

No new sensitivity for minimal dark photons, but analyses proved concept in advance of physics runs. Preparing publication for 2016 A' results, to be followed by a first result for SIMPs

Phys. Rev. D98 (2018), 091101

2015 Resonance Search 10^{-4} KLOE 10^{-5} APEX Test Run $a_{\mu\pm 2\sigma}$ Mainz BaBa ∿., 10⁻⁶ E774 NA48/2 LHCb ae 10⁻⁷ Orsay E141 2015 Engineering Run E137 10^{-8}

First physics run 6/17 — 9/8/2019 at 4.55 GeV collected ~2 weeks of data.

HPS is scheduled for 4 weeks in 2021. Future run plan for rest of approved time is under review by the JLab PAC.

SeaQuest/SpinQuest nuclear physics experiment:

- Fixed target muon spectrometer at FNAL
- Parasitic program of dark photon searches with addition of displaced vertex muon trigger
- Shallow dump + large boost accesses larger couplings than previous dump experiments

DarkQuest proposal:

Adds ECal, improves DAQ/Trigger for operation to I.4E18 (DQI) and IE20 (DQ2) POT

LongQuest concept:

Extends apparatus to longer baseline to reach yet smaller couplings

- dark scalars
- strongly interacting massive particles:
 a confining interaction in the dark sector
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, can explain muon (g-2) anomaly.
- heavy neutral leptons

- dark scalars
- strongly interacting massive particles: a confining interaction in the dark sector
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, can explain muon (g-2) anomaly.
- heavy neutral leptons

SLAC

- dark scalars
- strongly interacting massive particles:
 a confining interaction in the dark sector
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, can explain muon (g-2) anomaly.
- heavy neutral leptons

Like other similar experiments, DarkQuest has broad sensitivity to Dark Sectors: arXiv:1801.05805 arXiv:1804.00661 arXiv:1908.07525 arXiv:2008.08108

SeaQuest/DarkQuest/LongQuest @ FNAL (2017-?)

SLAC

 $\Delta = 0.4, \ \alpha_D = 0.1$

LEP

10⁻²

p⁺ beam + shallow dump + spectrometer

- dark scalars
- strongly interacting massive particles: a confining interaction in the dark sector
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM):
 large mass-splittings in dark states, can explain muon (g-2) anomaly.
- heavy neutral leptons

SLAC

- dark scalars
- strongly interacting massive particles:
 a confining interaction in the dark sector
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, can explain muon (g-2) anomaly.
- heavy neutral leptons

Millicharges @ FNAL

~massless vector mediators give rise to millicharged DM

Argoneut:

- 0.24 ton LAr TPC on NUMI beamline
- 10²⁰ p⁺ @ 120 GeV on graphite target in 2009-2010
- search for projective two-hit events new limits in mass range 0.1-5 GeV

FerMINI proposal:

- dedicated plastic scintillator detector, similar to MilliQan, on NUMI beamline
- searches for triple coincidences
 complementary to other experiments at
 lower (LDMX) and higher (MilliQan) masses

Millicharges @ FNAL

~massless vector mediators give rise to millicharged DM

Argoneut:

- 0.24 ton LAr TPC on NUMI beamline
- 10²⁰ p⁺ @ 120 GeV on graphite target in 2009-2010
- search for projective two-hit events new limits in mass range 0.1-5 GeV

FerMINI proposal:

- dedicated plastic scintillator detector, similar to MilliQan, on NUMI beamline
- searches for triple coincidences
 complementary to other experiments at
 lower (LDMX) and higher (MilliQan) masses

e⁺ fixed target: PADME @ JLab (proposal)

-SLAC

Reconstruction of mass without measurement of decay products. Sensitive to both visible and invisible decays of **on-shell** mediators.

$$M_{
m rec}^2 = 2m_e \left(E_+ - E_\gamma (1 + \frac{E_+}{2m_e}\theta_\gamma^2)\right)$$

Most components suitable for CEBAF energies

- Target
- Calorimeter
- Veto System

DAQ requires changes for CW beam

Reach limited mostly by CM energy $\sim \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}}$ (at JLab CEBAF $\sqrt{11 \text{ GeV}} = 106 \text{ MeV}$)

High energy positron beams not available yet at JLab

Key backgrounds:

e⁺ fixed target: PADME @ JLab (proposal)

Reconstruction of mass without measurement of decay products. Sensitive to both visible and invisible decays of **on-shell** mediators.

$$M_{\rm rec}^2 = 2m_e \left(E_+ - E_\gamma (1 + \frac{E_+}{2m_e} \theta_\gamma^2) \right)$$

Most components suitable for CEBAF energies

- Target
- Calorimeter
- Veto System

DAQ requires changes for CW beam

Reach limited mostly by CM energy $\sim \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}}$ (at JLab CEBAF $\sqrt{11 \text{ GeV}} = 106 \text{ MeV}$)

High energy positron beams not available yet at JLab

 $\overset{m}{\leftarrow} \overset{n}{\leftarrow} \overset{n$

Beam Dumps

Boosted $A' \rightarrow \chi \bar{\chi}$ makes a dark matter beam!

- relatively low rates, few and simple backgrounds
- potential to investigate DM-SM interactions w/ different detector materials
- can often operate parasitically with intense beams using existing facilities
 - neutrino program @ FNAL (p⁺ beam dump)
 - coherent *v*-N scattering program @ ORNL, LANL (p⁺ beam dump)
 - nuclear physics program @ JLab CEBAF (e- beam dump)

MiniBoone @ FNAL

8 GeV protons on iron dump; 800 ton mineral oil detector

- Improved analysis of 10-month dedicated beam-dump run in 2013-2014 with 1.9×10²⁰ protons adds analysis of electron recoils
- Time-of-flight helps distinguish from neutrino backgrounds at higher masses

Demonstrates capabilities of infrastructure for neutrino program to search for light DM

COHERENT @ Oak Ridge National Lab

Designed to study Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering (CEvNS) w/ first observation in 2017

- I GeV proton beam on mercury target: suite of off-axis detectors measure CEvNS N-dependence
- Preliminary result for sub-GeV DM in 2017 demonstrated concept
- CEvNS is a key background for DM search: prompt timing used to reduce backgrounds

Sensitivity study for planned 750 kg LAr detector

COHERENT @ Oak Ridge National Lab

Designed to study Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering (CEvNS) w/ first observation in 2017

- I GeV proton beam on mercury target: suite of off-axis detectors measure CEvNS N-dependence
- Preliminary result for sub-GeV DM in 2017 demonstrated concept
- CEvNS is a key background for DM search: prompt timing used to reduce backgrounds

Sensitivity study for planned 750 kg LAr detector

Coherent Captain-Mills (CCM) @ LANL

Designed to study CEvNS and search for eV-scale sterile neutrinos

- 800 MeV proton beam on tungsten target
- pair of 10-ton LAr detectors
- similar use of timing to reject delayed backgrounds from neutrons and neutrinos

Good sensitivity if low backgrounds achieved

Figures from R.Van De Water & P. deNiverville

Beam Dump eXperiment (BDX) @ JLab CEBAF

Proposal to run parasitic DM detector behind highcurrent dump at JLab in new experimental facility

- 2-11 GeV electrons, ~few×10²¹/year
- Csl detector w/ SiPM readout, cosmic veto
- CW beam: neutrino backgrounds not reducible with timing

Achieves similar sensitivity to proton beam dumps

Test detector, BDX-HODO deployed in pair of wells installed verifies expected backgrounds

BDX-MINI, operated in same location collected 2.1×10²¹ EOT from Dec. 2019 - Mar. 2020 producing first results. _{figures from M. Battaglieri}

Beam Dump eXperiment (BDX) @ JLab CEBAF

Proposal to run parasitic DM detector behind highcurrent dump at JLab in new experimental facility

- 2-11 GeV electrons, ~few×10²¹/year
- Csl detector w/ SiPM readout, cosmic veto
- CW beam: neutrino backgrounds not reducible with timing

Achieves similar sensitivity to proton beam dumps

Test detector, BDX-HODO deployed in pair of wells installed verifies expected backgrounds

BDX-MINI, operated in same location collected 2.1×10²¹ EOT from Dec. 2019 - Mar. 2020 producing first results. _{figures from M. Battaglieri}

Beam Dump eXperiment (BDX) @ JLab CEBAF

Proposal to run parasitic DM detector behind highcurrent dump at JLab in new experimental facility

- 2-11 GeV electrons, ~few×10²¹/year
- Csl detector w/ SiPM readout, cosmic veto
- CW beam: neutrino backgrounds not reducible with timing

Achieves similar sensitivity to proton beam dumps

Test detector, BDX-HODO deployed in pair of wells installed verifies expected backgrounds

BDX-MINI, operated in same location collected 2.1×10²¹ EOT from Dec. 2019 - Mar. 2020 producing first results. _{figures from M. Battaglieri}

10 m 10 m Dirt e^{-} Next generation beam dumps cover only X scalar target with expected yields, where Beam Detector Dump neutrino backgrounds are already a challenge. χ χ χ e^{-} A'Signal yield scales as $\alpha_D \epsilon^4$ ZZ \implies reach in $y \alpha$ (#EOT)^{1/2} (no background) Thermal Dark Matter (Dark Photon Mediator) $\alpha_D = 0.5$, $m_{A'} = 3 m_{\chi}$ 10^{-6} \implies reach in $y \alpha$ (#EOT)^{1/4} (w/ background) 10^{-7} 10^{-8} BABAR $^{2}\alpha_{D} \left(m_{\chi}/m_{A'}\right)^{4}$ 10-Belle II 10^{-10} SBNπ 10^{-1} Reaching all thermal targets convincingly with LDMX beam dumps looks very difficult. || > 10⁻¹³ 10^{-1} 10^{-15} 10^{-1} 10^{2} 10 10^{3} 20

 m_{χ} [MeV]

iscover Something (nearly) Invisible?

SLAC

Missing energy/momentum technique:

Belle II

• one electron at a time, to uniquely associate e_{out} with e_{in} (only leptons are clean enough) $m_A^{(MeV)}$

 ΩD^{M} , $m_{A'} = 3 m_X$, $\alpha D = 1$

- look for events with large ΔE or $\Delta \overrightarrow{p}$
- no other products of reaction (something invisible produced)

 $N \propto \epsilon^2$

Much better sensitivity than beam dumps with relatively small event yields.

Missing Energy vs. Missing Momentum

Target/ECAL/HCAL

Missing energy experiments...

- have a thick (active) target for higher yields
- have only one signal discriminator
- have no way to probe mediator physics
- are challenged by backgrounds beyond 10¹⁴
 EOT that require e-γ particle ID

Missing Energy vs. Missing Momentum

Target/ECAL/HCAL

Missing energy experiments...

- have a thick (active) target for higher yields
- have only one signal discriminator

Nucleus 🕅

- have no way to probe mediator physics
- are challenged by backgrounds beyond 10¹⁴
 EOT that require e-γ particle ID

<u>e</u>emmahnn

W

 \bigotimes

Positron, P = E0/2

22

Target/ECAL/HCAL

Missing momentum experiments...

- also have Δp_T as a signal discriminator
- have Δp_T as a signal identifier, sensitive to $m_{A'}$
- have tracking for $e-\gamma$ particle ID so that no irreducible backgrounds beyond 10¹⁶ EOT.
- include a missing energy experiment: thin-target missing momentum experiments can also perform a missing energy analysis

The LDMX Experiment at SLAC

missing momentum experiment for $\geq 10^{16} e^{-10}$

HPS-like compact layout, tracking from HPS, ECal from CMS upgrade and HCal from Mu2e

planned operation in End Station A at SLAC using LCLS-II drive beam delivered through the Sector 30 Transfer Line (Linac to End Station A).

In addition to missing momentum, also sensitivity to visible signatures with thin target and hermetic forward acceptance.

LCLS-II will send ~2.5×10²¹ electrons/year to the dump: other opportunites?

The LDMX Experiment at SLAC

missing momentum experiment for $\geq 10^{16} e^{-10}$

HPS-like compact layout, tracking from HPS, ECal from CMS upgrade and HCal from Mu2e

planned operation in End Station A at SLAC using LCLS-II drive beam delivered through the Sector 30 Transfer Line (Linac to End Station A).

In addition to missing momentum, also sensitivity to visible signatures with thin target and hermetic forward acceptance.

LDMX Sensitivity to A'-mediated Freeze-out DM

Key backgrounds are e^- + low multiplicity:

 $e^- \rightarrow e^- + \text{hard } \gamma$ $\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

(and direct electro-nuclear analogues)

After ~2 years of operation with 4 GeV beam, LCLS-II upgrade to 8 GeV for Phase II

Phase III? A larger detector, operating at even higher energies (e.g. CERN eSPS at 16 GeV) would extend reach at high masses.

Possibility of operation with a muon beam at FNAL (M3) also being studied

Ultimate goal is exploration of entire thermal DM parameter space from MeV-GeV, where complementarity with Belle-II is important.

arXiv:1912.05535 [physics.ins-det]

LDMX Sensitivity to A'-mediated Freeze-out DM

Key backgrounds are e^- + low multiplicity:

 $e^- \rightarrow e^- + \text{hard } \gamma$ $\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ (and direct electro-nuclear analogues)

After ~2 years of operation with 4 GeV beam, LCLS-II upgrade to 8 GeV for Phase II

Phase III? A larger detector, operating at even higher energies (e.g. CERN eSPS at 16 GeV) would extend reach at high masses.

Possibility of operation with a muon beam at FNAL (M3) also being studied

Ultimate goal is exploration of entire thermal DM parameter space from MeV-GeV, where complementarity with Belle-II is important.

LDMX Sensitivity to A'-mediated Freeze-out DM

——SLAC

Key backgrounds are e^- + low multiplicity:

 $e^- \rightarrow e^- + \text{hard } \gamma$ $\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ \gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ (and direct electro-nuclear analogues)

After ~2 years of operation with 4 GeV beam, LCLS-II upgrade to 8 GeV for Phase II

Phase III? A larger detector, operating at even higher energies (e.g. CERN eSPS at 16 GeV) would extend reach at high masses.

Possibility of operation with a muon beam at FNAL (M3) also being studied

Ultimate goal is exploration of entire thermal DM parameter space from MeV-GeV, where complementarity with Belle-II is important.

SLAC

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios: <u>arXiv:1807.01730</u> [hep-ph]

<u>alxiv.1007</u>

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): ______
 a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures

Sensitive to a broader set of scenarios:

arXiv:1807.01730 [hep-ph]

- other mediators
- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMPs): a confining interaction in the dark sector
- millicharged particles: arise from ~massless dark photons and thrust into spotlight by EDGES anomaly
- Axion-like particles (ALPs): new pseudo-scalars can have either/both photon and electron couplings
- inelastic Dark Matter (iDM): large mass-splittings in dark states, both visible and invisible signatures
- freeze-in DM, etc... new ideas?

 $m_{A'}$ [GeV]

Low–Reheat Freeze–In, $m_{A'} = 15 T_{\rm RH}, m_{\chi} = 10 \text{ keV}$

SLAC

Fixed target experiments continue to play an important role in searches for new vector mediators and dark matter in the MeV-GeV mass range.

An active US program in visible mediator searches begun almost a decade ago is being joined by many new proposals to search for MeV-GeV dark matter. A number of these efforts leveraging existing infrastructure are already underway, and new facilities are being developed to enable the next generation of searches.

These experiments can explore the simplest thermal freeze-out DM scenarios in the MeV-GeV range over the next ten years in combination with e^+e^- colliders.

Completing the picture for secluded DM and other models with visible searches will be a longer, more piecemeal process, and new ideas are still needed.

The Snowmass process underway in the US should generate many new ideas and bring future plans into focus, much as the European Strategy update has in Europe.

Missing Momentum Backgrounds

Thermal Targets - Accelerators and Direct Detection

Resonance Effects

SLAC

LDMX has good sensitivity even for finely tuned mass ratio.

LDMX: Using P_T to Eliminate Backgrounds

Robustness of Accelerator Reach

$m_{\chi} = 10 \text{ MeV} (a_D = 0.5)$

SLAC

Lowering couplings only **improves** coverage of thermal milestones

Curves thanks to A. Berlin & P. DeNiverville

e⁻ beam + spectrometer: Darklight at JLab (2022?)

First proposed to run at JLab LERF:

- 5 mA, 100 MeV e-
- ~10¹⁹/cm² H₂ gas target

complete reconstruction of final state allows sensitivity to invisible decays also Darklight ca. 2017

e⁻ beam + spectrometer: Darklight at JLab (2022?)

First proposed to run at JLab LERF:

- 5 mA, 100 MeV e-
- ~10¹⁹/cm² H₂ gas target

Motivated by various challenges and focus on 17 MeV observation a simpler proposal has emerged: a low-energy two-arm spectrometer to operate using the CEBAF injector @ 45 MeV beam energy.

Proposed to JLab PAC this summer: decision deferred with questions regarding background estimates.

Darklight 2020

 $m_A\prime$ [MeV]

E141

 10^{1}

 10^{-8}

33

 10^{2}