Compensation of PSB injection chicane perturbation - studies

F. Antoniou, H. Bartosik



Introduction

- The strong edge focusing of the new H- injection chicane induces beta-beating in the vertical plane
- Two individually powered quadrupole Q-strips can compensate the beta beating (fast dynamic correction during 5 ms fall of injection chicane)





Studies on correction scheme

- The baseline correction scheme is based on using QDE3 & QDE14
 - Optimized for Q_v > 4.5, which was the baseline for operation post LS2
- Alternative option using QDE2 & QDE15
 - Advantages when operating with Q_y < 4.5, as will be the case for some period after LS2 (maybe always?)
 - correction of beta-beating also (almost) corrects the tune at the same time





Induced beta waves

o Injection chicane and individual QDE strips induce beta beating waves

- Final beta beating is the result of all contributions
- Aim is to confine beta distortion around the injection chicane

• At future "nominal" vertical tune of 4.45

- QDE3 induces change of beta function at QDE3 and QDE14 (and vice versa)
- QDE2 practically does not affect beta function at QDE14 (and vice versa), some advantage for beta beat correction in the machine





Comparison of achievable corrections

baseline scheme (QDE3 & QDE14) option (QDE2 & QDE 15) ŮŮŮŇĿŮŇŮĴŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇŮĿŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇĿŮŇĿ PSB MAD-X 5.05.02 09/11/19 12.11.05 PSB MAD-X 5.05.02 09/11/19 12.02.52 25.0 0.02 25.0 0.02 b, (m), b, (m) b_x (m), b_y (m) (m) x b_x b b_x by (4.40, 4.45)(4.40, 4.45)х 22.5 0.01 22.5 0.01 20.0 0.0 20.0 0.0 17.5 17.5 -0.01 -0.01 15.0 15.0 -0.02 -0.02 12.5 12.5 -0.03 -0.03 10.0 10.0 -0.04 -0.04 7.5 -0.05 7.5 -0.05 5.0 5.0 -0.06 -0.06 2.5 2.5 -0.07 -0.07 0.0 -0.08 0.0 -0.08 0.0 120. 0.0 120. 40. 80. 160. 40. 80. 160. s (m) s (m)



(m) x

Summary and outlook

• The two configurations have their advantages depending on the operational working point in the PSB

- Using QDE3-14 is probably better for working points $Q_y>4.5$
- The newly proposed configuration of QDE2-15 probably be better for Q_v <4.5
- Comparison of the two schemes to be studies in space charge simulations
- Ideally we would like to have the possibility to switch between the two configurations (QDE3 & QDE14 ← → QDE2 & QDE15) to maintain full flexibility depending on operational needs
 - From discussion with EN-EPC and EN-EL it seems that installing a patch panel for "quick" change of configuration seems not feasible
 - The configuration can be changed in the tunnel by installing short additional cables requires longer intervention with sufficient cool-down before the works and therefore can be done only before startup or during YETS → need to decide until February which configuration to use after LS2



Thank you for your attention

