Electric charge and strangeness dependent splitting of the rapidity-odd directed flow in Au+Au collisions

Ashik Ikbal Sheikh (for the STAR Collaboration)

Kent State University

Supported in part by
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Science

Directed flow (v_1) and splitting (Δv_1)

- First harmonic coefficient of Fourier decomposition of particle azimuthal distribution, v_1 - Directed Flow

$$E\frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \frac{d^2N}{2\pi p_T dp_T dy}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} v_n cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})]\right)$$

where $v_n = \langle cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})]\rangle$

- Probe early stage of the collisions - strong EM-Field





- What drives the splitting - Initial EM-Field or QCD-driven effect?
- Measure splitting with charge (Δq) and strangeness (ΔS)

EM-Field driven splitting (Δv_1) - Faraday and Hall effect?



- Beam direction: $\hat{z}$ and Impact parameter: $\hat{x}$ => Reaction Plane: xz
- Colliding nuclei produce B-field, $\perp$ to RP (approx) => B along $\hat{y}$
- Time varying $\vec{B}$ induces $\vec{E}$ field => Faraday effect
- Medium expands longitudinally ($\vec{u} \perp \vec{B}$) - Lorentz force pushes +ve and -ve charged particles in opposite directions => Hall effect

EM-Field driven splitting (Δv_1)?



U. Gursoy et al., Phys. Rev. C 89, 054905 (2014)

- Faraday and Hall are competing effects - Net effect affects v_1
- v_1 for +ve particles shown (when Faraday > Hall)

Multi-strange and the splitting (Δv_1)

- Enhanced strange quarks production and identity retains during hadronization => multiply multi-strange baryons (Ξ and Ω)
- Low scattering cross section and early thermal freeze-out - good probe of early stage of the collisions
- Multi-strange v_1 might be important for strangeness related splitting

Towards measurements: STAR detector at BES-II



Journal of Physics: Conference Series 742 (2016) 012022

- TPC+TOF for PID: TPC measures -dE/dx of tracks ($|\eta| < 1$, $0 < \phi < 2\pi$) and TOF measures time of flight ($|\eta| < 0.9$)
- EPD ($2.1 < |\eta| < 5.1$) or ZDC ($|\eta| > 6.3$) for event plane reconstruction
- Data sets (analyzed):
  Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27$ GeV (year-2018) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV (year-2016)

Splitting (Δv_1): Choice of particles?



(1) Measurements with heavy flavors?

- Measurements of HFs are challenging
- Less abundantly produced - suffer large uncertainties
- Absence of HFT in STAR BES-II and low production rate - HF measurements are difficult

(2) Measurements with light hadrons?

- Light hadrons produced in abundance - precise measurements
- Δv_1 measurements come with drawbacks:
  (a) Most of the (anti)-particles contain transported quarks (u and d)
  (b) Transported quarks have different v_1 than the produced => Δv_1 becomes difficult to interpret
- Avoiding transported quarks => Splitting can be measured with light hadrons

Splitting (Δv_1): Our Approach

- Use only produced particles, K^-, $\bar{p}$, $\bar{\Lambda}$, ϕ, $\overline{\Xi}^+$, Ω^- and $\overline{\Omega}^+$
- Based on Quark coalescence
- Coalescence-inspired sum rule: v_1 (Hadron) $= \sum v_1^i(q_i)$
- A new way to test coalescence sum rule (same $y - p_T/n_q$ phase space, with $n_q \rightarrow$ no. of constituent quarks):

$$v_1[K^-(\bar{u}s)] + v_1[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{s}\bar{d})] = v_1[\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})] + v_1[\phi(s\bar{s})] \qquad (1)$$

$$v_1[K^-(\bar{u}s)] + v_1[\bar{\Xi}^+(\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{s})] = v_1[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{s}\bar{d})] + v_1[\phi(s\bar{s})] \qquad (2)$$



A.I. Sheikh, D. Keane, P. Tribedy, arXiv:2110.04283

Splitting (Δv_1): Our Approach

- New idea to show the coalescence sum rule holds (with identical quarks):

$$v_1[K^-(\bar{u}s)] + v_1[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{s}\bar{d})] = v_1[\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})] + v_1[\phi(s\bar{s})] \qquad (1)$$

$$v_1[K^-(\bar{u}s)] + v_1[\overline{\Xi}^+(\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{s})] = v_1[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{s}\bar{d})] + v_1[\phi(s\bar{s})] \qquad (2)$$

(1) (2)





- With produced particles, K^-, $\bar{p}$, $\bar{\Lambda}$, ϕ, $\overline{\Xi}^+$, Ω^- and $\overline{\Omega}^+$ and make combinations - having same quark mass but different Δq and ΔS

Rearranging the Δv_1 in Δq and ΔS

Particles: $K^-(\bar{u}s)$, $\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})$, $\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s})$, $\phi(s\bar{s})$, $\overline{\Xi}^+(\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{s})$, $\Omega^-(sss)$, $\overline{\Omega}^+(\bar{s}\bar{s}\bar{s})$

Index	Quark Mass	Charge	Strangeness	Expression
1	$\Delta m = 0$	$\Delta q = 0$	$\Delta S = 0$	$[\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d}) + \phi(s\bar{s})] - [K^-(\bar{u}s) + \bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s})]$
2	$\Delta m \approx 0$	$\Delta q = \frac{2}{3}$	$\Delta S = 1$	$[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s})] - [\frac{1}{2}\phi(s\bar{s}) + \frac{2}{3}\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})]$
3	$\Delta m \approx 0$	$\Delta q = 1$	$\Delta S = 2$	$[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s})] - [\frac{1}{3}\Omega^-(sss) + \frac{2}{3}\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})]$
4	$\Delta m \approx 0$	$\Delta q = \frac{4}{3}$	$\Delta S = 2$	$[\bar{\Lambda}(\bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s})] - [K^-(\bar{u}s) + \frac{1}{3}\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})]$
5	$\Delta m \approx 0$	$\Delta q = \frac{4}{3}$	$\Delta S = 2$	$[\overline{\Xi}^+(\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{s})] - [\phi(s\bar{s}) + \frac{1}{3}\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d})]$
6	$\Delta m = 0$	$\Delta q = 2$	$\Delta S = 6$	$[\overline{\Omega}^+(\bar{s}\bar{s}\bar{s})] - [\Omega^-(sss)]$
7	$\Delta m \approx 0$	$\Delta q = \frac{7}{3}$	$\Delta S = 4$	$[\overline{\Xi}^+(\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{s})] - [K^-(\bar{u}s) + \frac{1}{3}\Omega^-(sss)]$

- Combinations have same $\Delta m(\approx 0)$ different Δq and ΔS - 7 combinations
- Degenerate combinations (Indices 4 and 5) - Good cross check
- Measure splitting with Δq and ΔS

v_1 vs y : Ξ and Ω Baryons



27 GeV

200 GeV

- Ξ(Λπ) and Ω(ΛK) baryons reconstructed by KF-Particle
- v_1 (y) for multi-strange hadrons - First measurement!
- Large v_1 for Ω baryons - the statistical uncertainties are large

Splitting (Δv_1) at 3 different Δq and ΔS (27 GeV)



- Δv_1 for same mass, different charge and strangeness
- Δv_1 increases at larger y for $\Delta q \neq 0$
- Δv_1 also increases with p_T/n_q when $\Delta q \neq 0$
- AMPT (Phys. Rev. C 100, 054903 (2019)) has opposite trend for $\Delta q \neq 0$ - No EM-Field is implemented in AMPT

Δv_1-slope - splitting: hints of QED and/or QCD effect



- Δv_1-slope ($d\Delta v_1/dy$) increases with increasing Δq and ΔS at 27 GeV
- Δq and ΔS are correlated (see Table at page-9)
- For 27 GeV, slope $= 0.002905 \pm 0.000481$ (with Δq); $> 5\sigma$ effect
- For 200 GeV, slope $= 0.001159 \pm 0.00038$ (with Δq); $> 2.5\sigma$ effect
- $d\Delta v_1/dy$-slope is less for 200 GeV than 27 GeV

Δv_1-slope - splitting: Model comparison



- AMPT can not explain the data (Phys. Rev. C 100, 054903 (2019))
- PHSD(+EM-Field) can describe the data within the uncertainties

Summary

- First measurements of v_1 of multi-strange baryons - Ξ and Ω
- Measured charge (Δq) and strangeness (ΔS) dependent splitting, Δv_1, at BES-II
- Δv_1-slope ($d\Delta v_1/dy$) increases as Δq and ΔS increase at 27 GeV
- PHSD+EM-Field calculations can describe data within uncertainties - Hints of EM-Field effect in the splitting
- Net strangeness is also an important key factor for Δv_1-slope

THANK YOU