Track-based muon system alignment of the CMS detector

Hyunyong Kim On behalf of the CMS Collaboration Texas A & M University

ICHEP2020, July 29 2020

Outline



Outline

- CMS Muon System For Alignment
- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning
- Summary



- CMS muon system for alignment
- Track-based muon alignment
 - Alignment inputs
 - Muon chamber degrees of freedom (DOF)
 - Accuracy
 - Run2 performance
- Physics validation
 - CSC alignment
 - Legacy geometry
- Run3 commissioning
- Summary

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning

Summary



Drift Tube (DT) [1]

- Pseudorapidity regions: $|\eta| < 1.2$
- Five wheels (wheel "0" in the center and wheel " \pm 2" at the \pm z side)
- DTs are arranged in stations, numbered from 1 to 4 with station
- Chambers in station 4 can only measure the ϕ of the tracks



Cathode Strip Chamber (CSC) [1]

- Pseudorapidity regions: $0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$
- The system is divided into four stations mounted on iron disks in each endcap
- The CSC stations are numbered from ± 1 to ± 4 on the ± z side of the CMS detector, where stations ± 1 are closest to the interaction point
- Within each disk, CSCs are arranged in rings 1 up to 3, where ring 1 is the closest to the beam axis

July 29 2020 3/13



Track-Based Muon Alignment



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment

Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary





Hyunyong Kim

- Track-based muon alignment (TBMA) [2]
 - Propagate the tracker hits of muons into the muon system to predict their positions
 - Muon residual: difference between reconstructed position and predicted position on the muon chamber
- The TBMA technique is proven to be efficient, robust, and stable in Run1 and Run2
- Sources of possible systematic uncertainties have been investigated and various improvements to reduce their effect are being developed
- Muon system alignment is very important for muon reconstruction and TBMA has an accuracy of 100-150 μm

ICHEP2020

Track-Based Muon Alignment



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment

Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary





- Track-based muon alignment (TBMA) [2]
 - Propagate the tracker hits of muons into the muon system to predict their positions

Tracker alignment of the CMS detector

See Patrick Connor's talk on Thursday (July 30)

mber

https://indico.cern.ch/event/868940/contributions/3813530



Hyunyong Kim

robust, and stable in Run1 and Run2

- Sources of possible systematic uncertainties have been investigated and various improvements to reduce their effect are being developed
- Muon system alignment is very important for muon reconstruction and TBMA has an accuracy of 100-150 μm

ICHEP2020

Alignment Inputs



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment

Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary





 Selected with transverse momentum (p_T) in the range 30 < p_T < 200 GeV (less scattering and less showering)

- To ensure track quality:
 - The muons must have at least ten hits in their inner tracker segments
 - Must be matched to at least two muon stations
 - Should have a normalized χ²/n.d.f. < 10 for the track fit
 - The impact parameter with respect to the interaction point should satisfy D_{xy} < 0.2</p>
- A set of fiducial selection criteria are required as muon hits near the boundaries of chambers can cause directional biases ICHEP2020 July 29 2020 5/13

Muon Chamber DOF



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF

Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary





- The alignment procedure is effectively a minimization of a multidimensional function
- The procedure seeks to determine up to six misalignment parameters (local coordinate) p_{local} = (δx, δy, δz, δφ_x, δφ_y, δφ_z)
- Residuals used for alignment
 - DTs: $\Delta x, \Delta y$
 - CSCs: $\Delta R \phi$
 - Local x and Rφ are in the global φ direction: the most sensitive direction in the p_T resolution

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020



Accuracy



CMS Muon System For Alignment

- Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs
- Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy
- Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning
- Summary





- These plots show how the alignment accuracy depends on statistics
 - 2 fb^{-1} is recommended for the TBMA
 - Alignment still improves with higher luminosities
 - The accuracy depends on detector position and type (the error bar includes systematics uncertainties)

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Run2 Performance



Outline

- CMS Muon System For Alignment
- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy
- Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning

Summary



- Run2 TBMA
 - Several new capabilities have been added to this fitting procedure to solve weak mode (any small $\Delta \chi^2$ detector deformations [3])
 - \blacksquare Precision on the order of 100 $\,\mu{\rm m}$ for linear DOF and 0.1 milliradians for angular DOF
- Run2 legacy alignment performed with:
 - Updated and improved tracker legacy geometries
 - Detailed interval (1 alignment/year \rightarrow 3 alingments/year)
 - Higher integrated luminosities
- CSC alignment
 - A two-step process of aligning the CSC is used after the endcaps have been opened
 - The residual distribution has a sinusoidal trend due to the misalignment of the CSC disk
 - The improvements in the CSCs after the track-based muon alignment are visible

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Physics Validation



- Outline
- CMS Muon System For Alignment
- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation
- CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning
- Summary



- Muon alignment physics validation data sets:
 - Data collected at the beginning of the 2016 (5.44 fb⁻¹), 2017 (4.79 fb⁻¹), and 2018 (3.60 fb⁻¹) proton-proton collision runs with single muon trigger
- Global muons (GLB) consist of tracks reconstructed independently in the inner tracker (tracker tracks, inner-track) and in the muon system (standalone muon tracks, STA) [4]
- Muon selection:
 - Global muon
 - $|\eta_{\mu}^{\text{inner-track}}| <$ 2.4 and $p_{T\mu}^{\text{inner-track}} >$ 30 GeV
- Di-muon invariant-mass plots:
 - Computed selecting opposite-charge muon pairs satisfying muon selection
 - Use either muon track information from: GLB+GLB or GLB+STA
- Muon $p_{\rm T}$ resolution plots:
 - Computed for every muon satisfying the muon selection
 - Measuring metric: $q/p_{T STA} q/p_{T GBL}$
- The above metrics are sensitive to the STA fit performance (muon alignment) Hyunyong Kim ICHEP2020 July 29 2020 9/13

Physics Validation



Outline

- CMS Muon System For Alignment
- Track-Based Muon Alignment Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation

- CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning
- Summary



Di-muon (GLB+STA) invariant-mass plots



- 2017 data with 2016 geometry (red) shows wrong di-muon mass reconstruction
- Muon Alignment is important for muon reconstruction
- There is scale bias (a 1% scale bias in barrel and a up to 5% bias in the endcap [5]) due to STA leg

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020



CSC Alignment

Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy

Run2 Performance

Physics Validation **CSC Alignment** Legacy Geometry Run3 Commissioning

Summary





- Residual on rφ as a function of global φ for the first ring on the first disk of CSC chambers in the positive endcap (ME+1/1), the residual means (red), medians (black), and distributions (blue heat map) are shown before alignment (left) and after alignment (right)
- The residual distribution (left) has a sinusoidal trend due to the misalignment of the CSC disk → trigger affected
- The sinusoidal trend disappears (right) after alignment
 Hyunyong Kim
 ICHEP2020

CSC Alignment



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy

Run2 Performance

Physics Validation

CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary





CSC Alignment



July 29 2020

10/13

15^{cl} 2016 CSC physics validation



- Mean value of the di-muon mass (GLB+GLB) distribution vs. ϕ_{μ^+} for -2.4 < η_{μ^+} < -0.9 (left) and resolution in p_{Γ} mean vs. η (left)
- The improvements in the CSCs after the track-based muon alignment are visible
- All entries are using the same up-to-date tracker geometry
 Hyunyong Kim
 ICHEP2020

CMS Muon System For Alignment

Outline

Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation

CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning Summary





Legacy Geometry



CMS Muon System For Alignment

Track-Based Muon Alignment Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning Summary





- Validation of Run2 legacy muon alignment using di-muon (GLB+STA) mass distributions for $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ event candidates
- \blacksquare Left: Di-muon mass as a function of the standalone muon track η
- \blacksquare Right: Di-muon mass width as a function of the standalone muon track η
- High eta (endcap) region is unstable due to low statistics Hyunyong Kim ICHEP2020

Run3 Commissioning



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning

Summary



■ Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) has been installed at endcap station 1 GEM



- Left: GEM GE1/1 (red) installed at endcap station 1
- Right: GEM-CSC bending angle for triggering
- Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Run3 Commissioning



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance

Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry

Run3 Commissioning

Summary



■ Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) has been installed at endcap station 1 GEM







- Left: GEM GE1/1 (red) installed at endcap station 1
- Right: GEM-CSC bending angle for triggering

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Run3 Commissioning



Outline

CMS Muon System For Alignment

- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning

Summary



- Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) has been installed at endcap station 1
 - Improve tracking performance and reduce the rate of mis-measured muons trigger rate
 - Muon alignment has been preparing to include 2 layers of triple-GEM chambers
 - GEM-CSC trigger requires precise alignment
- Muon alignment considers other methods to study weak mode and improve alignment
 - Beam Halo and cosmic muon: these datasets can help to study weak modes because the muons are not from the interaction point
- We expect the large displacement of the muon detectors due to opening endcap, extraction, and re-installation
 - TBMA supports iteration calculation
 - After long shutdown 2 (LS2), TBMA is expected to perform a similar performance for Run3
 - Cosmic muon data set can help initial alignment

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020



Summary

Outline

- CMS Muon System For Alignment
- Track-Based Muon Alignment
- Alignment Inputs Muon Chamber DOF Accuracy Run2 Performance
- Physics Validation CSC Alignment Legacy Geometry
- Run3 Commissioning

Summary



- The performance of the track-based muon alignment in Run2 was robust and stable. It supported excellent muon reconstruction in CMS and contributed to many physics analyses with muons.
- The workflow of Run3 muon alignment has been prepared to include GEMs.
- Displacement of muon chambers after LS2 commissioning will be corrected in two steps: (a) comic ray muons; (b) pp collisions (2 fb⁻¹).

ICHEP2020

References



References

Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4 DOF CSC Accuracy Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017



 CMS Collaboration, "The CMS Experiment at the CERN LHC," *JINST*, vol. 3, p. S08004, 2008.

- [2] CMS Collaboration, "Alignment of the cms muon system with cosmic-ray and beam-halo muons," *JINST*, vol. 5, p. T03020, 2010.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, "Alignment of the CMS tracker with LHC and cosmic ray data," *JINST*, vol. 9, p. P06009, 2014.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV," *JINST*, vol. 13, p. P06015, 2018.
- [5] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of CMS Muon Reconstruction in *pp* Collision Events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV," *JINST*, vol. 7, p. P10002, 2012.

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Backup

CMS Muon System For Alignment: DT







Muon system DT Muon system CSC **DOF DT 1.2.3** DOE DT 4 DOF CSC Accuracy Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017





DT

- Pseudorapidity regions: $|\eta| < 1.2$
- Five wheels (wheel "0" in the center and wheel " \pm 2" at the $\pm z$ side)
- DTs are arranged in stations, numbered from 1 to 4 with station 1 closest to the beam axis
 - In the azimuthal direction, the muon barrel is divided into 12 sectors, except in station 4 which has 14 sectors.
- The muon system consists of 250 DT chambers
- Each chamber consists of sensitive 1-dimensional layers
- There are 12 (8) layers in stations 1 to 3 (4)
- Chambers in station 4 can only measure the global ϕ coordinate of the tracks **ICHEP2020**

Hyunyong Kim

CMS Muon System For Alignment: CSC



References

Backup

Muon system DT

Muon system CSC

- DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4
- DOF CSC
- Accuracy
- Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual
- 2018 CSC Displacement
- Mass
- 2016 CSC pr Resolution
- Di-muon Mass: 2017



0 0.57 74.50 75.7 0.27 0

Hyunyong Kim

CSC

- Pseudorapidity regions: 0.9 < $|\eta|$ < 2.4
- The system is divided into four stations mounted on iron disks in each endcap
- The CSC stations are numbered from ± 1 to ± 4 on the ± z side of the CMS detector, where stations ± 1 are closest to the interaction point
- Within each disk, CSCs are arranged in rings 1 up to 3, where ring 1 is the closest to the beam axis
- Each ring is formed by 18 or 36 trapezoidal chambers, depending on the disk

ICHEP2020

Muon Chamber DOF: DT (stations 1, 2, and 3)



Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4 DOF CSC Accuracy Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2018 CSC Di-muon Mass

2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017



$$\Delta = MP_{local}$$

.

DT stations 1, 2, and 3 projection matrix





Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Muon Chamber DOF: DT (station 4)



References

Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3

DOF DT 4

DOF CSC

Accuracy

Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017



$$\Delta = M \mathbf{P}_{\text{local}}$$

Hyunyong Kim

DT station 4 projection matrix



ICHEP2020

Muon Chamber DOF: CSC



References

Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4 **DOF CSC**

Accuracy

Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass 2016 CSC pr. Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017

$\Delta = M P_{\text{local}}$

CSC projection matrix: Δx residual is replaced with the arc length $\Delta(R\phi)$

$ \begin{array}{c} \Delta(R\phi) \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \frac{d(R\phi)}{dz} \\ \Delta \frac{dy}{dz} \\ \Delta \frac{dy}{dz} \end{array} $	(1 0 0	$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{x}{R} + 3\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^3 \end{bmatrix}$ 1 $-\frac{1}{2R}\frac{dx}{dz}$ 0	$-\frac{dx}{dz} \\ -\frac{dy}{dz} \\ 0$	$-y \frac{dx}{dz} -y \frac{dy}{dz} \left[\frac{x}{R} - \frac{dx}{dz} \frac{dy}{dz}\right]$ $= 1 - \left(\frac{dy}{dz}\right)^{2}$	$x \frac{dx}{dz}$ $x \frac{dy}{dz}$ $1 + \left(\frac{dx}{dz}\right)^{2}$ $\frac{dx}{dy} \frac{dy}{dy}$	$-y$ $-\frac{dy}{dz}$ dx	$ \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta x \\ \delta y \\ \delta z \\ \delta \phi_x \\ \delta \phi_y \\ \delta \phi_y \\ \delta \phi_y \end{array}\right) $
dz	0	0	0	$-1 - \left(\frac{dy}{dz}\right)$	$\frac{dx}{dz}\frac{dy}{dz}$	$\frac{dx}{dz}$ /	$\left(\delta \phi_z \right)$



Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020





References

Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4 DOF CSC

Accuracy

Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017





RMS values of alignment variable distributions in local X in the CMS track-based muon alignment (TBMA) procedure on DT chambers as a function of the integrated luminosity of pp collisions. This indicates 2 fb⁻¹ or larger luminosity is a requirement for TBMA. Each error bar includes systematics uncertainties, such as chamber-to-chamber deviation. The alignment accuracy depends on the detector location (wheels and stations for DT) and six alignment valuables (δx , δy , δz , $\delta \phi_x$, $\delta \phi_y$, and $\delta \phi_z$ for DT). Here δx is the most sensitive in the p_T resolution, and the best alignment accuracies are obtained from chambers in the central region (Wheel 0 and \pm 1).

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment



References







Validation of Run2 legacy muon alignment using di-muon (GLB + STA) mass distributions for $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^$ event candidates. Left: Di-muon mass (mean and width values from Gaussian fit) as a function of the standalone muon η . Right: Di-muon mass width as a function of the standalone muon η . High η (endcap) region is unstable and sensitive to operating conditions. Since one di-muon leg is STA, there is scale bias (a 1% scale bias in barrel and a up to 5% bias in the endcap [4]).

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

2018 CSC Residual



References

Backup

- Muon system DT Muon system CSC
- DOF DT 1,2,3
- DOF DT 4
- DOF CSC
- Accuracy
- Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment

2018 CSC Residual

2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017





Residual on $r\phi$ as a function of global ϕ for the first ring on the first disk of CSC chambers in the positive endcap (ME+1/1). On the left, the residual distribution has a sinusoidal trend due to the misalignment of the CSC disk. On the right, the residual distribution is centered around zero after the initial geometry has been corrected. The residual means (red), medians (black), and distributions (blue heat map) are shown shown before alignment (left) and after alignment (right).

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

2018 CSC Displacement



References

Backup

Muon system DT Muon system CSC **DOF DT 1.2.3** DOE DT 4 DOF CSC Accuracy Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon

Mass 2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017



Disk +1 (length x200, angle x200)



Disk +1 (length x200, angle x200)



Disk +1 (length x200, angle x200)



Step1: disk-level Step2: chamber-level

Step1 + Step2

A two-step process of aligning the CSC is used after the endcaps have been opened, shown for ME +1/1: a disk-level alignment (left) followed by a chamber-level alignment using the disk-level alignment as the new reference geometry (middle). The final comparison (right) shows the sum of both alignment's chamber movements with respect to the 2018 startup geometry. Linear and angular chamber displacements are exaggerated 200-fold.

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

2016 CSC Di-muon Mass



References







Left: Di-muon mass (both GLB) distributions for muons between 0.8 $< \phi_{\mu^+} < 1.2$ rad and $-2.4 < \eta_{\mu^+} < -0.9$. Right: Mean value of the di-muon mass distribution vs ϕ_{μ^+} for $-2.4 < \eta_{\mu^+} < -0.9$. The improvements in the CSCs after the track-based muon alignment are visible. All entries are using the same up-to-date tracker geometry.

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

2016 CSC $p_{\rm T}$ Resolution



References

Backup Muon system DT

Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3

DOF DT 4

DOF CSC

Accuracy

Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Displacement 2016 CSC Di-muon Mass

2016 CSC pr Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017





Left: Resolution in p_T distributions for muons between $-2.1 < \eta < -1.8$. Right: resolution in p_T vs. η . The improvements in the CSCs after the track-based muon alignment are visible.

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020

Di-muon Mass: 2017



References

Backup Muon system DT Muon system CSC DOF DT 1,2,3 DOF DT 4 DOF CSC Accuracy Di-muon Mass: Legacy Muon Alignment 2018 CSC Residual 2018 CSC Diplacement 2018 CSC Diplacement 2018 CSC pr. Resolution Di-muon Mass: 2017





The invariant mass of dimuon pairs is computed by reconstructing one muon using only standalone tracker information (STA) and the other using both the tracker and the muon system information (GLB). The mean of the dimuon invariant mass distribution is shown in bins of the standalone track η . The red distribution refers to muons reconstructed using the muon system geometry computed in 2016, while the green distribution refers to muons reconstructed using the geometry computed with early 2017 data. The performance are similar at low η , since the position of the Drift Tubes is similar in 2016 and 2017, while at high η a substantial improvement is observed, as a consequence that the CMS detector has been opened and closed.

Hyunyong Kim

ICHEP2020