



LHC Injectors Upgrade

LHC Injectors Upgrade Workshop

Montreux, 20-22 January 2020



LHC Injectors Upgrade

LIU beam performance ramping up phase: **PSB and PS**

A. Huschauer with input from

S. Albright, F. Antoniou, F. Asvesta, H. Bartosik, C. Bracco, H. Damerau, G.P. Di Giovanni, M. Fraser, K. Hanke, A. Lasheen, B. Mikulec, H. Rafique, E. Renner, G. Rumolo, E. Shaposhnikova, F. Tecker



- Beam performance ramp-up for proton beams during Run 3
- The standard beam
 - Intensity ramp-up
 - Brightness ramp-up

• The BCMS beam as operational beam during Run 3

Conclusions



Beam performance ramp-up for proton beams during Run 3

• Two different beams have to be distinguished during Run 3

- Standard beam with 72 bunches (HL-LHC baseline)
 - ⁻ gradual performance increase to be carried out in parallel MDs
 - o allocate a **permanent parallel "LIU commissioning" slot** in the PSB and the PS super cycle?
- BCMS with 48 bunches
 - considered to be the operational beam during Run 3
 - performance increase as the work on the standard beam progresses

• Two main aspects of the LIU beam performance ramp-up of the standard beam

- 1) Intensity ramp-up \rightarrow mainly occurring in the SPS
- 2) Brightness ramp-up \rightarrow determined by the PSB and PS performance



Beam performance ramp-up for proton beams during Run 3

• LIU target beam parameters at injection of the respective accelerator (from EDMS1296306)

PSB (H ⁻ injection from Linac4)												
		$N \ (10^{11} \ \mathrm{p})$	$\epsilon_{x,y}~(\mu{ m m})$	E (GeV)	ϵ_z (eVs)	B_l (ns)	$\delta p/p_0 \; (10^{-3})$	$\Delta Q_{x,y}$				
LIU target	Standard	34.21	1.72	0.16	1.4	650	1.8	(0.58, 0.69)				
	BCMS	17.11	1.36	0.16	1.4	650	1.8	(0.35, 0.43)				
$\mathbf{PS} \text{ (Standard: 4b+2b-BCMS: 2\times 4b)}$												
y2		$N (10^{11} \text{ p/b})$	$\epsilon_{x,y}~(\mu{ m m})$	$E ({\rm GeV})$	$\epsilon_z \ (eVs/b)$	B_l (ns)	$\delta p/p_0 \; (10^{-3})$	$\Delta Q_{x,y}$				
LIU target	Standard	32.50	1.80	2.0	3.00	205	1.5	(0.18, 0.30)				
	BCMS	16.25	1.43	2.0	1.48	135	1.1	(0.20,0.31)				
$\mathbf{SPS} \text{ (Standard: } 4 \times 72 \text{b} - \text{BCMS: } 5 \times 48 \text{b})$												
	1	$V (10^{11} \text{ p/b})$	$\epsilon_{x,y}~(\mu{ m m})$	$p~({ m GeV/c})$	ϵ_z (eVs/b)	B_l (ns)	$\delta p/p_0~(10^{-3}$) $\Delta Q_{x,y}$				
LIU target	Standard	2.57	1.89	26	0.35	4.0(3.0)) 0.9 (1.5)	(0.10, 0.17)				
	BCMS	2.57	1.50	26	0.35	4.0(3.0)) 0.9 (1.5)	(0.12, 0.21)				
LHC (≈ 10 injections)												
N		$N \ (10^{11} \ { m p/h})$	b) $\epsilon_{x,y}$ (μ n	n) p (GeV)	/c) ϵ_z (eV	s/b) 1	B_l (ns) bun	ches/train				
T III tonm	Standard	2.32	2.08	450	0.56 (0	0.58) 1.6	5(1.24)	288				
	BCMS	2.32	2.32 1.65		150 0.56 (0.58)		5(1.24)	240				



Intensity ramp-up of the standard beam

• Intensity reach demonstrated at PS extraction pre-LS2

- Remaining upgrades of the PS RF systems will further improve the reproducibility and reduce the bunch-by-bunch variability
 - New 10 MHz feedback amplifiers to reduce the impedance and deliver more robust performance
 - Improved reliability of the Finemet cavity
 - No major modifications to the high-frequency cavities (multi-harmonic feedbacks already in place pre-LS2)

• Simulation results confirm improved beam quality after LS2

- Pre-LS2 measurements: bunch-by-bunch variation $\approx \pm 10\%$
- Assuming completely independent triple and double splittings in simulations
- significantly reduced intensity spread achievable at LIU intensity
- Further optimization possible to improve bunch length spread at the expense of increased intensity spread
- Further simulations indicate degradation of the triple splitting in the presence of the Finemet impedance → studies with beam required during Run 3





Intensity ramp-up of the standard beam

- Intensity reach demonstrated at PS extraction pre-LS2
 - Recovery of high-intensity beams expected by end of summer 2021
- Gradual intensity ramp-up in the SPS
 - Ramp-up planned to start from 2022 due to LHC Pb-ion run at the end of 2021
 - LIU-SPS intensity target planned to be reached at the end of 2024





Brightness ramp-up of the standard beam

- Achievable PSB brightness limited by the injection scheme and acceleration at low energy
- PSB space charge simulation studies were performed to reproduce pre-LS2 and investigate LIU performance
 - Simulations at 50 MeV (beam from Linac2)
 - capture of coasting beam (1e-3 dp/p rms) with operational voltage program
 - capture losses of about 15% (as observed in measurements)
 - single turn injection on the ramp (no multi-turn stacking)
 - 1 μ m transverse emittance at injection
 - $-Q_x/Q_y = 4.40/4.45$
 - 5% beta-beating included
 - Simulations at 160 MeV (beam from Linac4)
 - 25 mA at PSB injection
 - 350 keV rms energy spread with chopping factor 0.6
 - 440 keV rms energy spread with chopping factor 0.7
 - "flat1" cycle and voltage program provided by S. Albright
 - injection on flat bottom, with injection chicane and foil
 - 0.4 μ m transverse emittance at injection
 - $-Q_x/Q_y = 4.40/4.45$
 - 15% beta-beating included





Iarge energy spread (~440 keV rms) from Linac4 required

LIU Workshop, 20-22 January 2020

Brightness ramp-up of the standard beam

- Achievable brightness along the chain will be limited by space charge effects on the PS flat bottom
 - LIU baseline: beams with large longitudinal emittance at PS injection to overcome this limitation
 - → Brightness ramp-up therefore determined by the evolution of the longitudinal emittance at PS injection

• Longitudinal emittance at PS injection will be gradually increased during Run 3

- Brightness ramp-up is foreseen to take place until the end of 2023
- Limited experience with large longitudinal emittance beams and their impact on transverse emittances
 - ⁻ Standard procedure from an RF point-of-view
- → Transverse emittance preservation at PS injection and on the flat bottom is expected to be the most critical aspect
- → Emittance preservation along the ramp might also become critical and work is ongoing to improve the control of the PFW
- → Foresee sufficient commissioning time to gain experience



Pre-LS2 performance of the standard beam

Experience with the standard beam

- Operational experience limited to 2016
- LIU intensity target achieved in MDs in 2018
 - Reliable beam production at high-intensity achieved after transverse optimization

• Performance at different intensities followed constant brightness





Target performance of the standard beam at the end of 2021

- PSB will deliver beams of significantly increased brightness already in 2021
- Longitudinal parameter target at PS injection in 2021
 - will require longitudinal blow-up at the end of the PS flat bottom



^{*} parameter conventions according to EDMS1296306

- → Achievable brightness will be limited by space charge effects on the PS flat bottom
- First important brightness increase expected to be achieved at the end of 2021
 - Including a 10% margin on the transverse emittances with respect to the maximum achievable performance



STANDARD 25ns (end-2021)

CERN

Target performance of the standard beam at the end of 2022

- Further brightness increase by increasing the longitudinal emittance at PS injection
 - Longitudinal parameter target at PS injection in 2022



→ Achievable brightness will still be limited by space charge effects on the PS flat bottom





Target performance of the standard beam at the end of 2023

• Final step in longitudinal emittance foreseen in 2023

Longitudinal parameter target at PS injection in 2023

(= LIU parameters)



→ <u>LIU performance at PS extraction</u> planned to be reached at the <u>end of 2023</u>

at PS extraction [um] 5 3 at PS extraction LIU performance Average emittance လိ Ŏ.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 2.5 1.0 3.0 1e11 Intensity at PS extraction [p/b]

STANDARD 25ns (end-2023)



Summary of the brightness ramp-up of the standard beam during Run 3

- Brightness ramp-up determined by the evolution of longitudinal parameters at PS injection
 - Ramp-up foreseen to gradually occur until the end of 2023
- → <u>LIU beam performance</u> expected to be available at PS extraction at the end of 2023
 - SPS performance ramp-up will continue throughout 2024 (see Elena's talk)





Emittance evolution of the standard beam during Run 3





Emittance evolution of the standard beam during Run 3



- Figure shows the emittance evolution at PS extraction following the projected <u>intensity ramp-up of the SPS</u>
- The emittance during 2024 is expected to increase as the last step of the intensity ramp-up occurs at constant brightness





Summary of the projected beam parameter evolution at <u>PS injection</u> during Run 3

• Brightness ramp-up of the standard beam during Run 3 determined by the gradual increase of the longitudinal emittance at PS injection

• Beams expected to be operationally available according to the table below

• LIU performance at PS extraction planned to be reached at the end of 2023

End of year	<i>N</i> [10 ¹¹ ppb]	ε _{x,y} [μm]*	ε_z [eVs]*	σ_z [ns]*	$\delta p/p$ [10 ⁻³]*	$\Delta Q_{x,y}$	Remarks
2021	325	3.49	1.50	135	1.1	(0.23, 0.28)	natural post-LS2 PSB performance for longitudinal parameters
2022	325	2.54	2.25	170	1.3	(0.20, 0.29)	
2023	325	1.80	3.00	205	1.5	(0.18, 0.30)	LIU performance reached in the PS

* parameter conventions according to EDMS1296306



The BCMS beam as operational beam during Run 3

• BCMS beam considered as operational LHC beam during Run3

- Based on the report from the Run 3 configuration working group at the <u>2019 Evian Workshop</u>
- BCMS beam production expected to be less critical than the standard beam in the PS
- LHC will benefit from increased performance as PS brightness and SPS intensity are ramped up





To be included?

- Choice of future injection energy
- Choice of future intermediate plateau energy
- Microwave instabillity at transition for low-emittance ion beams





- Two main aspects of the LIU beam performance ramp-up for the standard beam during Run 3
 - Intensity ramp-up
 - Brightness ramp-up
- Brightness ramp-up determined by the evolution of longitudinal parameters at PS injection
- LIU beam performance expected to be reached at PS extraction at the end of 2023
 - One additional year required to achieve LIU performance at SPS extraction
- BCMS beam considered as operational LHC beam during Run 3
 - BCMS performance will gradually improve as the performance ramp-up progresses





Backup slides



LIU Workshop, 20-22 January 2020

Best achievable double splitting after LS2



- Assuming no change in impedance due to the fast feedback and adding the MHFB for all high frequency cavities
- New amplifier on C10, reduction of impedance by factor 2
- Acceptable spread even $> 3.0 \times 10^{11}$ p/b, leaving good margin



Benefits of improved fast feedback on C40



- Improving the fast feedback for the 40 MHz has little influence on the bunch intensity spread but gradually improves the bunch length spread
- The optimal phase setting for bunch length and intensity spread is different, further impedance reduction would allow to reduce the need to find a compromise between intensity/length optimization



Best achievable triple splitting after LS2



- The upgraded power amplifier on the 10 MHz cavities will reduce the shunt impedance by a factor 2
- The quality of the triple splitting is improved and the spread in bunch length is reduced, with the same justification as the double splittings
- For all intensities, we are below the $\pm 10\%$ variation criterion with sufficient margin



Influence of Finemet model on splittings



- The different fitting results for the Finemet impedance were included in simulations
- The different models for the Finemet impedance have the same influence on the triple splitting
- There is something more fundamental than the fitting quality or impedance flatness of the Finemet cavity that affects the triple splitting
- *NB: simulations done for pre-LS2 C10 impedance*



Adding a "MHFB" on the Finemet cavity



- The parameters for the "Multi-Harmonic Feedback" model on the Finemet cavity are based on results from 2014 tests:
 - ➤ -15 dB impedance reduction
 - ➢ Bandwidth of 8 kHZ at +3 dB from minimum
 - > Notches on h=1 to h=12
- Enabling both 1TFB on C10 cavities and MHFB on Finemet cavity greatly improves the quality of the triple splitting



LIU Workshop, 20-22 January 2020

Microwave instability at transition crossing for ion beams



- Microwave instability right after transition is responsible for uncontrolled emittance blow-up and generation of tails -> more intensity in satellite bunches
- Occurs with the higher bunch intensity with the 3 bunches beam (same total intensity as the 4 bunches beam), cured with controlled emittance blow-up (BUP) (also measured with Xenon <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/710562/</u>)
- Study useful for impedance source identification and general benchmark of transition crossing simulations (also beneficial for p+ beams)



LIU Workshop, 20-22 January 2020

Microwave instability at transition crossing - simulation studies



- The gamma jump was removed and the B field increased, without changing the timing of the RF phase jump in simulations (mismatch between $\eta_0 = 0$ crossing and the RF phase jump)
- In all simulations
 - The first synchrotron period is always shorter than in measurements
 - When the bunch is unstable, the amplitude of the quadrupolar oscillation is always bigger in measurements than in simulations
- Explanation for this observation to be determined (reduced RF voltage after transition ? Other impedance source ?)



LIU Workshop, 20-22 January 2020

