Partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

Igor Denisenko (for the BESIII Collaboration) iden@jinr.ru

ICNFP 2020 4-12 September 2020

BESIII @BEPCII

2004: start of BEPCII construction 2008: test run of BEPCII 2009-now: BEPCII/BESIII data taking

BESIII detector

BEPCII:

Beam energy: 1.0-2.45 GeV Relative energy spread: 5×10^{-4} Design luminosity 1×10^{33} /cm²/s @ ψ (3770) Achieved luminosity: 1.01 x 10^{33} /cm² (05.04.2016)

LINAC

The BESIII detector



08.09.2020

Hadron spectroscopy is widely believed to be a key to understand QCD in the strong coupling regime.

It is a testing ground for non-perturbative approaches to QCD like AdS/QCD models or lattice QCD.

Detailed understanding of the hadron spectra is crucial for identification of long predicted exotic particles.



Light hadron spectroscopy at BESIII

- Clean e⁺e⁻ environment
- Known quantum numbers of the initial state
- Gluon-rich decays
- Unprecedented statistics (10 billion J/ ψ and 0.5 billion ψ ' decays).
- Clean final states

$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ and light hadron spectroscopy

$J/\psi \to K^{\scriptscriptstyle +} K^{\scriptscriptstyle -} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$

Kaon spectroscopy:

- 13 established states, 12 need confirmation, much more predicted by potential models
- Natural parity states (JP=1-, 2+, 3-,...) with masses up 2.6 GeV/c² are allowed

Meson decaying to K⁺K⁻:

- Isovector states with JPC=1--, 3--,5--, ... are allowed in strong decays (ρ(1450), ρ(1700), ...)
- The same JPCs are allowed for isoscalars in EM decays
- Previously reported exotic X(1575)



X(1575) in J/ $\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

BESII (PRL97, 142002 (2006))

- Analysis of 58M J/ ψ decays
- PWA:
 - K^{*}(892)[±]
 - K^{*}(1410)[±]
 - X(1575) (K⁺K⁻)
 - ρ(1700)
 - flat JPC=1-- contribution (PHSP)

X(1575):

- M ~ 1570 MeV
- Г~800 MeV
- $B(J/\psi \to X(1575)\pi^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{0})) \sim 8.5 \times 10^{-4}$
- Multiquark and molecular state interpretations were suggested (e.g. PRD74, 097503 (2006), PLB 643 (2006), ...)



BABAR: $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$, $J/\psi \rightarrow K_sK\pi$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

BABAR (PRD95, 072007 (2017)):

- Channels: $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, and $K_{s}K\pi$
- ISR technique
- Statistics: 2102 (K⁺K⁻ π^{0}) and 3907 (K_sK π)
- Dalitz-plot analysis

Intermediate state	$b_{K^+K^-\pi^0}$ (%)	$b_{K_SK^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}}$ (%)
$K^{*}(892)$	$92.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 3.4$	$90.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 3.8$
$K_1^*(1410)$	$2.3\pm1.1\pm0.7$	$1.5\pm0.5\pm0.9$
$K_2^*(1430)$	$3.5\pm1.3\pm0.9$	$7.1\pm1.3\pm1.2$
$\rho(1450)$	$9.3\pm2.0\pm0.6$	$6.3\pm0.8\pm0.6$

• Properties of ρ(1450)

 $B(\rho(1450) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-})/B(\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 0.307 \pm 0.084 \pm 0.082$



PWA

PRD100,032004(2019)

Data at BESIII:

- ~183 thousands events collected from 223M J/ψ decays
- Background level of 0.3%

Partial wave analysis:

- Unbinned maximum likelihood method
- Isobar parameterization of the decay
- Resonances are parameterized with BW. In case of K^{*}(892)[±] and K^{*}₂(1430)[±]

$$\Gamma(s_m, J_a) = \frac{\rho_J(s_m^2)}{\rho_J(M_a^2)} \Gamma_a,$$

$$\rho_J(s_m) = \frac{2q}{\sqrt{s_m}} \frac{q^{2J}}{F^2(q^2, r, J)}.$$

 Two solution reported: based on wellestablished states only and with a smooth parameterization in the JP=3- Kπ wave





PWA solution I

PRD100,032004(2019)

$K^{\pm}\pi^0$ channels							
J^{PC}	PDG	$M({ m MeV}/c^2)$	$\Gamma({ m MeV}/c^2)$	b(%)	$b^{+(-)}(\%)$	$\Delta \mathrm{NLL}$	
1-	$K^{*}(892)^{\pm}$	894.1 ± 0.1	$46.7 {\pm} 0.2$	$89.2{\pm}0.8$	$41.0 {\pm} 0.2$	_	
1-	$K^{*}(1680)^{\pm}$	1677*	205^{*}	$0.59 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.25 {\pm} 0.02$	398	
2+	$K_2^*(1430)^{\pm}$	$1431.4 {\pm} 0.8$	100.3 ± 1.6	$9.2 {\pm} 0.1$	$4.1 {\pm} 0.1$	_	
2^{+}	$K_2^*(1980)^{\pm}$	1817 ± 11	312 ± 28	$0.44 {\pm} 0.05$	$0.17 {\pm} 0.02$	238	
3-	$K_{3}^{*}(1780)^{\pm}$	1781^{*}	203^{\star}	$0.08\!\pm\!0.01$	$0.04 {\pm} 0.01$	83	
4+	$K_4^*(2045)^{\pm}$	2015 ± 7	183 ± 17	$0.16 {\pm} 0.02$	$0.07\!\pm\!0.01$	192	
		K^{\perp}	K^- channel				
J^{PC}	PDG	$M({ m MeV/c}^2)$	$\Gamma({\rm MeV/c^2})$	b(%)	$\Delta \ln L$	
1	$\rho(770)$	771*	150^{\star}	1.8=	± 0.2	220	
1	$\rho(1450)$	1465^{*}	400^{\star}	1.2=	± 0.2	27	
1		1643 ± 3	167 ± 12	1.1=	± 0.1	281	
1		2078 ± 6	149 ± 21	0.15	± 0.03	73	
1	non-resonant			1.2=	± 0.2	34	
3	$\rho_3(1690)$	1696*	204*	0.14	±0.01	144	

- No established states improve NLL by more than 17
- NLL can be still improved by up to 95 with smooth contributions (the largest in 3⁻ K[±]π⁰ wave)
- Not possible to consistently define systematic errors
- No evidence for X(1575)

PWA solution II

PRD100,032004(2019)

			$K^{\pm}\pi^0$ channels			
J^{PC}	PDG	$M({\rm MeV}/c^2)$	$\Gamma({ m MeV}/c^2)$	b(%)	$b^{+(-)}(\%)$	$\Delta \mathrm{NLL}$
1-	$K^{*}(892)^{\pm}$	$893.6 {\pm} 0.1 {}^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$46.7 \pm 0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$93.4 \pm 0.4^{+1.8}_{-5.8}$	$42.5 \pm 0.1^{+0.5}_{-1.7}$	_
1-	$K^{*}(1410)^{\pm}$	1380*	176*	$0.26 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.11 {\pm} 0.02$	80
1-	$K^{*}(1680)^{\pm}$	1677^{\star}	205^{\star}	$0.20 {\pm} 0.03$	$0.08\!\pm\!0.01$	56
2^{+}	$K_2^*(1430)^{\pm}$	$1432.7 {\pm} 0.7 {+}^{+2.2}_{-2.3}$	$102.5 {\pm} 1.6^{+3.1}_{-2.8}$	$9.4\!\pm\!0.1^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	$4.2{\pm}0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	—
2^{+}	$K_{2}^{*}(1980)^{\pm}$	$1868 {\pm} 8^{+40}_{-57}$	$272 \pm 24^{+50}_{-15}$	$0.38 {\pm} 0.04 {}^{+0.22}_{-0.05}$	$0.15 {\pm} 0.02 {}^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$	192
3^{-}	$K_{3}^{*}(1780)^{\pm}$	1781^{\star}	203^{\star}	$0.16 {\pm} 0.02$	$0.07 {\pm} 0.01$	105
4^{+}	$K_4^*(2045)^{\pm}$	$2090 \pm 9^{+11}_{-29}$	$201\!\pm\!19^{+57}_{-17}$	$0.21\!\pm\!0.02^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$	$0.09\!\pm\!0.01^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	212
3-	non-resonant			$\sim 1.5\%$	$\sim 0.6\%$	629
			K^+K^- channel			
J^{PC}	PDG	$M({ m MeV/c}^2)$	$\Gamma({\rm MeV/c}^2)$	b(%)	$\Delta \ln L$
1		$1651 \pm 3^{+16}_{-6}$	$194 \pm 8^{+15}_{-7}$	$1.83 \pm 0.$	$.11_{-0.17}^{+0.19}$	796
1		$2039 \pm 8^{+36}_{-18}$	$193 \pm 23^{+25}_{-27}$	0.23 ± 0.00	$.04^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	102



- Broad 3⁻ K[±]π⁰ contribution is added
- States contributing to NLL by more than 40 are included
- Systematic errors are determined from the uncertainties of PWA and the detector simulation

Partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow K+K-\pi 0$

Data description I

PRD100,032004(2019)



08.09.2020

Data description II



08.09.2020

Summary on the decay structure

PRD100,032004(2019)

Solution II



- K*(892)[±]
- K^{*}₂(1430)[±]
- K^{*}₂(1980)[±]
- K^{*}₄(2045)[±]
- 1-- @1650 MeV/c²
- 1-- @2050 MeV/c²

Also

- The are no evidence for X(1575)
- ρ(1450) can not be reliably identified, but its production rate of the order of 1% does not contradict data

The results of the solution II are considered as final.



K^{*}(892)[±] and K^{*}₂(1430)[±]

The most precise measurements of $K^*(892)^{\pm}$ and $K^*_{2}(1430)^{\pm}$ parameters

K^{*}(892)[±]

	$M ({\rm MeV/c^2})$	$\Gamma \ ({\rm MeV})$
τ -decays (PDG aver.)	$895.47 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.74$	$46.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2$
hadroproduction (PDG aver.)	891.66 ± 0.26	50.8 ± 0.9
$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$ (sol. II)	$893.6\pm0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$46.7 \pm 0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$

K^{*}₂(1430)[±]

CHARGED ONLY, WITH FINAL STATE $K\pi$

Mass	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN
	$\textbf{1427.3} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	OUR AVERAGE Err	ror ir	cludes scale factor of	of 1.3.	
	$1432.7 \pm 0.7 ~^{+2.2}_{-2.3}$	183k		ABLIKIM	2019AQ	BES
	$1420~{\pm}4$	1587		BAUBILLIER	1984B	HBC
	$1436 \pm \hspace{-0.5mm} \pm \hspace{-0.5mm} 5.5$	400	1, 2	CLELAND	1982	SPEC
	1430 ± 3.2	1500	1, 2	CLELAND	1982	SPEC
	1430 +3 2	1200	1. 2	CI FI AND	1982	SPEC
\ <i>\\</i> ;d+b						TEON
Width	VALUE (INIEV)	EVIS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN
Width	100.0 ± 2.1	OUR FIT		DOCUMENTID		TECN
Width	100.0 ± 2.1 100.0 ± 2.2	OUR FIT	ror i	ncludes scale factor	of 1.1.	TECN
Width	VALUE (MeV) 100.0 \pm 2.1 100.0 \pm 2.2 102.5 \pm 1.6 $^{+3.1}_{-2.8}$	OUR FIT OUR AVERAGE Er 183k	ror i	ncludes scale factor	of 1.1. 2019AC	BES
Width [$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{VALUE} (\text{MEV}) \\ \textbf{100.0 \pm 2.1} \\ \textbf{100.0 \pm 2.2} \\ \textbf{102.5 \pm 1.6} \begin{array}{c} +3.1 \\ -2.8 \\ \textbf{109 \pm 22} \end{array}$	OUR FIT OUR AVERAGE Er 183k 400	ror i 1, 2	ncludes scale factor ABLIKIM CLELAND	r of 1.1. 2019A0 1982	BES SPEC
Width [$VALOE$ (MeV) 100.0 ± 2.1 100.0 ± 2.2 $102.5 \pm 1.6 \stackrel{+3.1}{_{-2.8}}$ 109 ± 22 124 ± 12.8	OUR FIT OUR AVERAGE Er 183k 400 1500	ror i 1, 2 1, 2	ABLIKIM CLELAND	r of 1.1. 2019AC 1982 1982	BES SPEC SPEC
Width [$VALOE$ (MeV) 100.0 ± 2.1 100.0 ± 2.2 $102.5 \pm 1.6 \stackrel{+3.1}{-2.8}$ 109 ± 22 124 ± 12.8 113 ± 12.8	OUR FIT OUR AVERAGE Er 183k 400 1500 1200	ror i 1, 2 1, 2 1, 2	ABLIKIM CLELAND CLELAND	of 1.1. 2019A0 1982 1982 1982	BES SPEC SPEC SPEC

JINST 10, P10028 (2015)



Approximations to calculate the R•σ convolution:

- use of the Taylor expansion for σ ,
- consider cross-section dependence on M²(K⁺π⁰) and M²(K⁻π⁰) only

K^{*}₂(1980)[±] and K^{*}₄(2045)[±]

 $K_{2}^{*}(1980)$ and $K_{4}^{*}(2045)$ are for the first time observed in J/ ψ decays.

K^{*}₂(1980)

K* ₂ (1980)	Approximation II	PDG 2018
Mass (MeV/c ²)	1868±8 ₋₅₇ +40	1974±26
Width (MeV)	$272\pm24_{-15}^{+50}$	376±70

Consistent within 2.2σ

Potential models					
		Mass (Me	eV/c²)		
n ^{2S+1} Lյ	Godfey et al, 1985	Barnes et al, 2002	Ebert et al, 2009	Pang et al, 2017	
2 ³ P ₂	1938	1850	1896	1870	
1 ³ F ₂	2151	2050	2093	1964	

Better consistency with Regge trajectories in the (n,M²) plain.



Resonances in the K⁺K⁻ channel

PRD100,032004(2019)

J^{PC}=1⁻⁻ @1650 MeV:

 $M = 1651 \pm 3^{+16}_{-6} MeV/c^{2}$ $\Gamma = 194 \pm 8^{+15}_{-7} MeV/c^{2}$

Possible interpretations:

- ³D₁ isovector state,
- ω(1650),
- interference of these states.

J^{PC}=1⁻⁻ @2050 MeV:

 $M = 2039 \pm 8^{+36}_{-18} MeV/c^{2}$ $\Gamma = 193 \pm 23^{+25}_{-27} MeV/c^{2}$

Possible interpretations:

- ρ(2150),
- vector-isovector state observed in pp annihilation (Phys. Lett. B 491, 47 (2000)).

Production of ϕ -resonances is strongly suppressed: B(J/ $\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^{0}$) ~ 10⁻⁶ – 10⁻⁷ (Phys. Rev. D91, 112001 (2015)).

$\boldsymbol{\omega}(1650)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1670 ± 30	OUR ESTIMATE					
•••We do not use	the following data for av	vera	ages, fits, limits, etc.	•••		
$1651 \pm 3 \ ^{+16}_{-6}$	183k	1	ABLIKIM	2019AQ	BES	$J/\psi ightarrow K^{\!+} K^{\!-} \pi^0$
$1673 \ ^{+6}_{-7}$			ACHASOV	2019	SND	$e^+ \; e^- ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
$1671 \pm 6 \pm 10$	824	2	AKHMETSHIN	2017A	CMD3	$1.4-2.0~e^+~e^- ightarrow\omega\eta$
1660 ± 10	898	3	ACHASOV	2016B	SND	$1.34-2.00~e^+~e^- ightarrow\omega\eta$
1680 ± 10	13.1k	4	AULCHENKO	2015A	SND	$1.05-1.80~e^+~e^- o \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$1667 \ {\pm}13 \ {\pm}6$	h		AUBERT	2007AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+~e^- ightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$1645~{\pm8}$	13		AUBERT	2006D	BABR	10.6 $e^+~e^- ightarrow \omega \eta \gamma$
$1660 \pm 10 \pm 2$			AUBERT,B	2004N	BABR	10.6 $e^+~e^- ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\boldsymbol{\omega}(1650)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV) **EVTS** DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT OUR ESTIMATE 315 ± 35 ... We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ... $194 \pm 8 + 15 - 7$ 1 ABLIKIM 2019AQ BES $J/\psi
ightarrow K^+ \, K^- \, \pi^0$ 183k 95 ± 11 ACHASOV 2019 SND $e^+ \ e^-
ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$ $113 \pm 9 \pm 10$ 824 2 AKHMETSHIN CMD3 $1.4-2.0~e^+~e^ightarrow\omega\eta$ 2017A 110 ± 20 898 3 ACHASOV SND 2016B $1.34-2.00~e^+~e^-
ightarrow \omega n$ 310 ± 30 13.1k 4 AULCHENKO 2015A SND $1.05 - 1.80 \ e^+ \ e^-
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi$ $222 \pm 25 \pm 20$ AUBERT BABR 2007AU 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ 114 + 1413 AUBERT 2006D BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega m$ 230 + 30 + 20AUBERT.B BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$ 2004N

Branching fractions

PRD100,032004(2019)

 $B(J/\psi \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{0}) = (2.88 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$

- currently the most precise measurement

08.09.2020

Intermediate resonance in the $K\pi$ system						
$R_{K\pi}$	$B(J/\psi \to R^{\pm}_{K\pi}K^{\mp} \to K^+K^-\pi^0) \ B(J/\psi$	$\rightarrow R^+_{\underline{K}\pi}K^- + c.c. \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)$				
$K^{*}(892)$	$(1.22 \pm 0.01^{+0.05}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.69 \pm 0.01^{+0.13}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-3}$				
$K_2^*(1430)$	$(1.21 \pm 0.02^{+0.10}_{-0.08}) \times 10^{-4}$	$(2.69 \pm 0.04^{+0.25}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{-4}$				
$K_2^*(1980)$	$(4.3\pm0.5^{+2.3}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-6}$ $(1.1\pm0.1^{+0.6}_{-0.1}) \times 10^{-6}$					
$K_4^*(2045)$	$(2.6 \pm 0.3^{+1.1}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-6}$	$(6.2 \pm 0.7^{+2.8}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-6}$				
	Intermediate resonance in the K^+K	⁻ system				
R _{KK}	$B(J/\psi \to R_{KK}\pi^0 \to$	$K^+ K^- \pi^0)$				
$1^{}(1650 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$	$(5.3 \pm 0.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$					
$1^{}(2050 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$	$(6.7 \pm 1.1^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$					

The systematic uncertainties for K^{*}(892)± production are larger than those reported by BABAR (PRD77,092002 (2008)) due to uncertainties of the PWA solution.

Summary

In the partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$

- The structure of the decay is determined and found significantly different from what was previously reported by BESII and BABAR.
- The most precise measurements of $K^*(892)^{\pm}$ and $K_2^*(1430)^{\pm}$ parameters.
- The first observation of K^{*}₂(1980)[±] and K^{*}₄(2045)[±] in J/ψ decays. Results for K^{*}₂(1980)[±] much better agree with linear (n,M²) trajectories with the standard slope.
- Two resonance contributions at 1650 MeV/c² and 2050 MeV/c² are identified, their interpretation is discussed.
- ρ(1450) can not be reliably identified, no evidence are found for X(1575) with the decay rate reported previously.
- $B(J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)$ is measured with a high precision, branching fractions for decays through reliably identified states are reported.