Testing CPT symmetry in ortho-positronium decays with the J-PET facility

Szymon Niedźwiecki
on behalf of the **J-PET** collaboration



Total-body J-PET and Theranstic Center group photo, 2021-04-26
In front of Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Computer Science of Jagiellonian University

Motivation: discrete symmetry tests with o-Ps decays

➢ **POSITRONIUM - the lightest purely leptonic object**

bound by a central potential

⇓

<u>is eigenstate of the parity operator P</u>

$$P|Ps>=(-1)^{L}|Ps>$$



symmetric under the exchange of particles - anti-particles

⇓

<u>is eigenstate of the charge conjugation operator C</u>

$$C|Ps>=(-1)^{L+S}|Ps>$$

<u>eigenstate of the CP operator</u>



Para–positronium (p - Ps), τ = 125ps, $^1S_0$

-Singlet state

Ortho – positronium (o - Ps), τ = 142ns, $^3S_1$

--Triplet state

even number of photons

symm. of charge conjugation C

odd number of photons

Testing discrete symmetries with angular correlations in o-Ps→3γ decays

Measurement the expectation value of the symmetry odd-operators

$$e^+e^- \to \text{o-Ps} \to 3\gamma$$



$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \quad \text{for an odd operator}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{CPT}(\hat{O}) = -1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\hat{O}) = -1$$

$$|\vec{k}_1| > |\vec{k}_2| > |\vec{k}_3|$$

Required:

- the o-Ps spin determination
- of o-Ps→3γ decays selection (determination of photons momenta)

$$O_{CPT} = \hat{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2)/|\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2| = \cos\phi$$

Operator	C	P	T	CP	CPT
$\vec{S} \cdot \vec{k}_1$	+	–	+	–	–
$\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2)$	+	+	–	+	–
$(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{k}_1)(\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2))$	+	–	–	–	+
$\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_2$	+	–	–	–	+
$\vec{S} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_1$	+	+	–	+	–
$\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_2 \times \vec{\varepsilon}_1)$	+	–	+	–	–

[P. Moskal et al., Acta Phys. Polon. B47 (2016) 509]

Previous measurements

Gammasphere
PRL. 91 (2003) 263401

$C_{CPT} = (2.6 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$

$$\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k_1} \times \vec{k_2})$$



$$P_{e+} = \frac{v}{c} \cdot 0.686$$



Limiting positron emission direction
1 Mbq β^+ emitter activity
4π detector but low angular resolution

Yamazaki et al.
PRL 104 (2010) 083401

$$(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{k_1})(\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k_1} \times \vec{k_2}))$$

$C_{CP} = (1.3 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$



Polarized o-Ps using external B field
Inclusive measurement
Only certain angular configurations

Courtesy of A. Gajos

Motivation: discrete symmetry tests with o-Ps decays

- Discrete symmetries are scarcely tested with leptonic systems:
  - Neutrino oscillations: Dirac phase, δCP ~3σ level [T2K, *Nature 580 (2020) 339*]
  - Electron EDM < 1.1×10^{-29} [ACME, *Nature 562 (2018) 355*]



- symmetries tests can be made with a very high precision limited, only by the effects due to the weak interaction: 10^{-14} and photon-photon interaction: 10^{-9}. (Standard Model Calculations)
  [Phys. Rev. A 37, 3189 (1988), Z. Phys. C 41, 143 (1988), M. S Sozzi "Discreet Symmetries and CP violation"]

o-Ps production in J-PET with an annihilation chamber







[D. Kaminska, et a.l., Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:445]

o-Ps production in J-PET with an annihilation chamber



- Extensive-size chamber, R=12 cm
- Walls coated with porous silica material (o-P target)
- 10 MBq β+ ^{22}Na source placed in the center





[D. Kaminska, et a.l., Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:445]

J-PET detector at Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Poland







- 192 EJ-230 scintillators: 7x19x500 mm^3;
- 384 R9800 photomultipliers;
- 85 cm radius;
- 1536 channels;
- multithreshold digital electronics;
- the novel trigger-less DAQ;

[P. Moskal et al., Acta Phys. Polon. B47 (2016) 509; G. Korcyl, et al., IEEE Trans. Med. Imag. 37, 2526 (2018)]

o-Ps spin determination and o-Ps→3γ decays reconstruction in J-PET

<u>o-Ps spin estimation:</u>

✶ e^+ spin estimated event-by-event recording multiple geometrical configurations
✶ effective polarization depends on o-Ps→3γ vertex resolution
✶ vacuum in the chamber assures that e^+ is not going to decay before reaching inner wall

$$P_{\text{o-Ps}} = \frac{2}{3} P_{e+}$$



$$P_{e+} \approx \frac{v}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\cos\alpha + 1)$$

$$P_{e+} = (N^{e+}_{+1/2} - N^{e+}_{-1/2}) / (N^{e+}_{+1/2} + N^{e+}_{-1/2})$$

M. Mohammed, et al., Acta Phys. Pol. A 132, 1486 (2017)]

o-Ps spin determination and o-Ps→3γ decays reconstruction in J-PET



<u>o-Ps→3γ decays reconstruction:</u>

✶ Trilateration-based reconstruction to determine the o-Ps annihilation point



The decay point **(x',y')** in the decay plane and time **t** is an intersection of 3 circles, each corresponding to a possible origin points of the incident γ



$$(T_i - t)^2 c^2 = (X_i' - x')^2 + (Y_i' - y')^2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

[A. Gajos et al., NIM A 819 (2016), 54-59]

J-PET vs previous measurements

Gammasphere
PRL. 91 (2003) 263401

$C_{CPT} = (2.6 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$

$$\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k_1} \times \vec{k_2})$$



$$P_{e+} = \frac{v}{c} \cdot 0.686$$



Limiting positron emission direction
1 Mbq β^+ emitter activity
4π detector but low angular resolution

Yamazaki et al.
PRL 104 (2010) 083401

$$(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{k_1})(\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k_1} \times \vec{k_2}))$$

$C_{CP} = (1.3 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$



Polarized o-Ps using external B field
Inclusive measurement
Only certain angular configurations



J-PET

Recording multiple geometrical configurations

e+ spin estimated event-by-event

$$P_{e+} \approx \frac{v}{c} \cdot 0.91$$

- Plastic scintillators = fast timing
  → using high β^+ emitter activity
  (tested up to 10 Mbq)
- Recording all 3 annihilation photons
- Angular resolution at 1° level

Courtesy of A. Gajos

Identification of o-Ps→3γ annihilation events in J-PET



[S. Sharma, eta al., EJNMMI Phys. 7, 39 (2020)]

Using total Time Over Threshold (TOT) of PMT signals from a scintillator strip which corresponds to γ deposited energy





⇐ o-Ps presence in positron lifetime distribution

Background subtraction

Secondary Compton scatterings:

$$\star\ \delta_{ij} = |d_{ij} - c\Delta t_{ij}|$$

computed for each pair of annihilation photon candidates i and j (i,j=1,2,3)





2γ from the β+ source setup coincident with de-excitation photon:

✶ distance between the β+ source location and the closest hypothetical 2γ annihilation point on a LOR between two recorded photon interactions

✶ the sum of the two smallest angles between azimuthal coordinates of the recorded γ interaction points





Determination of the CPT - asymmetric observable

$$O_{CPT} = \hat{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2)/|\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2| = \cos\phi$$

the angle between the direction of initial spin of the o-Ps atom and the normal to the decay plane

J-PET is sensitive to the full range of this operator





MC simulations



⇑

expected asymmetry in case of CPT violation

⇐ efficiencies evaluated with MC are symmetric in cosθ

Determination of the CPT - asymmetric observable

$$O_{CPT} = \hat{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2)/|\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2| = \cos\phi$$

the angle between the direction of initial spin of the o-Ps atom and the normal to the decay plane

3γ image of the o-Ps production chamber in the tranverse view of the detector (the first!)





$$<O_{CPT}> = 0.00025 \pm 0.00036$$

P. Moskal, et al., Nature Commun. 12, 5658 (2021)

$$C_{CPT} = <O_{CPT}>/P = 0.00067 \pm 0.00095$$

the level of observed CPT violation (after correction of analyzing power)

stat error : 3.3×10^{-4}
syst error: 1.4×10^{-4}

nature COMMUNICATIONS

ARTICLE

Check for updates

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25905-9 OPEN

Testing CPT symmetry in ortho-positronium decays with positronium annihilation tomography

P. Moskal[1,2✉], A. Gajos[1,2✉], M. Mohammed[1], J. Chhokar[1,2], N. Chug[1,2], C. Curceanu[3], E. Czerwiński[1,2], M. Dadgar[1,2], K. Dulski[1,2], M. Gorgol[4], J. Goworek[5], B. C. Hiesmayr[6], B. Jasińska[4], K. Kacprzak[1], Ł. Kapłon[1,2], H. Karimi[1,2], D. Kisielewska[1], K. Klimaszewski[7], G. Korcyl[1,2], P. Kowalski[7], N. Krawczyk[1,2], W. Krzemień[8], T. Kozik[1], E. Kubicz[1,2], S. Niedźwiecki[1,2], S. Parzych[1,2], M. Pawlik-Niedźwiecka[1,2], L. Raczyński[7], J. Raj[1,2], S. Sharma[1,2], S. Choudhary[1,2], R. Y. Shopa[7], A. Sienkiewicz[5], M. Silarski[1,2], M. Skurzok[1,3], E. Ł. Stępień[1,2], F. Tayefi[1,2] & W. Wiślicki[7]

P. Moskal, et al., Nature Commun. 12, 5658 (2021)

J-PET

Summary


a
b ortho-positronium production
$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ o-Ps $\rightarrow 3\gamma$
e^+
$^{22}Na \rightarrow {}^{22}Ne^* e^+ \nu$
$\downarrow$
$^{22}Ne + \gamma$
Schematic cross section of the J-PET detector
c
identification of o-Ps→3γ annihilations
Y [cm]
X [cm]
distribution of ortho-positronium annihilations
extraction of CPT-asymmetric angular correlation
d
Events
cosθ
S
n
θ
k_1
k_2
k_3
o-Ps spin - decay plane correlation

Summary and Perspectives

- With J-PET scanner, we are able to perform exclusive measurement of ortho-positronium (o-Ps) annihilation into 3 photons
  - o-Ps spin event-by-event estimation
  - o-Ps→3γ decays reconstruction including determination of the annihilation point in an extensive-size medium

- **Sub-permil precision of the CPT test reached with the first J-PET measurement (26 days): over factor of 3 better** than the previous results

- J-PET aims at the sensitivity of the CP and CPT symmetry tests at the level of 10^{-5} with the pending improvements to the setup





$$C_{CPT} = \langle O_{CPT} \rangle / P = 0.00067 \pm 0.00095$$

Summary and Perspectives



[Symmetry 12 (2020) 8, 1268]





new design of the annihilation chamber with spherical geometry, increasing the o-Ps formation probability by a factor of ~1.5

Summary and Perspectives

additional densely packed layer of plastic scintillators with a fully digital readout -> increase of detection efficiency by factor of 64



The first positronium imaging of a phantom built from cardiac myxoma and adipose tissue

P. Moskal, et al., Science Advances 2021; 7 : eabh4394



Thank you for your attention

J-PET