Performance of the reconstruction and identification of high-momentum muons collected with CMS in 13 TeV data



C. Battilana (University and INFN Bologna) on behalf of the CMS collaboration



ICHEP2020: 40th International Conference on High Energy Physics 28/07 - 06/08 (virtual conference)

Detection of muons with the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS)

- Muon in CMS are tracks with curved trajectory, bent in radial plane by a B = 3.8 T solenoidal field
- Muon tracking is performed with:
- 1. Silicon inner tracker:
 - ▶ PIXEL: 4 layers (BPix) 3 layers (FPix) ≥ 2017 3 layers (BPix) - 2 layers (FPix) ≤ 2016
 ▶ STRIP: 4 layers (TIB) - 6 layers (TOB) barrel 3 layers (TID) - 9 layers (TEC) endcap



Longitudinal view of a quarter of the inner tracker



- 2. Muon system (gaseous detectors):
 - ▶ **Drift Tubes** (|**η**| < 1.2)

tracking detector with trigger capabilities

• Cathode Strip Chambers ($0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$)

tracking detector with trigger capabilities

▶ Resistive Plate Chambers (|*η*| < 1.9)

mostly used in trigger

Longitudinal view of a quarter of the muon system

Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?

Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?

▶ LHC √s from 8 TeV to 13 TeV: large increase in cross-section of high-invariant-mass resonances (e.g. Z', W')

▶ More than 140 fb⁻¹ of collected data is "good for physics": an unprecedented statistics of high-momentum muons

- Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?
 - ▶ LHC √s from 8 TeV to 13 TeV: large increase in cross-section of high-invariant-mass resonances (e.g. Z', W')
 - ▶ More than 140 fb⁻¹ of collected data is "good for physics": an unprecedented statistics of high-momentum muons
- ► Also, how those muons differ from lower energy (≈ 200 GeV) ones?

- Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?
 - ▶ LHC √s from 8 TeV to 13 TeV: large increase in cross-section of high-invariant-mass resonances (e.g. Z', W')
 - ▶ More than 140 fb⁻¹ of collected data is "good for physics": an unprecedented statistics of high-momentum muons
- Also, how those muons differ from lower energy ($\leq 200 \text{ GeV}$) ones?
 - 1. Muon curvature and multiple scattering along trajectory are reduced: muon system contributes to the measure of p_T
 - Accurate knowledge of tracker and muon system alignment becomes critical



- Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?
 - ▶ LHC √s from 8 TeV to 13 TeV: large increase in cross-section of high-invariant-mass resonances (e.g. Z', W')
 - ▶ More than 140 fb⁻¹ of collected data is "good for physics": an unprecedented statistics of high-momentum muons
- Also, how those muons differ from lower energy ($\leq 200 \text{ GeV}$) ones?
 - 1. Muon curvature and multiple scattering along trajectory are reduced: muon system contributes to the measure of p_T
 - Accurate knowledge of tracker and muon system alignment becomes critical
 - 2. Radiative contributions to muon energy loss becomes sizable: the critical energy of muons in iron ~350 GeV
 ▶ Methods to tag events with "showers" in the muon system, based on counting of hit or segment multiplicities, were developed



- Why was it critical to study high-energy muons over Run-2?
 - ▶ LHC √s from 8 TeV to 13 TeV: large increase in cross-section of high-invariant-mass resonances (e.g. Z', W')
 - ▶ More than 140 fb⁻¹ of collected data is "good for physics": an unprecedented statistics of high-momentum muons
- Also, how those muons differ from lower energy ($\leq 200 \text{ GeV}$) ones?
 - 1. Muon curvature and multiple scattering along trajectory are reduced: muon system contributes to the measure of p_T
 - Accurate knowledge of tracker and muon system alignment becomes critical
 - 2. Radiative contributions to muon energy loss becomes sizable: the critical energy of muons in iron ~350 GeV ▶ Methods to tag events with "showers" in the muon system, based on counting of hit or segment multiplicities, were developed



A complete study of high-energy muons with 2016 and 2017 data is documented in: <u>JINST 15 (2020) P02027</u>

Reconstruction of *high-momentum muons*









More details in: <u>JINST 13 (2018) P06015</u>



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

More details in: JINST 13 (2018) P06015



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

5. Inner tracker tracks + DT/CSC segments --> tracker muons

More details in: JINST 13 (2018) P06015



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

5. Inner tracker tracks + DT/CSC segments --+ tracker muons

+ Dedicated high-p_T refits (more in next slide)

More details in: JINST 13 (2018) P06015



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

5. Inner tracker tracks + DT/CSC segments --> tracker muons

- + **Dedicated high-p**_T refits (more in next slide)
- + Muons included in the CMS Particle-Flow (PF) event reconstruction (not covered today)

More details in: <u>JINST 13 (2018) P06015</u>



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

5. Inner tracker tracks + DT/CSC segments --> tracker muons

+ Dedicated high-p_T refits (more in next slide)

+ Muons included in the CMS Particle-Flow (PF) event reconstruction (not covered today)

+ Muon identification:

- based on muon track variables (e.g. # of hits in inner track)
- using "external" quantities (e.g. proximity to primary vertex)

More details in: <u>JINST 13 (2018) P06015</u>



4. Inner tracker + standalone tracks --> global muons (refitted)

5. Inner tracker tracks + DT/CSC segments --> tracker muons

- + **Dedicated high-p**_T refits (more in next slide)
- + Muons included in the CMS Particle-Flow (PF) event reconstruction (not covered today)

+ Muon identification:

- based on muon track variables (e.g. # of hits in inner track)
- using "external" quantities (e.g. proximity to primary vertex)

+ Muon isolation:

- counting energy deposits in tracker and calorimeters
- based on PF quantities (charged/neutral hadrons, photons)

- ▶ For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal

- ▶ For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:

- ► For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:

use only information from the inner tracker

- ► For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:
 - use only information from the inner tracker
 - Track plus first muon station (TPFMS):

combined fit of tracker track and hits from innermost muon station

- ► For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:

use only information from the inner tracker

Track plus first muon station (TPFMS):

combined fit of tracker track and hits from innermost muon station

Picky Fit:

starting from a global muon, in chambers with many hits/layer, reject ones less compatible with the muon track fit

- ► For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:

use only information from the inner tracker

Track plus first muon station (TPFMS):

combined fit of tracker track and hits from innermost muon station

Picky Fit:

starting from a global muon, in chambers with many hits/layer, reject ones less compatible with the muon track fit

Dynamic Truncation (DYT):

starting from the inner track, truncate fit if extrapolated track falls "far" from segments in muon stations (i.e. because of large energy loss in iron)

- ► For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:

use only information from the inner tracker

- Track plus first muon station (TPFMS): combined fit of tracker track and hits from innermost muon station
- Picky Fit:

starting from a global muon, in chambers with many hits/layer, reject ones less compatible with the muon track fit

Dynamic Truncation (DYT):

starting from the inner track, truncate fit if extrapolated track falls "far" from segments in muon stations (i.e. because of large energy loss in iron)

Final track-fit type chosen by **Tune-P** algorithm:

- \blacktriangleright Highly favours inner-track fit at low momentum (p_T < 200 GeV)
- At high p_T, selects among different refits based on track quality parameters (i.e. $\chi^2/\#$ d.o.f and $\sigma(p_T)/p_T$)

Tune-P decision strategy





- ▶ For muons of at least few hundreds of GeV, muon chambers contribute to the p_T measurement
- ▶ But in some cases (e.g. in presence of showers) out-of-the-box p_T from global muons may be suboptimal
- Different track-fit strategies were developed to achieve optimal performance:
 - Inner-track fit:

use only information from the inner tracker

Track plus first muon station (TPFMS):

combined fit of tracker track and hits from innermost muon station

Picky Fit:

starting from a global muon, in chambers with many hits/layer, reject ones less compatible with the muon track fit

Dynamic Truncation (DYT):

starting from the inner track, truncate fit if extrapolated track falls "far" from segments in muon stations (i.e. because of large energy loss in iron)

Final track-fit type chosen by **Tune-P** algorithm:

- \blacktriangleright Highly favours inner-track fit at low momentum (p_T < 200 GeV)
- At high p_T, selects among different refits based on track quality parameters (i.e. $\chi^2/\#$ d.o.f and $\sigma(p_T)/p_T$)



Physics object performance: scale and resolution

Measurement of biases in the muon p_T scale

- ▶ High-p_T muons have a small curvature (k) \rightarrow little distortions in the estimation of k can largely bias p_T
 - At high energy, biases are **mostly due by constant shifts of** k due to residual misalignments of tracker and muon system
- Scale consistency between data and MC is measured with the **Generalized Endpoint Method**:
 - 1. The $k = q/p_T$ distribution of muons (from dimuon events) with $p_T > 200$ GeV is plotted for data and simulation
 - 2. For simulation (which has no scale bias), an artificial curvature bias k_b is injected "in steps" to the original q/p_T distribution
 - 3. For each injected k_b step a binned χ^2 test is performed to compare the data and (distorted) simulation q/p_T distributions
 - 4. The curvature bias in data is selected as the value minimizing the χ^2 distribution as function of k_b
- Bias overall less than 10% (mostly consistent with 0), with the exception of the high $|\eta|$ region



Muon momentum resolution



- Either evaluated using cosmic muons:
 - Muons traversing CMS close to the beamline get reconstructed separately in the upper and lower halves of CMS
 - ▶ The q/p_T relative residual between the *upper* and *lower* reconstructed muons is a measure of the momentum resolution

Either by using pp collision events:

Selecting dimuons from boosted Z decays and fitting the Z invariant mass distribution

> Data/MC agreement generally good; main exception: a discrepancy around ~15% for $|\eta| > 1.6$ (2017 dataset)

Physics object performance: efficiencies

Muon reconstruction and identification efficiencies

• Efficiencies are measured with a *cut and count extended tag-and-probe technique*:

- ▶ TAG: muon satisfying high-p_T ID criteria and very tight isolation cut, matched with single muon trigger
- **PROBE:** tracker muon, with very tight isolation cut (+ potentially cuts on the inner track, e.g. # of layers, vertex proximity)
- ▶ PAIR: no upper cut on on invariant mass, plus add cuts (e.g. p_T balance) to select non resonant DY events
- ▶ The muon component of the reconstruction efficiency and the full high-p_T ID selection are probed
 - **RECO:** p_T dependent inefficiency for $|\eta| > 1.6$ as large as 3% efficiency in barrel is flat vs p_T , with a data/MC SF ~99% **ID:** efficiency is ~98% (data) with a ~1% data/MC discrepancy flat as function of p_T both in barrel and endcaps



Trigger efficiency



- The trigger efficiency is measured exploiting two different strategies:
 - 1. With the *extended tag-and-probe method*
 - 2. Using datasets collected with *non-muonic* triggers (e.g. e-gamma triggers)
- Overall efficiency varies with p_T between ~92% to ~82% data/MC SFs vary between ~98% and ~90%
 Inefficiency, data/MC SF and p_T dependence mostly due by Level-1 trigger
 Results computed with different approaches agree well with each other

Summary

High-momentum muons have peculiarities that make them differ from lower energy ones

▶ To maximize physics performance at high p_T, dedicated algorithms are implemented in the CMS muon reconstruction

▶ The LHC Run-2 provided ideal conditions to probe the high-energy regime

• Because of the \sqrt{s} increase to 13 TeV, as well as because of the increased statistics

Using Run-2 data, high-momentum muons in CMS were studied with unprecedented level of detail
 The outcome of such studies is documented in <u>JINST 15 (2020) P02027</u>

Overall very good performance was observed:

- Efficiencies are generally high, with small p_T dependence in all the probed momentum range (but for Level-1 trigger)
 Up to rather large |η|, no significant scale biases are observed
- ▶ Using muon information in the p_T measurement improves the resolution w.r.t. a tracker-only measurement
- Resolution is probed both using comics and collision data, the disagreement from simulation is 15% or (generally) less
- Empirical methods to tag showers recorded in the muon system were developed
- Shower tagging methods show reasonable data/MC agreement and were used to parametrize all performance figures
- All this work also highlighted areas were things can be further improved ---> we are working hard to prepare for Run-3 and achieve even better performance!

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?

also offline at: carlo.battilana@cern.ch



Backup

Tune-P performance in simulated samples and real data



Results shown for the muon system barrel as an example

Tune-P is optimized (and its performance is studied) with simulations:

- Considering different alignment scenarios (and alignment errors APE) of tracker and muon system
- Comparing its performance with the one of different refits (e.g. inner-tracker or global), also in events "with showers"

▶ The consistency of the Tune-P decision choice in data and simulation is then validated

- ▶ Using dimuon events from data which satisfy dedicated ID criteria (high- p_T ID) and have a $p_T > 200$ GeV
- Comparing with MC events (DY, diboson, t-t, single-top MC) satisfying the same selection as for data

Muon identification efficiency (more details)



- The high-p_T selection criteria was retuned over Run-2 to feature a ~1.5% (1%) increase in data (MC)
- ▶ Its efficiency was measured, with the *extended tag-and-probe method*, w.r.t. reconstructed global muons
 - ▶ Including N-1 measurement of the efficiency of single cuts
 - Studying performance in events with showers
- ▶ The selection efficiency is ~98% (data) with a ~1% data/MC discrepancy
 - ▶ In presence of showers, efficiency degrades of ~1% in barrel, and data/MC agreement worsens
 - ▶ No significant p_T dependence is observed (incl. events with showers)

Level-1 trigger efficiency (shower-based measurement)



Trigger efficiency is measured exploiting two different strategies:

1. With the *extended tag-and-probe method*

2. Using datasets collected with *non-muonic* triggers (e.g. e-gamma triggers)

In case 2., the Level-1 trigger efficiency is also computed:

Assuming that p dependence is only due to the increased probability of generating showers at higher momentum

• Computing the efficiency as function of # showers and convoluting it with the shower probability vs p to get " ϵ vs p"