## Forward Multiparticle Spectrometer at LHC

Talk is CMS-focused - but ATLAS \& CMS have similar real estate here

## Mike Albrow (Fermilab)

## NEW PHYSICS AT THE LHC - introduction

Are we looking in the wrong direction? Let's look FORWARD to it!

A new subsystem for CMS Run 4 (HL-LHC) 80-125m downstream of IR-5

## Forward Multiparticle Spectrometer for LHC

## A new subsystem for CMS Run 4 (HL-LHC) 80-125m downstream of IR-5

Two operational modes: BUY ONE, GET ONE FREE!
A) Charged and neutral TeV hadron production spectra in $p+p, p+O, O+O$ low pileup short runs. Read out with full CMS detectors 35 Tm spectrometer magnet D1 (will be) already there!
B) Search for new light long-lived decaying neutrals in $p+p$ at high luminosity (LLPs or WILPs) Independent trigger \& read out

```
SMP-HAD 03.20
```

Guaranteed physics in
unexpored phase space

Steel absorber and 35 Tm sweeping magnet D1 (will be) already there!

Two half-day meetings on Forward Multiparticle Spectrometer April 16+17 2020 Purpose: present and discuss ideas. Critique and distinguish possible and not possible Plan next level of studies and especially who will contribute to a write-up / note /doc

Thursday 16th: Mainly search for penetrating but decaying LLPs - $\gamma \mathbf{c} \tau \sim 10 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow>^{\sim}$ few km


Friday 17th: Mainly measurement of very forward hadrons in pp, pO, OO at low luminosity


## Beyond Standard Model Physics at LHC

Searches at high $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}-$ shortest distances \& highest masses
Major effort - so far no discoveries since Higgs(125) - Standard Model
We know there must be new physics : dark matter at least, maybe portals to "dark side"
"Look elsewhere!"
Maybe new BSM particles are light (< few GeV) but weakly interacting (LLPs, WILPs) Most produced light particles have low $p_{T}$ and large $p_{z}$ : go along the beam pipes.
++ Search at high luminosity for new particles e.g. dark photons, ALPs ("mode B") Mostly penetrating ~ 40m steel before entering ~ 35m decay volume - Vacuum! FASER (Felix Kling's talk) - similar goals but many differences. FMS closer, bigger, larger $\theta$ Fixed Target expts (Yu-Dai Tsai's talk) similar goals but much lower Vs - mass range

Big pipe radius $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{c m}$

## HL - LLP Decaying in vacuum search mode



Potentially* search for highly penetrating $X^{0}$ decaying in vacuum to:
$\gamma \gamma$ (no tracks - or conversion - to high granularity EM calorimeter)
$e^{+} e^{-}$if $M(X)>2 M e V \quad$ (track pair and high granularity EM calorimeter)
$\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ if $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{X})>108 \mathrm{MeV}$ (Muon through calo \& muon chambers) not from $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$
$\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$if $M(X)>212 \mathrm{MeV}$ (Muon pair through calo \& muon chambers)
$\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$if $M(X)>3600 \mathrm{MeV}\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right.$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$or $\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ or e/ $\mu+$ hhh ? $)$
$\overline{C \bar{C}}$ if $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{X})>\sim 4000 \mathrm{MeV}$ (== $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$charm factory event boosted to TeV !)

OR?? Not decaying but interacting in calorimeter (very good imaging!) ??

* All need proper study with simulation \& realistic set-up and backgrounds. INVITATION!

Production spectra of
charged mesons at pp 13TeV
H.Menjo

- pp collisions with $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$
- Event generation by CRMC for Pythia8, QGJSET2-4, EPOS-LHC, Sibyll 2.3c
- $10^{\wedge} 7$ collisions for each interaction model
(Dec 5th 2019)


## EPOS-LHC

Density of charged pions in $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Most have $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \&|\eta|>7$ - no measurements at LHC yet

Light LLPs from $\pi, \eta$ decay go where pions go $\eta=7$ is 1.8 mrad


Note: $\eta=4.5$ (LHCb charm) is not "very forward"

## RUN 4 - HL LHC



Recombination dipole D2 105 mm diameter bore
OD ~ 56 cm

Fig. 4.1: Cross-section of the separation dipole.
Separation dipole D1
150 mm aperture,
Thanks G. Apollinari
35 Tm integrated field OD ~ 40 cm


Pipe region as currently planned for Run 4 TOP VIEW
New superconducting
Dipole 35 Tm


80m

Propose: new pipe with radius $\sim 30 \mathrm{~cm}$, length $\sim 30 \mathrm{~m}$

## Vincent Baglin's talk tomorrow

## Marta Sabate Gilarte's talk tomorrow

NEGATIVE particles $1-2$ TeV (through D1 aperture)

POSITIVE particles 1-2 TeV (through D1 aperture)

HADRON spectroscopy in L\&R quadrants
in low pile-up short runs (Mode A)
LLP search in U\&D quadrants in full HL Runs (Mode B)

## Magnetised Fe Toroids around "small" beam pipe

At front as absorber, at back plates as calorimeter

## Vladimir Kashikhin's talk

Fe cylinder (E.g. AISI 1010 ~0.1\%C)

```
COIL: Water-cooled Cu
```

COIL: Water-cooled Cu
Field in Fe B ~ 2T at inner radius
Field in Fe B ~ 2T at inner radius
~ 1T at outer radius
~ 1T at outer radius
Field on beams small
Thanks to Vladimir Kashikhin
100 GeV Muons bend in 3 m @ $2 \mathrm{~T}=18 \mathrm{mrad}$

``` \& Mult. Scatt. \(\theta_{\text {RMs }} \sim 2 \mathrm{mrad}\)
\(R_{\text {inner }} \sim 10 \mathrm{~cm} . R_{\text {outer }} \sim 30 \mathrm{~cm} \rightarrow\) Area \(0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\)
Length ~ \(3 m=\sim 18 \lambda_{\text {INT }}\)
Top and bottom halves separate.
(Each half weighs ~ 3T if L = 3m)

Behind separation dipole D1 and Diode BACK CYLINDER @ z ~ 120m = Calorimeter Plates separated with detector layers

Hodoscope and short tracker mounted on back, in front of decay volume

\section*{Same techniques as CMS-HL-LHC Forward detectors Only small overall dimensions \(-0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\), shapes Julie Hogan's talk}

At back of big pipe, over \(\mathrm{R} \sim 10 \mathrm{~cm}-30 \mathrm{~cm}\) :
Detectors over 10-12 m in front of TAXN at 127 m :
Thin vacuum window (minimise mult.scatt. over most of area)
Precision tracking (pixels and/or strips) over \(\sim 2 \mathrm{~m}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{x}}\right.\) and \(\theta_{\mathrm{y}}\) to few \(\left.\mu \mathrm{rad}\right)\)
Timing ( \(\sim 20 \mathrm{ps}\) ) to constrain track pairs (e.g. LGAD)
High granularity EM calorimeter ( \(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}\)and \(\gamma \gamma\) )
Imaging hadron calorimeter: hadron E measurment and muon filter
\(==\) Fe toroid magnet full \(\varphi\)
Muon tracking behind calorimeter (e.g. GEMs)
== TAXN behind (shields the back)
Transition Radiation Detectors only needed for Low PU spectra for Mode A (hadrons) Not really essential (?) for HL LLP search - if assume \(h=\pi\)

At high P-U separate trigger and data stream - no need to combine with central (Only in low - PU mode SMP-HAD)

\section*{Tracking}

Precision tracking immediately behind vacuum pipe window - as thin as allowed (ribs) No field so straight tracks. Optimize vertexing - \(x_{0} y_{0} z_{0}\) to be well inside vacuum ...and pointing


\section*{CALORIMETER}
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ FH. } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ FMS } \\
Imaging: readout with Si pads/cells \(\sim 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\) \\
\(\mathrm{R}_{\text {inn }} \sim 40 \mathrm{~cm}\). & Cf FHS 10 cm \\
\(\mathrm{R}_{\text {out }} \sim 180 \mathrm{~cm}\). & Cf. FHS 30 cm \\
Angled & Not angled \\
Area \(\sim 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\). & \({ }^{i}\) Area \(\sim 0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\)
\end{tabular}

TO DO: Layout a detector combination with Run 4 detectors as default and simulate.
\(M(X \rightarrow \mu+\mu-)\) in vacuum past \(D 1\) \(p(\mu)\) from bend ( \(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}\) check)

\section*{Magnetised hadron calorimeter} toroid concept - as HGCAL + coil


IRON Plate thickness \(=12 \times 35 \mathrm{~mm}-(12-24) \times 68 \mathrm{~mm}\)
Field in \(\mathrm{Fe} \sim 2 T\) (saturation) at small \(R\)
Not uniform - decreases with \(R\)
TODO: Calculate bending with multiple scattering vs \(p(\mu)\) over full range \(\rightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mu+\mu-)\) resolution

\section*{Vladimir Kashikhin's talk}

Calorimeter and tracking all azimuth also L\&R for charged hadrons : \(\sigma(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{E} \sim 5 \%\)

\section*{Question: Why full azimuth for LLI Search?}

Answers:
\(>\) Full azimuth needed for toroid magnet
\(>\) Perhaps search can be full \(\phi\) even with charged particle background? Track multiplicity question.
> The low-PU Mode A is a strong SM motivation, and spectra are needed to understand expected fluxes, etc.
That needs L \& R sectors.
But can discuss value of TRD in the UP \& DOWN sectors.
- TRD can incorporate excellent tracking.
- GaAs more rad hard than Si

\section*{Coverage through steel absorbers}

Approximation: Zero crossing angle
\[
R=30 \mathrm{~cm} @ 116 \mathrm{~m}, \eta=6.65
\]


FASER
FORWARD SEARCH EXPERIMENT AT THE LHC
arXiv:1811.10243v1
Felix Kling's talk


FASER: Lol July 2018 - quickly approved - Run 3
Decay volume at \(\mathrm{z}=480 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R}=10 \mathrm{~cm}\), and \(\mathrm{L}=1.5 \mathrm{~m}\)
vFaser proposed (emulsion stacks)
Run 4 they propose FASER2 : \(R=1 \mathrm{~m}\) and \(\mathrm{L}=5 \mathrm{~m}\)
Muons and \(v\) from \(\pi, K, D\) decay can be known (FMS- hadrons), and their decay lengths are very long!
\(\gamma c \tau(\pi)=139 \mathrm{~km}\) at 2.5 TeV ! But abundant and \(->\) forward HE neutrinos! (FASERv)
үст \((\mathrm{K}+)=18.5 \mathrm{~km}\) at 2.5 TeV !
үсt \(\left(\mathrm{D}^{0}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~cm}\) at 2.5 TeV !

Fraction of particles entering decay volume that decay vs. \(\gamma c \tau\)

F = Fraction decaying in length of decay volume in angular acceptance.
(Does not include flux factor)


FASER (Run 3/4) is a short decay volume far away : maximum decay fraction \(=0.4 \%(1.2 \%)\) FMS (Run 4) is much bigger volume closer: maximum decay fraction \(13 \%\)

\section*{Can we see \(\mathrm{X}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma\) ? Axion-like particles}

As for \(\pi^{0}\) decay, the opening angle \(\theta_{\gamma \gamma}(\) or \(\alpha)\) has a minimum \(\theta_{\gamma \gamma}(\min )=2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}\) and a maximum opening angle \(180^{\circ}\).
For an isotropic decay the distribution in \(\alpha\) is:
\[
\frac{d N}{d \alpha}=\frac{1}{4 \gamma \beta} \frac{\cos \alpha / 2}{\sin ^{2} \alpha / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha / 2-1}} .
\]
... which peaks at \(\theta_{\gamma \gamma}\) (min) - equal energy photons - and vanishes at \(180^{\circ}\) (one is backwards)


With 2-EM shower resolving d few mm and distances L ~ \(3-30 \mathrm{~m}\) looks OK, including mass \(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\) reconstruction.

\section*{Critical issue probably shower pointing} vertex resolution and \(\mathrm{X}^{0}\) trajectory.

Simulation two 80 GeV parallel photons separated by about 30 mm .
From CMS-TDR-019 Fig 5.1

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Some values, e.g.:} \\
\hline \(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{GeV})\) & \(\mathrm{E}_{\chi}(\mathrm{GeV})\) & & ) mrad \\
\hline 2 & 1000 & 4 & \(=\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{m}\) \\
\hline 2 & 2000 & 2 & \\
\hline 5 & 1000. & 10 & \\
\hline 5 & 2000 & 5 & \\
\hline 10 & 1000 & 20 & \\
\hline 10 & 2000 & 10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\text { Can we see } X^{0} \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma ?
\]

Critical issue probably shower pointing: vertex resolution, \(\mathrm{X}^{0}\) trajectory and \(\alpha\) Single shower position resolution \(\sim 1 \mathrm{~mm}\) Angle resolution \(<7 \mathrm{mrad}\) ( 25 GeV showers)


From CMS-TDR-019 Fig 5.2



Simulation two 80 GeV parallel photons separated by about 30 mm .
From CMS-TDR-019 Fig 5.1

If conversion in tracker - no field so no spread

\section*{Can we see \(\mathrm{X}^{0} \rightarrow \tau+\tau ?\)}
\(\mathrm{M}(\tau)=1776.86 \mathrm{MeV} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{X})>\sim 3600 \mathrm{MeV}\)
Main decays: \(\mu \vee v\) \& evveach about 0.175 so \(\mu \mu\), e e \(3 \%\) each, e \(\mu=6 \%\)
Non-pointing because neutrinos missing.
\(B R(h v)=0.115\) (mostly \(\pi\) ).
BR ( \(h+\geq 1\) neutrals) \(37 \%\)
BR (h h h \(+\geq 0\) neutrals - 3 prong) \(15 \%\)


\section*{Can we see \(\mathrm{X}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{c}\) ?}

Consider e+e- events above open charm threshold \(2 \times \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{D}^{0}\right)=3730 \mathrm{MeV}\) Boosted to high \(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}\) (acceptance?) and decay in pipe

\section*{All need simulation}

\section*{Can we see \(\mathrm{X}^{0} \rightarrow\) Jet + Jet?}


CMS Central
CMS Collaboration, Phys.Rev.D.91, 012017 (2015) [arXiv:1411.6530].


Require di-jets all coming from a single displaced vertex.

Throw away energy of tracks not reconstructed from vertex.

Unlikely to be sensitive to emerging phenomenology.



\section*{SUMMARY: Propose Forward Multiparticle Spectrometer for CMS Run 4}

> Low PU charged mode : many valuable measurements in unexplored region High Lumi neutral mode: important discovery potential

Many opportunities to participate towards a CMS Note or other documents (theory paper too)
Simulate beam line, magnets as absorbers etc.

Assemble a possible configuration of Run 4 detectors as spectrometer elements

Simulate vertexing ( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\) ) from track pairs (or 4?) in tracker, resolutions
Calculate hadron (including c) production spectra in this region with PYTHIA et al. -other MCs

Simulate sensitivity to LLIs as \(\mathrm{fn}\left(\mathrm{M}, \varepsilon^{2} / \tau, \sigma\right) \ldots\) including \(\tau^{+} \tau^{-}\), c-cbar? (LHC >SPS-FT)
Opportunity for participation and also leadership!

\title{
Thank pou
}

\section*{Back-ups \(\rightarrow\)}

\section*{Thursday 16th: Mainly search for penetrating but decaying LLPs - \(\gamma \mathbf{c} \tau \sim 10 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow>^{\sim}\) few km}


\section*{From IR5 to first quadrupole Q1}


Figure 11.9: Layout of CMS beam-pipe from interaction point to first quadrupole. All dimensions are in millimeters.


Francesco Cerutti \& Marta Gilarte. FLUKA
\(100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\) Does not include additional Fe absorbers

Fluence \(\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\) per \(100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\) down to low energies ( \(100 \mathrm{keV}-1 \mathrm{MeV}\) ) - all momenta Calculations by Marta Gilarte, CERN (FLUKA)
At \(\mathrm{z}=116 \mathrm{~m}\) where transition to small pipe could be.

CHARGED PARTICLES
115.9 m < distance from IP < 116.1 m


Very low levels above and below 'small' beam pipe

NEUTRAL PARTICLES


Or n-absorber

A Light Scalar Explanation of \((g-2)_{\mu}\) and the KOTO Anomaly

Jia Liu, \({ }^{1}\) Navin McGinnis, \({ }^{2,3}\) Carlos E.M. Wagner, \({ }^{1,3,4}\) and Xiao-Ping Wang \({ }^{3}\)
\({ }^{1}\) Physics Department and Enrico Fermi Institute, DUt :...
dark photysics Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA
\({ }^{3}\) High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, 60439
\[
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \pi^{0}+\phi
\]
\({ }^{4}\) Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics,
University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, 60637
(Dated: January 22, 2020)
Abstract
The KOTO experiment has recently performed a search for neutral Kaons decaying into neutral pions and a pair of neutrinos. Three events were observed in the KOTO signal region, with an expected background of about 0.05 . Since no clear signal of systematic errors have been found, the excess of events in the decay \(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \bar{\nu}\) is quite intriguing. One possibility to explain this anomaly would be the presence of a scalar \(\phi\) with mass of the order of the pion mass and inducing decays \(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \phi\) which mimic the observed signal. A scalar with mass of the order of the pion mass and a coupling to muons of the order of the Standard Model Higgs coupling could also explain
\(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}\) too long lived for FMS \(\gamma \subset \tau(1 \mathrm{TeV})=30 \mathrm{~km}\) but \(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \phi\) is allowed (Carlos Wagner) \(\gamma c \tau(1 \mathrm{TeV})=53 \mathrm{~m} \& \phi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}\) Lifetime in reasonable range

Consider "dark photons" - popular candidate, not for dark matter if they decay but maybe "portals" to the dark sector.

If \(1 \mathrm{Mev}<\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)<140 \mathrm{MeV}\) then \(\pi^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}+\gamma\) and then \(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow\) e+eIf \(1 \mathrm{Mev}<\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)<547 \mathrm{MeV}\) then \(\eta^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}+\gamma\) and then \(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow\) e+eIf \(210 \mathrm{Mev}<\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)<547\) (958) MeV then \(\eta^{0}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}+\gamma\) and then \(A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{e}-\& \mu+\mu-\) Look where the \(\pi^{0}\) and \(\eta^{0}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\) go ...

Key is extremely good tracking (behind thin window) to find vertex in vacuum (consider He or air but ... pipe exists - make it bigger) pointing back to collision region through ~30m of steel.

If almost no background events (?) a few makes a discovery [cf Z (6 events)] What backgrounds make a pointing-back vertex in this large fiducial volume?

Food for thought (\& simulation) - enhanced coupling to heavy flavors:
\(X \rightarrow \tau+\tau-\rightarrow 3 \% \mathrm{e}+\mu, \sim 5 \%(\mathrm{e}\) or \(\mu)+3\)-tracks - but missing v's smears pointing
\[
x \rightarrow c \bar{c}, b-\bar{b} ?
\]

SM Particles decaying to \(\mu^{+} \mu\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Name & IGJPC & \(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{MeV})\) & Width MeV & BR-mumu & \\
\hline rho(770) & 1+1-- & \(775.26+-0.25\) & \(149.1+/-0.8\) & \(4.55+-0.28\) & \(10^{\wedge}-5\) \\
\hline omega(782) & \(0-1--\) & \(782.65+-0.12\) & \(8.49+-0.08\) & \(7.4+-1.8\) & \(10^{\wedge}-5\) \\
\hline phi(1020) & \(0-1--\) & \(1019.461+-0.016\) & \(4.249+-0.013\) & \(2.86+-0.19\) & \(10^{\wedge}-4\) \\
\hline J/psi(1S) & \(0-1--\) & \(3096.900+-0.006\) & \(0.0929+-0.0028\) & \(5.961+-0 . .033\) & \(10^{\wedge}-2\) \\
\hline psi(2S) & \(0-1--\) & \(3686.097+-0.025\) & \(0.294+-0.008\) & \(8.0+-0.6\) & \(10^{\wedge}-3\) \\
\hline psi(4160) & \(0-1--\) & \(4191+-5\) & \(70+-10\) & seen & \\
\hline Upsilon(1S) & \(0-1--\) & \(9460.30+-0.26\) & \(0.05402+-0.00125\) & \(2.48+-0.05\) & \(10^{\wedge}-2\) \\
\hline Upsilon(2S) & \(0-1--\) & \(10023.26+-0.31\) & \(0.03198+-0.00263\) & \(1.93+-0.17\) & \(10^{\wedge}-2\) \\
\hline Upsilon(3S) & \(0-1--\) & \(10355.2+-0.5\) & \(0.02032+-0.00185\) & \(2.18+-0.21\) & \(10^{\wedge}-2\) \\
\hline & & & & & \(10^{\wedge}-10\) \\
\hline KO_S & & 497.611 & & \(6.84+-0.11\) & \(10^{\wedge}-9\) \\
\hline KO_L & & 497.611 & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

