

#### CMS Highlights

Rosamaria Venditti (INFN and University of Bari)

On behalf of the CMS Collaboration

ICNFP 2020- 9th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics

### Roadmap from past to future



We are here! Just 4 months delay on the LHC schedule for the COVID emergency

# The CMS Apparatus: status and activities

• The CMS detector Phase-1 upgrades started during the first Long Shutdown (2014) and concluded during the Run 2 end of the year technical stops (2019)

#### Silicon Tracker

 Pixel upgraded in 2017 (4th layer) replaced some electronics in 2018
 Microstrips running colder -15°C (2015-2017) -20°C (2018)
 Ongoing: replace barrel layer 1 Replace DC-DC converters

#### **Muon Detectors**

- Drift tubes VME  $\rightarrow \mu TCA$  readout in 2018
- New RPC stations
- GEM slice test (GE1/1) in 2018

Ongoing: Installing GE1/1, Upgrade CSC FEE, Shielding against neutron background

#### Electromagnetic Calorimeter new DAQ links in 2018

#### Trigger System (done by 2016)

- L1 hardware ~100kHz
- HLT software ~1kHz

#### **Hadron Calorimeter**

replaced HPDs→**SiPMs** in Endcaps in 2018

# Catching particles: trigger and datasets

Multiple flavour of data allow to cover as much as possible the SM an BSM physics phase space

#### pp collisions

- "Standard triggers"
- B-parking: store data with lower trigger thresholds at end of fill, delay their processing →11B events enriched in unbiased B-decays !!!
- Scouting: lower pT threshold and mass selections thanks to a reduction of event size to O(10kB) due to physics objects reconstructed at the High Level Trigger (no full reco!)







Other flavors: <u>Heavy Ions, Low beta\*, Low-PU</u>

### The CMS Run 2 data-taking

Few challenges successfully accomplished during Run2

→BX time from 50ns (Run1) to 25ns(Run2)

 $\rightarrow$ Significant number of pile-up interactions

All sub-detectors performed excellently



#### pp collisions



### The Objects reconstruction - miscellanea

Consolidate existing algorithm thanks to a deep knowledge of the detector  $\rightarrow$ rs = 13 TeV, 2017 (Legacy) excellent performance



# The Objects reconstruction

 Extensive use of MVA an Deep/ML techniques to consolidate and improve existing identification/reconstruction algorithms and explore new possibilities



# Higgs bosons physics with full CMS Run II dataset

Measurement are performed with full Run II luminosity and results are interpreted in the STXS model

- Each Higgs boson production mode is split into numerous bins by kinematic features that are highly correlated with reconstruction-level objects.
- Reduce theory uncertainty and model dependence on measured bins



# Η→γγ

Full Run 2 dataset used to analyze H decays to photons

- covering ggH, VBF, and associate production modes (V, tt, tH)
- overall signal strength modifier  $\mu = 1.02^{-0.11}_{-0.9}$

 $\rightarrow$ 10% precision

 $\rightarrow$  most precise measurement of associated production with single top (x12 SM)

 results also provided in terms of production signal strengths and coupling modifiers in the κ-framework



#### <u>HIG-19-015</u>



# Η→ττ

- Covering ggH, VBF in main final states ( $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau_h$ ,  $\mu\tau_h$ ,  $\tau_h\tau_h$ )
- Main background Z  $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  estimated with embedding
- Overall signal strength modifier

#### $\mu {=} 0.85^{+0.07}_{-0.06} \, (th)^{+0.06}_{-0.06} \, (sys)^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \, (bbb)$

- Significant improvement in precision wrt to previous measurment
- Observed significance greater than 5sigma
- Improvement driven by the improved jet-to-tau discrimination
- Results also provided in terms of production signal strengths and coupling modifiers in the κ-framework







Ϋ́

# H→μμ

- First evidence for the Higgs boson decay to fermions of the second generation.
- Select events with two well-isolated opposite-signed muons.
- Events classified on the topology of the production modes: ggH, VBF, VH and ttH tagged
  - VBF: signal extracted from DNN discriminant using kinematic input variables of di-muon and di-jet system
  - ggH, VH, ttH: simultaneous fit of m(μμ) system in bins of dedicated BDT
- The observed (expected for  $\mu = 1$ ) significance at  $m_{\rm H} = 125.38$  GeV is  $3.0(2.5)\sigma$
- Signal strenght:

 $\mu {=} 1.\,19^{+0.41}_{-0.39}\,(stat)^{+0.17}_{-0.16}\,(sys)$ 

• Measured BR at 95% CL:  $0.8 \times 10^{-4} < BR(H \rightarrow \mu\mu) < 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 





### Towards completing the SM picture



- Best-fit value for  $\kappa_{\mu}$  at 95% CL 0.65 <  $\kappa_{\mu}$  < 1.53 (k $\mu$ =1.13 )
- Higgs couplings with leptons and bosons are compatible with the SM prediction
- Significant increase in the precision wrt to Run1!



### Probing the CP structure of the Higgs

- CP violating effects expected to be more experimentally accessible in coupling with fermions
  - 27deg precision on effective mixing angle between scalar and pseudoscalar with full Run2



- Using  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  decays, measuring angular correlation between decay planes  $\Phi_{CP}$  in  $\tau_{\mu} \tau_{h}$  and  $\tau_{h} \tau_{h}$  channels
  - Measurement of the direction of the tau-leptons decay product → Dedicated MVA decay mode identification with a BDT
- Background rejection with dedicated BDT(NN)
  - High sensitivity bins used for signal extraction
- Obs. (exp.) sensitivity to distinguish between the scalar and pseudo-scalar hypotheses 3.2 (2.3)  $\sigma$
- Obs. (exp.) for the mixing angle between scalar and pseudoscalar hypotesis

#### $4\pm17\circ$ (0 $\pm\,23\circ$ ) at the 68% CL.

 uncertainties measured in ttH are ±55 (CMS) and ±43 (ATLAS)





# **Beyond Standard Model**

See talks by L. Thomas (EXO), U. Sarkar (SUSY)

#### Exploring SUSY – electowikinos and s-leptons

EWK sector: much lower xsect but sensitivity to lower sparticle masses.

- 1.  $Z(\rightarrow II)+2$  jets and moderate Etmiss (confirm results on gluinos production)
- 2. a kinematic edge in the invariant mass distribution of the lepton pair
- 3. Direct s-lepton production: moderate E<sub>tmiss</sub>, hadronic activity, Z-veto





Excluded chargino (neutralino) masses up to 750 (800) GeV;

Excluded light-flavor (bottom) squark masses up to 1.7 TeV

Exclude Slepton masses up to 650 GeV

### Search for dark photons in VBF Higgs events



- 38% UL @95% CL on BR(H $\rightarrow$ BSM particles)
- SM Higgs + model independent search for Higgs bosons with mH in [125,1000] GeV,  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma_{D}$
- H  $\rightarrow$  γ γ<sub>D</sub> in VBF events. Final state with >=2 VBF tagged jets, single isolated γ and large E<sub>tmiss</sub>
- Bkg: W( $\rightarrow$ ev))+jets, W( $\rightarrow$  Iv) +  $\gamma$  with I outside acceptance
  - Bkg norm. to data in CRs



ICNFP 2020- R. Venditti - CMS Highlights

#### Exclusive diphoton production with intact protons



- Trigger and offline selections on final state γ
- Intact Protons events: sensitivity enhanced requiring momentum conservation, thanks to the PPS measurement

→No events observed
 Upper limits at 95% CL on
 the 4-photon anomalous
 quartic couplings set.

- Two  $\gamma$  interactions via charged particle loop, create two outgoing  $\gamma$  (light-by-light scattering )
- Effective extension of the SM Lagrangian using charge-parity conserving operators probed
- High diphoton mass spectrum (>350 GeV) explored
- Signature: 2p+2γ→studied using data collected by CMS and TOTEM in 2016 (9.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

#### CMS PAS EXO-18-014





### **Standard Model**

Good agreement for many processes, over 15 orders of magnitude



Testing the Standard Model through rare processes and differential/precision measurements *possible due to excellent reconstruction and calibration performance results* 

#### Production of polarized WW pairs in VBS – inspecting the EWSB

•Modifications of the production cross sections for the longitudinally polarized W are expected in BSM models, e.g., in scenarios involving additional Higgs bosons

Strategy:

- tag VBS events
  - ightarrow two energetic forward-backward tagging jets
  - $\rightarrow$  large mjj and  $\Delta$ yjj
  - ightarrow little hadronic activity between tagging jets in fully leptonic final states
- Isolate signal: EW polarized WW production with a BDT

 $\rightarrow W_{L^{\pm}} W_{L^{\pm}} \& W_{T^{\pm}} W_{x^{\pm}}$ 

- $\rightarrow W_T^{\pm} W_T^{\pm} \& W_I^{\pm} W_x^{\pm}$
- discriminate fake bkg from SM with another BDT
- Control regions used to constrain SM background (WZ, tZq, ZZ)
- Signal extraction with a simultaneous fit of 2D distribution of the 2 MVA discriminant in the SR and CRs



Process	$\sigma \mathcal{B}$ (fb)	Theoretical prediction (fb)
$W_L^{\pm}W_L^{\pm}$	$0.32^{+0.42}_{-0.40}$	$0.44\pm0.05$
$\mathrm{W}_X^\pm\mathrm{W}_\mathrm{T}^\pm$	$3.06^{+0.51}_{-0.48}$	$3.13\pm0.35$
$W_L^{\pm}W_X^{\pm}$	$1.20^{+0.56}_{-0.53}$	$1.63\pm0.18$
$W_T^{\pm}W_T^{\pm}$	$2.11\substack{+0.49 \\ -0.47}$	$1.94\pm0.21$

Measured and theoretical fiducial cross section agree within uncertainties (COM frame of the WW).

# **Double Z Production in VBS**

#### inspecting the EWSB

 Search for ZZ production in VBS events allows to access to triple and quartic gauge boson couplings
 anomalous modifications probed

•Search strategy similar to Higgs-to-ZZ analysis, in addition to the VBS tagging

- •Background mainly from dibosons production with QCD-induced jets
  - •constrained by the data in the fit
- •Main uncertainties:
  - QCD renormalization and factorization scales
  - Jet energy scale

•Signal strenght and significance of the EW signal determined using a matrix element discriminant  $(K_D)$  to separate the signal and the QCD background.

 Measured fiducial cross section consistent with SM



# **Triple Boson Production**

#### <u>SMP-19-014</u>

a tool to probe the quartic gauge coupling

- If BSM is present around 1 TeV, VVV cross section might deviate from SM predictions
- Full Run2 dataset used to probe such rare processes



- Five final states considered, with up to 6 leptons:
  - WWW  $\rightarrow$  I  $\mp$  I<sup>±</sup>2 $\nu$ qq',
  - $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}W^{\mp} \rightarrow |^{\pm}|^{\pm}3\nu$
  - $W^{\pm}W^{\mp}Z \rightarrow I^{\pm}I^{\mp}2v I^{\pm}I^{\mp}$
  - W<sup>±</sup>ZZ  $\rightarrow$  | <sup>±</sup> v 2(| <sup>±</sup>|<sup>∓</sup>)
  - ZZZ  $\rightarrow$  3( $|\mp|^{\mp}$ ).

•Background estimation: Non-isolated leptons from data, prompt lepton from MC

- Main systematic: estimation of background (limited stat.): 25% prompt, 50% non-prompt
- Analysis sensitivity in SS 3I and 4I channels is enhanced by using BDTs.
- Results in agreement with the SM!
- 5.7σ obs (5.9σ exp) significance for VVV



•

# **B-Physics**

See Federica Simone's talk

07/09/20

ICNFP 2020- R. Venditti - CMS Highlights

# Combination of the ATLAS, CMS and LHCb results on $B^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$

•B<sup>0</sup>→µµ and B<sub>s</sub>→µµ via penguin loop diagrams helicity suppressed
 •any deviation from SM predicted values is hint for BSM physics →most sensitive FCNC (BRs ratio)
 •theoretical uncertainties significantly reduced

•Similar search strategy for the 3 analyses (di-muon triggers, rectangular cuts, BDT)



Combination performed using the binned twodimensional profile likelihoods obtained by each experiment from their fit to the dimuon invariant mass distributions in the two channels

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &=& \left(2.69 \, {}^{+0.37}_{-0.35}\right) \times 10^{-9} \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &=& \left(0.6 \pm 0.7\right) \times 10^{-10}. \end{array}$$

- BR ratio  $\mathcal{R} = 0.021^{+0.030}_{-0.025}$
- Bs lifetime (CMS+LHCB data)

$$au_{B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-} = 1.91^{+0.37}_{-0.35}\,\mathrm{ps}$$



### Prompt open charm production

- Important tests of QCD, give insight into particle production at colliders
- **Baseline/background** for other physics studies
- Hadronization challenging to understand  $\rightarrow$  measurements needed
- 29/nb pp collisions collected in 2016 collected with ZeroBias trigger
- Phase space: 4 < pT (D) < 100 GeV &&  $|\eta|$  < 2.1



#### Analyzed processes + c.c.

- pp  $\rightarrow D^{*+}X \rightarrow D^0\pi^+_{s}X \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+_{s}X$
- $pp \rightarrow D^0 X \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$ ,

• 
$$pp \rightarrow D^+X \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+X$$
,



- Prompt cross section measured
  - non prompt contribution estimated with MC
- Fair agreement with the thoretical models tested
  - No MC or theoretical prediction describes the data well over the entire kinematic range

### Towards next runs

See talks by: R. M. Chatterjee, A. Savin, C. Bino, C. Aruta, L. Cristella



# Steps and Plans towards Run 3

#### Exploiting new detectors:

- Phase-1 pixel detector with updated Layer 1 electronics
- First layer of GEM muon detectors in the forward region
  New electrionics with depth segmentation in Hadronic Calorimeter

#### Planning to move to heterogeneous architecture in High Level Trigger, with mixed CPU/GPU

- Already achieved 25% reduction of CPU time
- Opens new possibilities for trigger algorithms leveraging on GPUs
- A testbed for HL-LHC Computing and triggering

#### Plan to improve Scouting and Parking data with more sophisticated approach

- The analysis of run2 dataset is ongoing, will tell us more about the potential of these innovative data taking methods
- Design of the new triggers is ongoing with the goal of enlarge the phase space
  - Improve LLP triggers at L1 and HLT
  - Improve B-parking triggers, possibly parking Low MET data for SUSY searches?





# HL-LHC: Detector upgrade

Upgrades at the forefront of the technology to cope challeging data taking conditions→aim to fully exploit the 3000/fb int. luminosity to reach unprecedent precision in SM measurement and further constraint (or even find) new physics

#### **Barrel Calorimeters**

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283187 ECAL crystal granularity readout at 40 MHz with precise timing ECAL and HCAL new Back-End boards

#### **Muon systems**

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283189 •DT & CSC new FE/BE readout •RPC back-end electronics •New GEM/RPC 1.6 < η < 2.4 •Extended coverage to η ~ 3

#### L1-Trigger/HLT/DAQ

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283192 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283193 •Tracks in L1-Trigger at 40 MHz •PFlow-like selection 750 kHz output •HLT output 7.5 kHz

MIP Timing Layer https://cds.cern.ch/record/2296612

Precision timing with: Barrel layer: Crystals + SiPMs Endcap layer: Low Gain Avalanche Diodes

#### High Granularity Calorimeter

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2293646

- 3D showers and precise timing
- Si, Scint+SiPM in Pb/W-SS

#### Tracker https://cds.cern.ch/record/2272264

- Si-Strip and Pixels increased granularity
- Design for tracking in L1-Trigger
- Extended coverage to  $\eta \simeq 3.8$

#### 07/09/20

# Conlusions

- Deep knowledge of the CMS detector and reconstruction algorithms matured during Run 2
  - Exthensive use of ML/DeepL
- Many significant results provided explointing full Run 2 luminosity
  - Yukawa Coupling with the second generation of lepton measured with full run 2 statistics
  - Standard model and B-physics searches mainly used as a tool to probe the presence of new physics
  - BSM physics further constrained with direct searches, exploiting full Run 2 luminosity

Overall, we submitted 1007 papers, having celebrated the 1000th paper on June 19th

- Work for Run 3 preparation ongoing, delay introduced by COVID was negligible
  - New detectors almost installed, new algorithm in tuning and validation phase
- Extensive work during Run2 for preparing the Phase 2 upgrade
  - Detector design in place, TDR finalized
  - HLT TDR in the pipeline, will come soon
- Incoming LHC runs will provide us much more data:
  - Less then 10% of the total (HL-)LHC luminosity delivered and analyzed so far



# Thank you for your kind attention

