

**ATHIC 2021**



${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  directed flow measurements in  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 3 \text{ GeV}$

**Au+Au collisions from STAR**

**张亚鹏 (Yapeng Zhang), for STAR collaboration**

**Institute of Modern Physics, CAS**

**InCheon, South Korea**


**5-9, Nov. 2021**

# Outline

- 1) Motivation
- 2) STAR Detector System for Fixed-target Runs
- 3)  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  Reconstruction
- 4) Directed flow of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$
- 5) Summary

# 1. Hyper-Nuclei and YN interaction

Hyper-nucleus: bound state of the hyperon(s) and nucleons.



**Study on hyper-nuclei** (i.e. lifetime, binding energy, decay BR.) provides valuable information of hyperon-nucleon (YN) interactions and nuclear force origin.

Binding energy of  $\Lambda$  Hypernuclei:


$$B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda}^AZ) = \underbrace{M({}^{A-1}Z)}_{\text{Core mass}} + \underbrace{M(\Lambda)}_{\Lambda \text{ hyperon mass}} - \underbrace{M({}_{\Lambda}^AZ)}_{\text{Hyper-nuclei mass}}$$

# YN-interaction and Neutron Star


“Hyperon puzzle” : the difficulty to reconcile the measured masses of neutron stars (NSs) with the presence of hyperons in their interiors.

Density-dependent YN and YNN may be important!

[Ignazio Bombaci, JPS Conf. Proc. 17, 101002 (2017)]



Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich, 2021




D. Lonardoni et al, PRL 114, 092301 (2015)



Other “hyperon puzzle” solutions: quark star, hybrid star, dark matter, ...

A. Li, et al. JHEAp 28, 19 (2020); A. D. Popolo et al, Phys. Dark Universe 28, 100484 (2020);

# Hyper-nuclei Productions in Heavy Ion Collisions (HICs)



off plane squeeze-out




A. Andronic et al., Phys. Lett. **B697**, 203(2011) ; J. Steinheimer et al., Phys. Lett. **B714**, 85(2012)

Collective motion of baryonic matter is driven by the pressure gradient. Flow of **hyper-nuclei** may shed light on YN-interaction in condensed nuclear matter.



## 2. Fixed Target Setup at STAR






### RHIC Beam Energy BES-II in 2018-2021:

- Fixed Target Run extends collision energy down to :  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 - 7.7 \text{ GeV}$  corresponding to baryon chemical potential:  $750 \geq \mu_B \geq 420 \text{ MeV}$

# Charged Hadron PID and ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ and ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ Reconstruction


2018 STAR FXT 3 GeV data set;  
260M minimum biased events

1) Hyper-nuclei reconstruction channels:



2) PID of p, d, t,  ${}^3\text{He}$ ,  ${}^4\text{He}$ ,  $\pi^-$  is made based on TPC  $dE/dx$  vs  $p/q$  distribution;

## STAR TPC Particle Identification



# KFParticle: Reconstruction of Short-lived Particles

## Concept and features:

- Based on Kalman Filter (KF)
- Tracking and detector performance contained in Covariance matrix
- Geometry independent and Vectorized
- Natural and simple interface
- Large particle reconstruction database


$$\mathbf{r} = \{ x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z, E \}$$

State vector

$$C = \langle \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}^T \rangle =$$

Covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & C_{xy} & C_{xz} & C_{xp_x} & C_{xp_y} & C_{xp_z} & C_{xE} \\ C_{xy} & \sigma_y^2 & C_{yz} & C_{yp_x} & C_{yp_y} & C_{yp_z} & C_{yE} \\ C_{xz} & C_{yz} & \sigma_z^2 & C_{zp_x} & C_{zp_y} & C_{zp_z} & C_{zE} \\ C_{xp_x} & C_{yp_x} & C_{zp_x} & \sigma_{p_x}^2 & C_{p_x p_y} & C_{p_x p_z} & C_{p_x E} \\ C_{xp_y} & C_{yp_y} & C_{zp_y} & C_{p_x p_y} & \sigma_{p_y}^2 & C_{p_y p_z} & C_{p_y E} \\ C_{xp_z} & C_{yp_z} & C_{zp_z} & C_{p_x p_z} & C_{p_y p_z} & \sigma_{p_z}^2 & C_{p_z E} \\ C_{xE} & C_{yE} & C_{zE} & C_{p_x E} & C_{p_y E} & C_{p_z E} & \sigma_E^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



S. Gorbunov and I. Kisel, CBM-SOFT-note-2007-003, 7 May 2007


M. Zyzak, Dissertation thesis, Goethe University of Frankfurt, 2016, <http://publikationen.ub.uni-frankfurt.de/frontdoor/index/index/docId/41428>

KFParticle package has been adopted by CBM, ALICE, sPHENIX and **STAR** experiments




# 3. $\Lambda$ , ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ and ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ Reconstruction


$\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$




${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H} \rightarrow p + d + \pi^-$



${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + \pi^-$







${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^4\text{He} + \pi^-$



➤ KFParticle package used for  $\Lambda$ ,  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  reconstructions





# $\Lambda$ , ${}^3\Lambda\text{H}$ and ${}^4\Lambda\text{H}$ Phase Space and Efficiency

Phase space



Red box: phase space region used for flow analysis

Acceptance and Efficiency



# 4. Collective Flow with Event Plane Method

$$\frac{dN}{d(\varphi - \Psi_R)} = \frac{N_0}{2\pi} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_R)] \right\}$$

–  $v_1$  Directed flow;      –  $v_2$  Elliptic flow ...

- 1) Fixed Target  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV Au+Au collisions  
 $y_{\text{target}} \approx -1.045$
- 2) Charged tracks measured by TPC used for centrality definition



- 1<sup>st</sup> order event plane angle measured by Event Plane Detector (EPD)

- Event-plane resolution determination:

$$R_1 = \langle \cos(\Psi_1 - \Psi_r) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \chi_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) \left[ I_0\left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) + I_1\left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) \right]$$


$$R_2 = \langle \cos(2(\Psi_1 - \Psi_r)) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \chi_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) \left[ I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) + I_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{4}\right) \right]$$

- The event plane resolution is in the range of 40 – 75% for the mid-centrality 5-40% 3 GeV Au+Au collisions



# Angular Distributions of Hyper-nuclei

${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$   $p_T$ : (1.2, 3.0) GeV/c;  $y$ : (-1.0, 0.0); Centrality: 5-40%




**Fit function:**

$$f(\phi - \psi_1) = p_0 * (1 + v_1 * 2 * \cos(\phi - \psi_1))$$

# Directed Flow $v_1$ vs. Rapidity

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV Au+Au Collisions at RHIC




- 1) **First observation** of hyper-nuclei collectivity  $v_1$  in high-energy nuclear collisions, EP resolution and efficiency corrections applied.
- 2) Like the cases for light nuclei, hyper-nuclei  $v_1$  seems to follow the mass number scaling within uncertainties →

**Coalescence is a dominant process for mid-rapidity hyper-nuclei formation in the collisions**



# $\Lambda$ , ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ and ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ $v_1$ -Slope vs. Particle Mass



- 1) Within statistical uncertainties, the slopes of  $v_1$  for hyper-nuclei  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  seem following a mass number scaling in the 5-40% 3 GeV Au+Au collisions.
  - Coalescence is a dominant process for hyper-nuclei formation in the collisions
  - Theoretical inputs for collective flow of hyper-nuclei are needed

# 5. Summary

- 1) Light hyper-nuclei  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  are reconstructed from 3 GeV Au+Au collisions at RHIC; Largest  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  data samples **collected**.
- 2) **First measurements of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  directed flow ( $v_1$ ) from 5 – 40% centrality. Analysis of the systematic uncertainties is underway.**
- 3)  $dv_1/dy$  slopes of hyper-nuclei  ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}\text{H}$  seem to follow a mass number scaling. This result implies that ***coalescence*** is a dominant process for hyper-nuclei formation in such collisions.
- 4) Theoretical inputs for collective flow of **hyper-nuclei** in HICs are needed.

# Thank you very much for your attention!

**Acknowledgements:**

Yuri Fisyak, Ivan Kisel, Iouri Vassiliev, Maksym Zyzak