First observation of production of three massive gauge bosons



Philip Chang

on behalf of CMS collaboration

ICHEP
July 29, 2020

Univ. of California
San Diego

Discovery of Higgs boson

July 4, 2012

Discovery advanced our knowledge of origin of mass in a major way

More work to be done in electroweak sector



- Is it the only Higgs boson? (or are there more?)
- Are multi-*bosons* interactions SM?
- Are there more states involved in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Is the Higgs potential SM?

Many more to be studied on electroweak sector at the LHC

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)



cubic gauge
interaction



quartic gauge
interaction



Higgs-gauge
interaction

VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Four VVV modes
- WWW
- WWZ
- WZZ
- ZZZ

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Previous work on VVV physics

- ATLAS searched for WWW in 8 TeV: 0.96σ (1.05σ) arXiv:1610.05088
- CMS searched for WWW in 13 TeV 36 fb^{-1}: 0.6σ (1.78σ) arXiv:1905.04246
- ATLAS searched for VVV in 13 TeV 80 fb^{-1}: 4.1σ (3.1σ) arXiv:1903.10415

VVV evidence



arXiv:1903.10415

Axion-like-particle triboson signature limit



arXiv:1905.04246

SMEFT Dim8 operator limit



arXiv:1610.05088

Both ATLAS and CMS have been searching for triboson processes and using them to test SM and constrain new physics

VVV production at LHC

We are targeting all possible VVV productions both w/ and w/o Higgs:

- pp→WWW
- pp→WWZ
- pp→WZZ
- pp→ZZZ

And the combined production of all pp→VVV

Targeting VVV as a main result but also individual production modes

VVV channels in # of leptons

Chang
UCSD

Production cross section decreases with more Z's



Viable final states have O(fb) or less cross sections

VVV channels in # of leptons

Chang
UCSD

Production cross section decreases with more Z's



Viable final states have O(fb) or less cross sections

VVV channels in # of leptons

Production cross section decreases with more Z's



Difficult final states w/ bkg rate of several orders of magnitude larger

ZZZ → 6L

(L = e, μ)

11 attobarn

(~1.5 events produced at Run 2 of LHC)

Viable final states have O(fb) or less cross sections

CMS detector measures leptons very well

e/μ among the best measured particles at CMS by combining tracker, calorimeter, and chambers measurements

(1-2% resolution for well measured ones)





Excellent lepton reconstruction and simulation at CMS

Overview of the analysis

Chang
UCSD

Target "fully" leptonic final states to go after first observation

One exception

	Same-sign	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Targeted signal	$W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\mp} \to qq$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$	$W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$	$Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$
Dominant Bkgs.	$WZ \to l^{\pm}\nu l^{\pm}l^{\mp}$ ($l^{\mp}$ lost) $t\bar{t} \to bb + l + X$ ↳ fake l	$WZ \to l\nu ll$ $t\bar{t} \to bb + ll + X$ ↳ fake l	$ZZ \to llll$ $ttZ \to llll + bbX$	$ZZ \to llll$ + fake lep	$ZZ \to llll$ + 2 fake lep

N.B. WZ→3l ~100k, ZZ→4l ~10k

Separate the analysis categories by N of leptons

Flavor choices



Backgrounds are suppressed via disfavored decay topology of $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow e\mu$

Splitting signal regions by lepton flavors

	Same-sign 2 leptons	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Targeted signal	$W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\mp} \to qq$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$	$W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$	$Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$

Split by ee/eμ/$\mu\mu$

N.B. μ is "cleaner" than e

Split by # of SFOS

e.g.
0: $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}e^{\pm}$
1: $e^{\pm}e^{\mp}\mu^{\pm}$
2: $e^{\pm}e^{\mp}e^{\pm}$

tag $Z \to ll$ then split $WW \to ee/\mu\mu$ v. $WW \to e\mu$

Not enough statistics single bin

3 categories*	3 categories	2 categories*	1 category	1 category

* marked ones will be further split

Each N lepton analysis is further split by flavors

Splitting signal regions by lepton flavors

	Same-sign 2 leptons	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Targeted signal	$W^{\pm}$ $W^{\pm}$ $W^{\mp} \to qq$ Also have BDT-based analysis	W W $W \to l\nu$ Also have BDT-based analysis	W W $Z \to ll$ Also have BDT-based analysis	$W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$	$Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$
	Split by ee/eμ/$\mu\mu$ N.B. μ is "cleaner" than e	Split by # of SFOS e.g. 0: $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}e^{\pm}$ 1: $e^{\pm}e^{\mp}\mu^{\pm}$ 2: $e^{\pm}e^{\mp}e^{\pm}$	tag $Z \to ll$ then split $WW \to ee/\mu\mu$ v. $WW \to e\mu$	Not enough statistics single bin	
	3 categories*	3 categories	2 categories*	1 category	1 category

* marked ones will be further split

Each N lepton analysis is further split by flavors

Same-sign channel categorization

Chang
UCSD







- $N_J \geq 2$
  - Two jets satisfy $|m_{jj} - m_W| < 15$ GeV (**m_{jj}-in**)
  - Two jets satisfy $|m_{jj} - m_W| \geq 15$ GeV (**m_{jj}-out**)
- $N_J = 1$
  - Only one jet exists (**1J**)

3 additional categories (m_{jj}-in, m_{jj}-out, 1J) each split by ee/*eμ*/*μμ*

⇒ Total of 9 signal regions for same-sign analysis

Kinematic endpoints for 3 leptons

Chang
UCSD

- Separated by # of SFOS pairs:
  - 0 SFOS (low bkg.)
  - 1 SFOS
  - 2 SFOS
- 0SFOS is by far the cleanest
- One can further reduce WZ backgrounds in other SFOS channels
- e.g. For 1SFOS it is clear which lepton is from W: $\underbrace{e^{\pm}e^{\mp}}_{Z}\ \underbrace{\mu^{\pm}}_{W}\quad \underbrace{\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}}_{Z}\ \underbrace{e^{\pm}}_{W}$



- (Similar strategy employed for 2SFOS)

By flavor, W lepton can be identified and kinematic endpoints can be used
⇒ Total of 3 signal regions for 3 lepton analysis

Kinematic endpoints for 4 leptons





- Utilize m_{T2} variable: generalization of m_T for multiple missing particles
- m_{T2} is sensitive to the end points of m_W from ZWW→lleμ
- m_{T2} is sensitive to the end points of m_τ from ZZ→llττ→lleμ



Exploit differences between Z → ll v. WW → lνlν

WWZ BDTs for 4 leptons analysis

Trained two BDTs: WWZ v. ZZ and WWZ v. ttZ
Below shows the 2D plane in BDT scores

More WWZ like

More $t\bar{t}Z$ like



More ZZ like ⟷ More WWZ like

5 bins are created from 2D planes



**For Z→ll + ee/$\mu\mu$ event category, 2 bins are created (not shown)

Created multiple bins in BDTs to maximize sensitivity
⇒ Total of 7 signal regions for 4 lepton analysis

5 leptons





Require the 5 lepton events to contain two SFOS pair consistent with *Z* mass

The dominant background is *ZZ* → llll plus a fake lepton

The fake lepton has low transverse mass while the signal's *W* has transverse mass peaking at *W* mass

Simple cut-and-count ⇒ Total of 1 bin in 5 lepton

6 leptons

Select at least 6 leptons

Require $\Sigma P_T \geq 250$ GeV

Less than 1 event expected

Very clean channel



Not enough stats, so search inclusively ⇒ Total of 1 bin in 6 lepton

Putting it all together

	Same-sign 2 leptons	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Signals	$W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\pm} \to l^{\pm}\nu$ $W^{\mp} \to qq$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$	$W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$	$Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$
Split Flavor	3	3	2	1	1
Channel specific splits	mjj-in mjj-out 1J	-	Split in kinematics or BDT	-	-
Total	9 bins	3 bins	7 bins	1 bin	1 bin

Total of 21 bins

Background estimations

	Same-sign 2 leptons	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Dominant Bkgs.	$WZ \to l^{\pm}\nu l^{\pm}l^{\mp}$ (lost: $l^{\mp}$) $t\bar{t} \to bb + l + X$ ↳ fake l	$WZ \to l\nu ll$ $t\bar{t} \to bb + ll + X$ ↳ fake l	$ZZ \to llll$ $ttZ \to llll + bbX$	$ZZ \to llll$ + fake lep	$ZZ \to llll$ + 2 fake lep

Types of backgrounds	Suppressed via	Bkg. estimation
Fake leptons	Isolation	Reliably extrapolate across isolation
Backgrounds with *b* jets	*b* tagging	Reliably extrapolate across *b* tagging
Lost leptons	Removing events with 3rd lepton	Reliably extrapolate across N leptons
Irreducible	Smart flavor choices	Reliably extrapolate across flavor

Reliably extrapolate across the method used to suppress background to estimate the size of residual backgrounds in signal region

Fake lepton backgrounds

Pick one lepton events with phase space dominated by QCD (dijet) events





Fake rate is then applied to signal like region with "Loose"-ly identified leptons

"Side band" in isolation

Underlying effects (P_T of quarks) that govern fake rate are not measurable

⇒ Source of systematics (~30%)

Estimate fake lepton by measuring fake rate from QCD events

Backgrounds with *b* jets / irreducible

Devise control regions and extrapolate to signal region

N_b in 3 lepton



4 lepton BDT score
Z→ll + eμ + *b* jets



4 lepton m_{T2}
Z→ll + ee/$\mu\mu$



Extrapolate across N_b tag (~10%)

Extrapolate across flavor
(uncertainty ~5%)

Extrapolate from control region to estimate backgrounds

Results



9 bins 3 bins 7 bins 1 1

More sensitive bins are generally to the right

4 lepton event



5 lepton event

CMS experiment at the LHC, CERN
Data recorded: 2016-Oct-09 21:24:05.010240 GMT
Run 282735, Event No. 989682042 LS 491

V = W, Z

$N_{exp}(WZZ \rightarrow e^+ \nu e^\pm e^\mp e^\pm e^\mp) \sim 1$ event
(cf. LHC provided 1.5×10^{16} collisions)



Results

VVV mode	Significance [σ]
WWW	**3.3** (3.1)
WWZ	**3.4** (4.1)
WZZ	1.7 (0.7)
ZZZ	0 (0.9)
Combined	**5.7** (5.9)



$$\text{Signal strength } \mu = \frac{\text{Measured cross section}}{\text{Theoretical cross section}}$$

- We have observed production of three massive gauge boson for the first time!
- We also found evidences separately for the WWW and WWZ production.
- The cross sections are compatible with the standard model expectation.

First observation of VVV and evidences for WWW and WWZ productions

Summary

- First observation of VVV productions was made by CMS collaboration
- Also found evidences for WWW and WWZ
- first hints for WZZ production and no hints for ZZZ yet
- The measured cross section is compatible with SM
- This establishes VVV process and opens a unique opportunity to test SM
- New physics can be also searched
- LHC will continue to probe electroweak interactions in various VVV channel

CERN Courier



CERNCOURIER Reporting on international high-energy physics

The first observation of the combined production of three massive vector bosons was reported by CMS

The first observation of the combined production of three massive vector boson
or Z) was reported by the CMS experiment. In the nearly 40 years that have foll

This paper is 1000th paper submitted by CMS!



"CMS is the first experiment in the history of high energy physics to reach this outstanding total of papers and with only a fraction of the data that the LHC anticipates to produce in its lifetime. The LHC accelerator at CERN will operate for another two decades."

Backup

Rare multi-boson processes

Chang
UCSD



Rarer events

N.B. xsec × decay BR can be even smaller

Electroweak multi-boson processes are rare and require LHC

Rare multi-boson processes

Chang
UCSD

Rarer events



N.B. xsec × decay BR can be even smaller

Multi-boson processes

X = W, Z, H

Electroweak multi-boson processes are rare and require LHC

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)

Chang
UCSD







VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)

Chang
UCSD



VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)

Chang
UCSD



VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)

Chang
UCSD







VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Physics of VVV production (V = W, Z)



cubic gauge
interaction



quartic gauge
interaction



Three Z's radiating
from quark lines



Higgs-gauge
interaction

VH→VVV* is part of our signal. Their contribution is subdominant. (1/3 of signal in our signal regions)

Triboson process has access to studying many multi-*boson* interactions

Kinematic endpoints for 4 leptons

Events are separated into 2 categories by flavor:

- "eμ channel": $(ee/\mu\mu)_{\text{on-Z-mass}}$ + eμ (low bkg.)
- "ee/$\mu\mu$ channel": $(ee/\mu\mu)_{\text{on-Z-mass}}$ + ee/$\mu\mu$

eμ channel utilizes m_{T2} variable, which is a generalization of m_T for multiple missing particles. m_{T2} is sensitive to the end points of m_τ from ZZ→ll$\tau\tau$



ZZ bkg in ee/$\mu\mu$ have low missing energy



Combine these and a few more kinematic variables to form total of 7 signal regions for 4 lepton analysis

Exploit differences between Z → ll v. WW → lvlv

Reconstruct W→qq in WWW → l±l±qq





N.B. some signals are outside the window (See next slide)

dijet invariant mass for signal peaks around W mass

Difficulties in jet final states





Difficult to match $W \to qq$
⇒ Select off-W-mass peak region

Difficult to reconstruct both jets
⇒ Select 1 jet (1J) events

2 additional categories (m_{jj}-in, m_{jj}-out, 1J) each split by *ee/eμ/μμ*
⇒ Total of 9 signal regions for same-sign analysis

We cover wide range of possible jet final states to maximize sensitivity

Kinematic endpoints for 4 leptons

Chang
UCSD

Events are separated into 2 categories by flavor:

- "eμ channel": $(ee/\mu\mu)_{\text{on-Z-mass}}$ + eμ (low bkg.)
- "ee/$\mu\mu$ channel": $(ee/\mu\mu)_{\text{on-Z-mass}}$ + ee/$\mu\mu$

eμ channel utilizes m_{T2} variable, which is a generalization of m_T for multiple missing particles. m_{T2} is sensitive to the end points of m_τ from $ZZ \rightarrow ll\tau\tau$



ZZ bkg in ee/$\mu\mu$ have low missing energy



Combine these and a few more kinematic variables to form total of 7 signal regions for 4 lepton analysis

Exploit differences between $Z \rightarrow ll$ v. $WW \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$

Boosted decision tree

Chang
UCSD

Boosted decision tree is widely used in many analyses at the LHC



https://arogozhnikov.github.io/2016/07/05/gradient_boosting_playground.html

Train dedicated boosted decision trees to maximize sensitivity

Overview of BDT

Chang
UCSD

	Same-sign	3 leptons	4 leptons	5 leptons	6 leptons
Signals	$W^\pm \to l^\pm \nu$ $W^\pm \to l^\pm \nu$ $W^\mp \to qq$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$	$W \to l\nu$ $W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$	$W \to l\nu$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$	$Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$ $Z \to ll$
Dominant Bkgs.	$WZ \to l^\pm \nu l^\pm l^\mp$ (lost) $t\bar{t} \to bb + l + X$ ↳ fake l	$WZ \to l\nu ll$ $t\bar{t} \to bb + ll + X$ ↳ fake l	$ZZ \to llll$ $ttZ \to llll + bbX$	$ZZ \to llll$ + fake lep	$ZZ \to llll$ + 2 fake lep

"Prompt" bkgs.

"Fake" bkgs.

$t\bar{t}Z$ bkg.

ZZ bkg.

No BDT trained for 5/6 leptons (not enough stats)

Train different BDTs against different backgrounds

WWW BDTs: Same-Sign / 3 leptons

Chang
UCSD





Maintained same categorizations but cut on BDT to maximize sensitivity

Total number of bins stayed same (9 for same-sign, 3 for 3 leptons)

Cut on each BDT scores to create a high sensitivity bin

More work to be done in electroweak sector



- Is it the only Higgs boson? (or are there more?)
- Are multi-*bosons* interactions SM?
- Are there more states involved in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Is the Higgs potential SM?

Many more to be studied on electroweak sector at the LHC

More work to be done in electroweak sector



- Is it the only Higgs boson? (or are there more?)
- Are multi-*bosons* interactions SM?
- Are there more states involved in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Is the Higgs potential SM?

Many more to be studied on electroweak sector at the LHC

More work to be done in electroweak sector

Chang
UCSD



- Is it the only Higgs boson? (or are there more?)
- Are multi-*bosons* interactions SM?
- Are there more states involved in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Is the Higgs potential SM?

Many more to be studied on electroweak sector at the LHC

More work to be done in electroweak sector



- Is it the only Higgs boson? (or are there more?)
- Are multi-*bosons* interactions SM?
- Are there more states involved in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Is the Higgs potential SM?

1978 (way) before top/W/Z/Higgs discovery
Chanowitz, Furman, Hinchliffe

F, $W^{\pm}$, Z and H become "sthenons" in the sense of Appelquist and Bjorken [4] : they couple strongly to one another [‡1] but weakly to non-sthenons (i.e., the light particles in the theory).

Many more to be studied on electroweak sector at the LHC

We need LHC's large and energetic pp collision data

because rare because "heavy"

Chang
UCSD



Multiply by 1000 to get the number of events produced for a picobarn process

During Run 2, CMS recorded 150 fb^{-1} of which 137 fb^{-1} have been validated as good quality data useable for physics analysis

LHC's large data enables us to study rare EW multi-X processes

Classifying leptons' origins

Identifying leptons is not enough

We need to further classify the origin

$$\text{Isolation} = \frac{\Sigma_{\text{“stuff” in cone}} P_T}{P_{T,\text{Lepton}}}$$

N.B. electrons and muons have different effects (muons are cleaner)



Use isolation to discriminate against leptons from heavy flavor decay

Dubbed “fake lepton”

Lepton physics at the LHC

Chang
UCSD

**N events estimated from W, Z, $t\bar{t}$, WW, WZ, ZZ, $t\bar{t}W$, WZZ, ZZZ cross section with theoretical branching fractions without detector effects and ignoring $\tau \rightarrow e, \mu$



N.B. WZ→3l ~100k, ZZ→4l ~10k

The more leptons produced the lower the rate (i.e. lower bkg.)

Useful to organize physics analyses by N leptons

Exploiting Z → ll features

Chang
UCSD

dilepton invariant mass of Z → ll decay



If one selects $|m_{ll} - m_Z| > 10$ GeV of ee/*μμ* final state *Z* is reduced by an order of magnitude

If one selects e*μ* final state, *Z* is reduced by 2 orders of magnitude
(e, *μ* from τ are soft)

⇒ *ZZ* suppressed in 4 leptons: ee/*μμ* + e*μ*
WZ suppressed in $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}e^{\pm}$

↑
0 "SFOS"
(Zero same-flavor opposite sign pair)

i.e. "ee" or "*μμ*"

Z decays predominantly to ee/*μμ* ⇒ select away from *Z* → ee/*μμ*

Rejecting events with *b* jets

EW processes generally do not come with b jets ⇒ Require # of b = 0

CMS developed deep neural network based *b* tagger





Signals do not have *b* jets

Added benefit of rejecting events with b

EW processes generally do not come with b jets ⇒ Require # of b = 0

Lepton from b decay is the main source of “fake"

CMS developed deep neural network based *b* tagger



“fake" leptons are not isolated

B hadrons have long lifetime



Signals do not have *b* jets

Event selections

same-sign selection

Variable	m_{jj}-in and m_{jj}-out	1j
Trigger	Signal triggers, tab. 3.2	
Signal leptons	Exactly 2 tight SS leptons with $p_T > 25$ GeV	
Additional leptons	No additional very loose lepton	
Isolated tracks	No additional isolated tracks	
Jets	≥ 2 jets	1 jet
b-tagging	no b-tagged jets and soft b-tag objects	
$m_{\ell\ell}$	>20 GeV	
$m_{\ell\ell}$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z \rvert > 20$ GeV if $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	
p_T^{miss}	>45 GeV	
m_{JJ} (leading jets)	<500 GeV	—
$\Delta\eta_{JJ}$ (leading jets)	<2.5	—
m_{jj} (closest ΔR)	$65 < m_{jj} < 95$ GeV or $\lvert m_{jj} - 80\,\text{GeV} \rvert \geq 15$ GeV	—
$\Delta R_{\ell j}^{min}$	—	<1.5
m_T^{max}	>90 GeV if not $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	>90 GeV

Three leptons selection

Variable	0 SFOS	1 and 2 SFOS
Trigger	Signal triggers, tab. 3.2	
Signal leptons	3 tight leptons with charge sum = ±1e; $p_T > 25/25/25$ GeV	3 tight leptons with charge sum = ±1e; $p_T > 25/20/20$ GeV
Additional leptons	No additional very loose lepton	
m_{SFOS}	$m_{SFOS} > 20$ GeV and $\lvert m_{SFOS} - m_Z \rvert > 20$ GeV	
$m_{\ell\ell\ell}$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell\ell} - m_Z \rvert > 10$ GeV	
SF lepton mass	>20 GeV	—
Dielectron mass	$\lvert m_{ee} - m_Z \rvert > 20$ GeV	—
Jets	≤ 1 jet	0 jets
b-tagging	No b-tagged jets and soft b-tag objects	
$\Delta\phi\left(\vec{p}_T(\ell\ell\ell), \vec{p}_T^{miss}\right)$	—	>2.5
$p_T(\ell\ell\ell)$	—	>50 GeV
m_T^{3rd} (1 SFOS) or m_T^{max} (2 SFOS)	—	>90 GeV

Four leptons selection

Variable	$e\mu$ category	$ee/\mu\mu$ category
Preselection	Selections in Table 20	
W candidate lepton flavors	$e\mu$	$ee/\mu\mu$
$m_{\ell\ell}$	Separated into 4 bins in (0, 40, 60, 100, ∞)	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z \rvert > 10$ GeV
m_{T2}	$m_{T2} > 25$ GeV (for $m_{\ell\ell} > 100$ GeV)	…
$p_{T,4\ell}$ and p_T^{miss}	…	No $p_{T,4\ell}$ cuts and $p_T^{miss} > 120$ GeV (Bin A) $p_{T,4\ell} > 70$ GeV and $70 < p_T^{miss} < 120$ GeV (Bin B) $40 < p_{T,4\ell} < 70$ GeV and $70 < p_T^{miss} < 120$ GeV (Bin C)

5/6L will be explained later

This is the full selections but I will not go in details for every single one

Lost lepton background

Lepton finding efficiency is well modeled by MC
(factors: P_T, η, lepton ID)

Construct a control region with 3 leptons and extrapolate across 3 lepton → 2 leptons

Experimental systematics assigned

Control region data statistics dominates uncertainty (20%)



Estimate lost lepton background by extrapolating across # of leptons

Using VVV as a tool

Chang
UCSD

Now that we have established VVV production we can use it to test SM and also search new physics (cf. Four fermion interaction with Fermi constant)



Establishment of VVV production opens up a new physics program

Fully leptonic v. Semi leptonic channel



If BSM exists, effects are same

- Physics of V → ff is well understood
- We have now established pp → VVV production in “fully” leptonic decay
- Therefore, there ought to be pp → VVV → semi-leptonic

  ⇒ If new physics alters pp → VVV, it will alter <u>fully / semi leptonic the same</u>

VVV → semi-leptonic ought to have same physics as VVV → fully leptonic

Fully leptonic v. Semi leptonic channel





Clean channel for discovery but probing tail is difficult

Bkg is larger but distinct high P_T feature can discriminate bkg.





Signal / Bkg. Small → Large Signal / Bkg.

We can probe VVV → semi-leptonic for new physics

More multi-massive-X processes for future

Chang
UCSD

listing a few multi-massive-X processes with same-sign

Same-sign is special

pp → W±W±H

Same-sign turns LHC into a Higgs collider!



pp → tW±W±j



High P_T top (> 500 GeV)



WWH, tWWj. ttWW, ttZH

pp → ttWW



pp → ttZH



arXiv:1812.09299 Henning, Lombardo, Riembau, Riva
arXiv:1511.03674 Dror, Farina, Salvioni, Serra
arXiv:1904.05637 Maltoni, Mantani, Mimasu

There are many more multi-massive-X production to be explored at LHC

Title

Compact Muon Solenoid
LHC, CERN



Visit us: CMS Public Website, CMS Physics ; Contact us: CMS Publications Committee

CMS Publications

1000	SMP-19-014	**Observation of the production of three massive gauge bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV**	Submitted to PRL	19 June 2020
999	HIN-19-001	**Evidence for top quark production in nucleus-nucleus collisions**	Submitted to NP	19 June 2020
998	TRG-17-001	**Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV**	Submitted to JINST	18 June 2020

Title


April 2020
CMS Preliminary
Production Cross Section, σ [pb]
7 TeV CMS measurement (L ≤ 5.0 fb-1)
8 TeV CMS measurement (L ≤ 19.6 fb-1)
13 TeV CMS measurement (L ≤ 137 fb-1)
Theory prediction
CMS 95%CL limits at 7, 8 and 13 TeV
≥n jet(s)
≥n jet(s)
=n jet(s)
10^5
10^4
10^3
10^2
10
1
10^-1
10^-2
10^-3
10^-4
W
Z
Wγ
Zγ
WW
WZ
ZZ
VVV
WWW
WWZ
WZZ
ZZZ
WVγ
Zγγ
Wγγ
EW qqW
EW qqZ
γγ→WW
EW qqWγ
EW ssWW
EW qqZγ
EW qqWZ
EW qqZZ
EW,Zγγ,Wγγ: fiducial with W→lν, Z→ll, l=e,μ
tt
tt-ch
tW
ts-ch
ttγ
tZq
ttZ
tγ
ttW
tttt
ggH
VBF qqH
VH
WH
ZH
ttH
tH
HH
Th. ΔσH in exp. Δσ
All results at: http://cern.ch/go/pNj7

Title

Quantities	WWW	WWZ	WZZ	ZZZ
$\sigma_{pp\to VVV\text{ non-VH}}$ (fb)	216.0	165.1	55.7	14.0
$\sigma_{\text{VH}\to VVV}$ (fb)	293.4	188.9	36.0	23.1
σ_{total} (fb)	509.4	354.0	91.6	37.1
$\mathcal{B}_{VVV\to SS}$ (%)	7.16	-	-	-
$\mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 3\ell}$ (%)	3.46	4.82	6.37	-
$\mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 4\ell}$ (%)	-	1.16	0.81	3.22
$\mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 5\ell}$ (%)	-	-	0.39	-
$\mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 6\ell}$ (%)	-	-	-	0.13
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to SS}$ (fb)	36.4	-	-	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 3\ell}$ (fb)	17.6	17.1	5.83	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 4\ell}$ (fb)	-	4.12	0.74	1.19
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 5\ell}$ (fb)	-	-	0.36	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 6\ell}$ (fb)	-	-	-	0.05
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to SS} \times 137\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ (N_{evts})	4987	-	-	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 3\ell} \times 137\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ (N_{evts})	2411	2343	799	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 4\ell} \times 137\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ (N_{evts})	-	564	101	163
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 5\ell} \times 137\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ (N_{evts})	-	-	49.3	-
$\sigma_{\text{total}} \times \mathcal{B}_{VVV\to 6\ell} \times 137\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ (N_{evts})	-	-	-	6.85

SS / 3L preselection

Features	Selections		
	SS + $\geq$2j	SS + 1j	3ℓ
Triggers	Select events passing dilepton triggers		
Number of leptons	Select events with 2 (3) leptons passing SS-ID (3ℓ-ID) for SS (3ℓ) final states		
Number of leptons	Select events with 2 (3) leptons passing veto-ID for SS (3ℓ) final states		
Isolated tracks	No additional isolated tracks		—
b-tagging	no b-tagged jets and soft b-tag objects		
Jets	$\geq$2 jets	1 jet	$\leq$1 jet
m_{JJ} (leading jets)	$<500\,\mathrm{GeV}$		—
$\Delta\eta_{\mathrm{JJ}}$ (leading jets)	<2.5		—
$m_{\ell\ell}$	$>20\,\mathrm{GeV}$		—
$m_{\ell\ell}$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell} - m_{\mathrm{Z}}\rvert > 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$ if $\mathrm{e}^{\pm}\mathrm{e}^{\pm}$		—
m_{SFOS}	—	—	$m_{\mathrm{SFOS}} > 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$
m_{SFOS}	—	—	$\lvert m_{\mathrm{SFOS}} - m_{\mathrm{Z}}\rvert > 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$
$m_{\ell\ell\ell}$	—	—	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell\ell} - m_{\mathrm{Z}}\rvert > 10\,\mathrm{GeV}$

SS selection

Variable	m_{jj}-in and m_{jj}-out	1j
Trigger	Signal triggers, tab. 3.2	
Signal leptons	Exactly 2 tight SS leptons with $p_T > 25\,\text{GeV}$	
Additional leptons	No additional very loose lepton	
Isolated tracks	No additional isolated tracks	
Jets	≥ 2 jets	1 jet
b-tagging	no b-tagged jets and soft b-tag objects	
$m_{\ell\ell}$	$>20\,\text{GeV}$	
$m_{\ell\ell}$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z \rvert > 20\,\text{GeV}$ if $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	
p_T^{miss}	$>45\,\text{GeV}$	
m_{JJ} (leading jets)	$<500\,\text{GeV}$	—
$\Delta\eta_{JJ}$ (leading jets)	<2.5	—
m_{jj} (closest ΔR)	$65 < m_{jj} < 95\,\text{GeV}$ or $\lvert m_{jj} - 80\,\text{GeV} \rvert \geq 15\,\text{GeV}$	—
$\Delta R_{\ell j}^{min}$	—	<1.5
m_T^{max}	$>90\,\text{GeV}$ if not $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$>90\,\text{GeV}$

3L selection

Variable	0 SFOS	1 and 2 SFOS
Trigger	Signal triggers, tab. 3.2	
Signal leptons	3 tight leptons with charge sum = $\pm 1e$ $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 25/25/25$ GeV	3 tight leptons with charge sum = $\pm 1e$ $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 25/20/20$ GeV
Additional leptons	No additional very loose lepton	
m_{SFOS}	$m_{\mathrm{SFOS}} > 20$ GeV and $\lvert m_{\mathrm{SFOS}} - m_{\mathrm{Z}} \rvert > 20$ GeV	
$m_{\ell\ell\ell}$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell\ell} - m_{\mathrm{Z}} \rvert > 10$ GeV	
SF lepton mass	>20 GeV	—
Dielectron mass	$\lvert m_{\mathrm{ee}} - m_{\mathrm{Z}} \rvert > 20$ GeV	—
Jets	≤ 1 jet	0 jets
b-tagging	No b-tagged jets and soft b-tag objects	
$\Delta\phi\left(\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\ell\ell\ell), \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}\right)$	—	>2.5
$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\ell\ell\ell)$	—	>50 GeV
$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{3rd}}$ (1 SFOS) or $m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{max}}$ (2 SFOS)	—	>90 GeV

4L preselection

Features	Selections
Number of leptons	Select events with 4 leptons passing common veto-ID
Triggers	Select events passing dilepton triggers
Z lepton	Find opposite charge lepton pairs, passing ZID, closest to m_Z Require Z leptons to have $p_T > 25, 15$ GeV
W lepton	Require that leftover leptons are opposite charge and pass WID Require W leptons to have $p_T > 25, 15$ GeV
Low mass resonances	Require any opposite charge pair invariant mass to be greater than 12 GeV
b-tagged jets	no b-tagged jet
Z mass window	Require invariant mass of the Z leptons to be within 10 GeV of Z boson mass

4L selection

Variable	$e\mu$ category	$ee/\mu\mu$ category
Preselection	Selections in Table 20	
W candidate lepton flavors	$e\mu$	$ee/\mu\mu$
$m_{\ell\ell}$	Separated into 4 bins in $(0, 40, 60, 100, \infty)$	$\lvert m_{\ell\ell} - m_{Z}\rvert > 10\,\text{GeV}$
m_{T2}	$m_{T2} > 25\,\text{GeV}$ (for $m_{\ell\ell} > 100\,\text{GeV}$)	...
$p_{T,4\ell}$ and p_{T}^{miss}	...	No $p_{T,4\ell}$ cuts and $p_{T}^{miss} > 120\,\text{GeV}$ (Bin A) $p_{T,4\ell} > 70\,\text{GeV}$ and $70 < p_{T}^{miss} < 120\,\text{GeV}$ (Bin B) $40 < p_{T,4\ell} < 70\,\text{GeV}$ and $70 < p_{T}^{miss} < 120\,\text{GeV}$ (Bin C)

$$m_{\mathrm{T2}} = \min_{\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu(1)} + \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu(2)} = \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}} \left[\max\left(m_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}(\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu(1)}, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}}), m_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}(\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu(2)}, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu}) \right) \right]$$



For WW→ lνlν sub-system of WWZ, endpoint is at m_W

For Z→ττ→llνννν sub-system of ZZ, endpoint is at m_τ

Title



	ZZ BDT range	$t\bar{t}Z$ BDT range
eμ BDT bin 1	(-∞, -0.908)	(-∞ , ∞)
eμ BDT bin 2	(-0.908 , ∞)	(-∞ , 0.015)
eμ BDT bin 3	(-0.908 , 0.733)	(0.015 , ∞)
eμ BDT bin 4	(0.733 , ∞)	(0.015 , 3.523)
eμ BDT bin 5	(0.733 , ∞)	(3.523 , ∞)
ee/$\mu\mu$ BDT bin A	(0 , 3)	-
ee/$\mu\mu$ BDT bin B	(3 , ∞)	-

Title



Chang
UCSD

Title


CMS Preliminary
137 fb-1 (13 TeV)
Events
Data
Stat. Uncert.
VVV
Other
ZZ
tWZ
tt̄Z
WZ
eμ category
20
10
0
Data/Pred.
2
1.5
1
0.5
0
0
50
100
150
200
250
meμ [GeV]

Title

Chang
UCSD



Title



Chang
UCSD

Title

Chang
UCSD



Title

Chang
UCSD

Process	Higgs boson contributions as signal		Higgs boson contributions as background	
	sequential-cut	BDT-based	sequential-cut	BDT-based
WWW	2.5 (2.9)	3.3 (3.1)	1.0 (1.8)	1.6 (1.9)
WWZ	3.5 (3.6)	3.4 (4.1)	0.9 (2.2)	1.3 (2.2)
WZZ	1.6 (0.7)	1.7 (0.7)	1.7 (0.8)	1.7 (0.8)
ZZZ	0.0 (0.9)	0.0 (0.9)	0.0 (0.9)	0.0 (0.9)
VVV	5.0 (5.4)	5.7 (5.9)	2.3 (3.5)	2.9 (3.5)

Title

Process	Higgs boson contributions as signal		Higgs boson contributions as background	
	sequential-cut	BDT-based	sequential-cut	BDT-based
WZZ	5.2 $(3.7^{+2.2}_{-1.3})$	6.1 $(3.8^{+2.2}_{-1.3})$	5.8 $(3.7^{+2.3}_{-1.3})$	5.8 $(3.7^{+2.3}_{-1.3})$
ZZZ	5.4 $(6.0^{+4.6}_{-2.6})$	5.4 $(6.2^{+4.9}_{-2.7})$	5.6 $(6.3^{+5.3}_{-2.8})$	5.7 $(6.3^{+5.3}_{-2.8})$

Signal region	SS m_{jj}-in $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	SS m_{jj}-in $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	SS m_{jj}-in $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	SS m_{jj}-out $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	SS m_{jj}-out $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	SS m_{jj}-out $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	SS 1j $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	SS 1j $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	SS 1j $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	3ℓ 0 SFOS	3ℓ 1 SFOS	3ℓ 2 SFOS
Lost/three ℓ	1.4±0.9	5.5±1.6	7.0±1.7	10.7±2.6	9.7±3.6	31.4±3.8	2.5±1.1	41.0±6.1	5.8±1.6	3.5±0.7	25.6±4.2	36.1±3.1
Irreducible	1.0±0.1	0.6±0.1	2.9±0.2	4.7±0.4	1.9±0.2	15.5±1.2	0.4±0.0	4.6±0.2	0.5±0.1	1.3±0.1	1.2±0.1	0.3±0.0
Nonprompt ℓ	0.6±0.6	3.6±2.4	4.2±1.5	0.8±1.0	2.8±1.5	9.1±4.5	2.5±5.2	2.9±1.4	0.2±0.1	1.8±0.5	7.5±2.3	1.8±1.1
Charge flips	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	4.5±2.5	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.8±1.2	0.3±0.1
$\gamma \to$ nonprompt ℓ	0.1±0.2	0.1±0.4	<0.1	1.4±0.5	1.1±0.4	0.7±0.4	0.6±1.2	4.8±8.0	<0.1	<0.1	1.0±0.4	0.1±1.5
Background sum	3.1±1.1	9.8±2.9	14.2±2.3	22.1±3.8	15.6±4.0	56.8±6.0	6.0±5.4	53.5±10.1	6.4±1.6	6.6±0.9	36.2±5.0	38.7±3.6
WWW onshell	0.9±0.4	2.3±0.9	4.6±1.7	0.9±0.4	1.0±0.6	3.3±1.3	0.3±0.2	1.2±0.4	0.4±0.2	6.7±2.4	4.3±1.6	1.8±0.7
WH $\to$ WWW	0.4±0.3	1.3±0.9	1.2±0.5	0.5±0.3	1.3±1.3	2.7±1.2	1.1±0.8	6.5±3.1	2.2±1.1	3.4±1.6	5.0±2.1	0.6±0.6
WWW total	1.3±0.5	3.7±1.3	5.8±1.7	1.5±0.5	2.3±1.4	6.0±1.7	1.4±0.8	7.7±3.1	2.5±1.1	10.1±2.9	9.3±2.6	2.4±0.9
WWZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2±0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZH $\to$ WWZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.1
WWZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3±0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.1
WZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
WH $\to$ WZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
WZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZH $\to$ ZZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
VVV onshell	0.9±0.4	2.3±0.9	4.6±1.7	0.9±0.4	1.0±0.6	3.3±1.3	0.3±0.2	1.2±0.4	0.4±0.2	6.9±2.4	4.3±1.6	1.8±0.7
VH $\to$ VVV	0.4±0.3	1.3±0.9	1.2±0.5	0.5±0.3	1.3±1.3	2.7±1.2	1.1±0.8	6.5±3.1	2.2±1.1	3.6±1.6	5.1±2.1	0.6±0.6
VVV total	1.3±0.5	3.7±1.3	5.8±1.7	1.5±0.5	2.3±1.4	6.0±1.7	1.4±0.8	7.7±3.1	2.5±1.1	10.4±2.9	9.3±2.6	2.4±0.9
Total	4.4±1.2	13.5±3.2	20.0±2.9	23.6±3.8	17.8±4.2	62.7±6.3	7.4±5.5	61.2±10.6	9.0±2.0	17.0±3.0	45.5±5.6	41.1±3.7
Observed	3	14	15	22	22	67	13	69	8	17	42	39

Title

Chang
UCSD

Signal region	4ℓ eμ bin 1	bin 2	bin 3	bin 4	bin 5	4ℓ ee/μμ bin A	bin B	5ℓ	6ℓ
ZZ	15.9±1.0	1.6±0.1	0.6±0.1	0.6±0.1	0.2±0.0	76.4±4.3	2.9±0.3	0.30±0.09	0.01±0.01
$t\bar{t}Z$	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.1	2.8±0.5	1.4±0.2	0.1±0.1	1.5±0.3	2.3±0.3	<0.01	<0.01
tWZ	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.6±0.1	0.7±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.5±0.1	0.7±0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WZ	0.5±0.2	0.2±0.2	0.5±0.2	0.3±0.3	0.1±0.1	1.0±0.4	0.2±0.1	<0.01	<0.01
Other	1.1±0.4	0.5±0.5	0.5±0.2	0.6±0.2	<0.1	2.7±0.6	0.5±0.2	<0.01	<0.01
Background sum	17.8±1.1	2.5±0.5	5.0±0.6	3.6±0.4	0.5±0.1	82.2±4.3	6.6±0.5	0.30±0.09	0.01±0.01
WWW onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WH → WWW	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WWW total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WWZ onshell	0.3±0.1	0.4±0.2	1.4±0.7	3.6±1.5	1.0±0.5	2.7±1.2	3.2±1.4	<0.01	<0.01
ZH → WWZ	1.1±0.5	1.1±0.5	0.5±0.2	1.3±0.5	1.8±0.8	2.9±1.2	1.5±0.6	<0.01	<0.01
WWZ total	1.3±0.5	1.5±0.5	1.9±0.8	4.9±1.6	2.9±0.9	5.6±1.7	4.7±1.5	<0.01	<0.01
WZZ onshell	0.2±0.2	0.1±0.1	0.2±0.2	0.4±0.4	0.1±0.1	0.5±0.4	0.2±0.2	2.62±1.82	0.03±0.05
WH → WZZ	0.2±0.3	0.2±0.3	<0.1	0.5±0.5	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WZZ total	0.4±0.3	0.3±0.3	0.2±0.2	0.9±0.7	0.1±0.1	0.5±0.4	0.2±0.2	2.62±1.82	0.03±0.05
ZZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
ZH → ZZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
ZZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
VVV onshell	0.5±0.2	0.4±0.2	1.6±0.8	4.0±1.5	1.1±0.5	3.2±1.3	3.4±1.4	2.62±1.82	0.03±0.05
VH → VVV	1.2±0.5	1.3±0.6	0.5±0.2	1.7±0.8	1.8±0.8	2.9±1.2	1.5±0.6	<0.01	<0.01
VVV total	1.7±0.6	1.7±0.6	2.1±0.8	5.8±1.7	3.0±0.9	6.1±1.8	4.8±1.5	2.62±1.82	0.03±0.05
Total	19.5±1.2	4.2±0.8	7.1±1.0	9.4±1.8	3.5±0.9	88.2±4.7	11.4±1.6	2.92±1.82	0.04±0.05
Observed	22	9	7	8	3	80	11	3	0

Title

Signal region	SS m_{jj}-in			SS m_{jj}-out			SS 1j			3ℓ		
	$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	0 SFOS	1 SFOS	2 SFOS
Lost/three ℓ	1.8±0.4	10.9±2.0	8.7±1.0	8.8±1.7	46.0±6.2	44.8±4.4	8.4±1.3	43.5±4.4	34.5±2.7	4.6±0.8	15.1±1.5	58.3±2.4
Irreducible	2.1±0.4	13.0±3.6	8.4±1.4	9.8±1.4	41.1±4.5	42.8±4.7	2.6±0.6	22.8±8.6	13.2±1.9	2.5±0.9	2.2±1.2	2.5±0.8
Nonprompt ℓ	1.3±0.9	5.8±2.4	6.8±2.2	2.3±1.3	12.0±6.1	11.2±3.8	1.8±2.9	2.4±1.3	2.8±1.1	3.0±0.9	5.7±1.6	5.9±1.6
Charge flips	<0.1	1.2±2.0	<0.1	2.6±1.6	1.0±0.5	<0.1	6.9±4.7	0.2±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	1.1±1.3	0.7±0.2
$\gamma \rightarrow$ nonprompt ℓ	1.4±0.4	2.3±0.9	0.1±0.8	8.6±3.1	19.2±5.1	2.3±0.9	3.8±1.1	19.7±6.0	13.8±7.0	<0.1	0.6±0.7	0.2±0.3
Background sum	6.7±1.2	33.3±5.2	24.0±2.9	32.1±4.3	119±11	101±8	23.6±5.8	88.7±11.4	64.4±7.8	10.1±1.5	24.7±2.9	67.6±3.1
WWW onshell	1.0±0.5	3.3±1.5	3.5±1.6	0.9±0.5	3.9±1.8	4.1±1.9	0.5±0.3	1.8±0.8	1.7±0.9	5.9±2.6	3.8±1.7	2.5±1.2
WH $\rightarrow$ WWW	0.2±0.3	1.9±1.5	0.6±0.4	0.4±0.4	1.3±0.8	1.7±1.0	0.8±0.5	4.5±2.7	3.3±2.0	3.0±1.7	2.7±1.5	1.3±0.8
WWW total	1.2±0.6	5.1±2.2	4.1±1.6	1.3±0.6	5.3±2.0	5.7±2.1	1.4±0.6	6.3±2.8	5.0±2.2	8.8±3.1	6.6±2.3	3.8±1.4
WWZ onshell	0.1±0.1	0.3±0.2	0.2±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3±0.2	0.2±0.2	0.2±0.1
ZH $\rightarrow$ WWZ	0.1±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3±0.3	<0.1	<0.1	0.4±0.4	0.2±0.1	<0.1	<0.1
WWZ total	0.1±0.2	0.3±0.2	0.2±0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4±0.3	0.1±0.1	<0.1	0.4±0.4	0.4±0.2	0.2±0.2	0.2±0.1
WZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
WH $\rightarrow$ WZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
WZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZH $\rightarrow$ ZZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
ZZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
VVV onshell	1.0±0.5	3.5±1.5	3.7±1.6	0.9±0.5	3.9±1.8	4.2±1.9	0.6±0.3	1.8±0.8	1.7±0.9	6.1±2.6	4.0±1.8	2.7±1.2
VH $\rightarrow$ VVV	0.3±0.3	1.9±1.5	0.6±0.4	0.4±0.4	1.3±0.8	2.0±1.0	0.8±0.5	4.5±2.7	3.7±2.0	3.1±1.7	2.7±1.5	1.3±0.8
VVV total	1.3±0.6	5.4±2.2	4.2±1.6	1.3±0.6	5.3±2.0	6.1±2.1	1.4±0.6	6.3±2.8	5.4±2.2	9.3±3.1	6.8±2.3	3.9±1.4
Total	8.0±1.3	38.7±5.6	28.2±3.4	33.5±4.4	125±11	107±8	25.0±5.8	95.0±11.8	69.8±8.1	19.4±3.4	31.4±3.7	71.5±3.4
Observed	5	46	20	31	112	118	29	101	69	20	32	69

Title

Signal region	4ℓ $e\mu$ bin 4	4ℓ $e\mu$ bin 3	4ℓ $e\mu$ bin 2	4ℓ $e\mu$ bin 1	4ℓ ee/$\mu\mu$ bin A	4ℓ ee/$\mu\mu$ bin B	4ℓ ee/$\mu\mu$ bin C	5ℓ	6ℓ
ZZ	0.3±0.0	0.7±0.0	0.7±0.0	0.4±0.0	1.8±0.2	6.0±0.6	5.0±0.5	0.30±0.08	0.01±0.01
$t\bar{t}$Z	0.2±0.0	0.3±0.1	0.8±0.1	2.3±0.4	1.4±0.2	1.1±0.2	0.2±0.0	<0.01	<0.01
tWZ	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.3±0.0	0.8±0.1	0.5±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WZ	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.2	0.6±0.2	<0.1	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.1	<0.01	<0.01
Other	<0.1	0.2±0.1	0.6±0.3	0.2±0.1	<0.1	1.4±0.5	0.1±0.1	<0.01	<0.01
Background sum	0.8±0.1	1.4±0.1	2.5±0.3	4.3±0.4	3.7±1.9	9.1±0.8	5.5±0.5	0.30±0.08	0.01±0.01
WWW onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WH → WWW	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WWW total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WWZ onshell	0.5±0.2	0.5±0.2	1.1±0.4	4.0±1.6	2.1±0.9	1.2±0.4	0.6±0.2	<0.01	<0.01
ZH → WWZ	2.3±0.9	1.1±0.4	0.3±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.8±0.3	0.9±0.4	0.5±0.2	<0.01	<0.01
WWZ total	2.8±0.9	1.6±0.5	1.4±0.4	4.1±1.6	2.9±1.0	2.1±0.6	1.1±0.3	<0.01	<0.01
WZZ onshell	<0.1	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.4±0.3	0.2±0.2	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	2.17±1.46	0.03±0.04
WH → WZZ	<0.1	0.4±0.3	0.1±0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
WZZ total	<0.1	0.4±0.4	0.2±0.2	0.4±0.3	0.2±0.2	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	2.17±1.46	0.03±0.04
ZZZ onshell	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
ZH → ZZZ	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
ZZZ total	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01
VVV onshell	0.5±0.2	0.6±0.2	1.2±0.4	4.4±1.6	2.3±0.9	1.3±0.5	0.7±0.2	2.17±1.46	0.03±0.04
VH → VVV	2.3±0.9	1.5±0.5	0.4±0.3	0.1±0.1	0.8±0.3	0.9±0.4	0.5±0.2	<0.01	<0.01
VVV total	2.8±0.9	2.1±0.6	1.6±0.5	4.5±1.6	3.1±1.0	2.2±0.6	1.2±0.3	2.17±1.46	0.03±0.04
Total	3.6±0.9	3.5±0.6	4.1±0.6	8.8±1.7	6.8±2.1	11.3±1.0	6.6±0.6	2.47±1.46	0.04±0.04
Observed	7	1	5	7	6	8	7	3	0

History lesson

Chang
UCSD





…after analysis of Run I data, … ❷ <u>m_W shifted a full s.d.</u> … the m_{Higgs} must be ❸ <u>much lower than anyone had anticipated.</u> … Surprises happen.

– D. Amidei, R. Brock Fermi news 1/17/2003

History tells us with more data we get smarter; also surprises happen

Muon resolution



ment with the results obtained from simulation. The $\sigma(p_T)/p_T$ averaged over ϕ and η varies in p_T from $(1.8 \pm 0.3(\text{stat.}))\%$ at $p_T = 30\,\text{GeV}/c$ to $(2.3 \pm 0.3(\text{stat.}))\%$ at $p_T = 50\,\text{GeV}/c$, again in good agreement with the expectations from simulation.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.4071.pdf

Muon resolution

Chang UCSD

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.4071.pdf



(a) (b)

Electron resolution

arXiv.org > physics > arXiv:1502.02701

Search...

Help | Advanced

Physics > Instrumentation and Detectors

[Submitted on 9 Feb 2015 (v1), last revised 1 Jul 2015 (this version, v2)]

Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at sqrt(s) = 8 TeV

CMS Collaboration

The performance and strategies used in electron reconstruction and selection at CMS are presented based on data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.7 inverse femtobarns, collected in proton-proton collisions at sqrt(s) = 8 TeV at the CERN LHC. The paper focuses on prompt isolated electrons with transverse momenta ranging from about 5 to a few 100 GeV. A detailed description is given of the algorithms used to cluster energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter and to reconstruct electron trajectories in the tracker. The electron momentum is estimated by combining the energy measurement in the calorimeter with the momentum measurement in the tracker. Benchmark selection criteria are presented, and their performances assessed using Z, Upsilon, and J/psi decays into electron-positron pairs. The spectra of the observables relevant to electron reconstruction and selection as well as their global efficiencies are well reproduced by Monte Carlo simulations. The momentum scale is calibrated with an uncertainty smaller than 0.3%. The momentum resolution for electrons produced in Z boson decays ranges from 1.7 to 4.5%, depending on electron pseudorapidity and energy loss through bremsstrahlung in the detector material.

Electron resolution



b tagging

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/pub/CMSPublic/BTV13TeV2017FIRST2018/PT30GeV.pdf