



Cho–Maison Monopole: BPS Limit and Mass Bound

Petr Beneš¹, Filip Blaschke^{1, 2}

¹ Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics, Czech Technical University in Prague

² Faculty of Philosophy and Science, Silesian University in Opava

petr.benes@utef.cvut.cz



Magnetic Monopole in Standard Model

't Hooft–Polyakov

- ▶ Based on the particular pattern of SSB
- ▶ Relevant second homotopy group is trivial
$$\pi_2(SU(2) \times U(1)/U(1)) = \{1\}$$
- ▶ \Rightarrow **'t Hooft–Polyakov magnetic monopole doesn't exist in SM**

Cho–Maison: Topology

- ▶ Desired topology can be found elsewhere
- ▶ Namely in the Higgs doublet field
$$H = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\rho\xi \quad \text{where} \quad \xi^\dagger\xi = 1$$
- ▶ Due to $U(1)_Y$ invariance ξ can be regarded as a \mathbb{CP}^1 field
- ▶ But $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ \Rightarrow **Cho–Maison magnetic monopole could, possibly, exist in SM...**

Cho–Maison: Mass

But:

- ▶ Mass of the Cho–Maison monopole is infinite:
$$M = \frac{2\pi}{g'^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r^2} + \text{finite terms} = \infty$$
- ▶ \Rightarrow **Physical (i.e., finite-mass) Cho–Maison magnetic monopole cannot exist in SM!**

Regularizations of Monopole Mass

The idea:

- ▶ Perhaps some effective extension of SM might allow for a finite-mass Cho–Maison monopole
- ▶ In particular: Since the divergence is proportional to $1/g'^2$, a modification of the $U(1)_Y$ kinetic term might do the job

CKY Regularization

Cho, Kim, Yoon (1997) proposed the following model:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CKY}} = |D_\mu H|^2 - V(H) - \frac{1}{4g'^2}\epsilon B_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2g^2}\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}^2]$$

Notice the presence of ϵ (a function of $|H|^2/v^2$):

- ▶ If $\epsilon = 1$, we recover SM (with infinitely heavy monopole)
- ▶ If ϵ is a non-trivial function satisfying $\epsilon(0) = 0$, monopole mass is *finite*!
- ▶ Ellis, Mavromatos, You (2016) found ϵ allowing monopoles mass as low as ~ 5.5 TeV

\longrightarrow How light can monopole be?

BPS Regularization

Inspired by CKY we propose another model, whose main virtue is that it is a BPS theory:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BPS}} = |D_\mu H|^2 - \frac{v^2}{4g^2|H|^2}\mathbf{h}^2\left(\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}^2] - \frac{2}{|H|^4}\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}HH^\dagger]^2\right) - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\mathbf{h}'}{g|H|^2}\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}HH^\dagger] + \frac{\mathbf{f}'}{g'}B_{\mu\nu}\right)^2$$

Model is parameterized by \mathbf{f}' and \mathbf{h} (again functions of $|H|^2/v^2$)

- ▶ Cannot be reduced to SM (for any \mathbf{f}' , \mathbf{h})
- ▶ For suitable \mathbf{f}' , \mathbf{h} it allows for finite-mass monopoles
- ▶ Although phenomenologically not relevant *directly*, it has some *indirect* implications...

Implications of the BPS Theory \mathcal{L}_{BPS}

Exact Monopole Solutions: Theorists' Playground

- ▶ Equations of motion (“BPS equations”) are of the first order!
- ▶ \Rightarrow Can be solved more easily
- ▶ *Example:* for $\mathbf{f}' = |H|^2/v^2$ and $\mathbf{h} = 1$ the spherically symmetric monopole solution is:

$$A_i^a = \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{g}{g'}\left[\frac{\mu r}{2}\frac{2 + \mu r}{1 + \mu r} - \log(1 + \mu r)\right]\right\}\right)\varepsilon_{iak}\frac{x_k}{r}$$

$$H = i\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu r}\right)}\begin{pmatrix}\sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\cos(\theta/2)\end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{where } \mu \equiv vg' \approx 86.1 \text{ GeV})$$

with mass

$$M = 4\pi v\left(\frac{1}{2g} + \frac{1}{3g'}\right) \approx 5.32 \text{ TeV}$$

- ▶ Exact solutions can be found also for other \mathbf{f}' , \mathbf{h} ...

Monopole Mass Bound: Phenomenology

By definition, BPS theory has a lower energy (Bogomolny) bound:

$$\mathcal{E} \geq \frac{1}{2}v\varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_i\left(\frac{1}{g}h\text{Tr}[F_{jk}\xi\xi^\dagger] + \frac{1}{g'}fB_{jk}\right)$$

\Rightarrow allows to derive lower bound on monopole mass:

$$M \geq \frac{2\pi v}{g} \approx 2.37 \text{ TeV}$$

Nontrivially, this bound holds not only for the BPS theory, but also for the CKY theory:

- ▶ Regardless of the choice of \mathbf{f}' , \mathbf{h} or ϵ , monopole mass cannot be lower
- ▶ \Rightarrow mass bound is phenomenologically relevant

Reference:

PTEP 2018 (2018) no.7, 073B03, arXiv:1711.04842