DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE







# **Neutrino Oscillation Results From the T2K Experiment**



## Laura Munteanu, CEA Saclay/IRFU/DPhP

On behalf of the T2K Collaboration ICHEP2020 (Prague, Czech Republic) July 28th 2020





- Neutrino Oscillations
- The T2K Experiment
- Oscillation Analysis Strategy
- Oscillation Parameters Measurements
- Future Plans
- Summary & Outlook

![](_page_2_Figure_0.jpeg)

**NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS PHYSICS** 

![](_page_2_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_3.jpeg)

- What's keeping long-baseline neutrino oscillation physicists up at night: Normal hierarchy Inverted hierarchy
  - $\circ~~CP$  violation phase  $\delta_{CP}$
  - $\circ \quad \theta_{23} \text{ octant}$
  - MASS ORDERING
  - CONSISTENCY OF THE WHOLE PMNS FRAMEWORK

![](_page_2_Figure_9.jpeg)

# How we measure oscillation PARAMETERS

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

## <u>Phys.Rev.D 88 (2013) 3, 032002</u>

- Measure  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance and  $v_{e}$  appearance
- Maximum oscillation probability is at **600 MeV** for T2K baseline (295 km)
- Use off-axis effect to obtain narrow flux distribution at 600 MeV and reduce  $v_e$  contamination
- $\delta_{CP}$  inferred from  $v_e$ /anti- $v_e$  appearance asymmetry
- Matter effects give small dependence on mass hierarchy (10%)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTR

# THE T2K EXPERIMENT

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

Near Detector at 280 m (ND280)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Two fine grained scintillating detectors FGD1 (C) and FGD2 (C,O)
- 3 Time Projection Chambers (TPCs)
- One Upstream  $\pi^0$  detector
- Surrounded by ECal and UA1 magnet
- 2.5° off-axis
- Constrains flux and cross-section systematics in **oscillation analysis**

Our near detectors are also used for exotic searches and cross-section measurements (see Ka Ming Tsui's talk!)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 1000 m under the Mount. Ikeno
- 50 kton of pure water

39.3m

- 22.5 kton of fiducial mass
- $\sim$ 11,100 inner detector (ID) PMTs (20'')
- 1,885 outer detector (OD) PMTs (8'')
- direction/e- $\mu$  ID based on Cherenkov ring pattern

![](_page_6_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **DATA TAKING STATUS SINCE 2009**

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

- This year we've reached **515 kW** stable operation beam power
- We now have a total of  $1.97 \times 10^{21}$  POT in v mode and  $1.63 \times 10^{21}$  POT in anti-v mode.

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

# OSCILLATION ANALYSIS STRATEGY

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Oscillation Parameters**

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

Laura Munteanu - T2K

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

Laura Munteanu - T2K

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Primary interactions are simulated with FLUKA and then reweighted to **NA61/SHINE (external hadron production experiment at CERN)** data
- Previously: NA61/SHINE data was obtained with a thin graphite target. New this year: we use NA61/SHINE data obtained with a full T2K **replica target**.
- Flux uncertainties reduced from ~8% to 5%

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Three dominant scattering processes at T2K energies: CCQE (and 2p2h), RES, DIS
- Define samples enriched in each of these processes using **reconstructed pion multiplicity**

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

# Cea ND280 SAMPLES

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Three dominant scattering processes at T2K energies: CCQE (and 2p2h), RES, DIS
- Define samples enriched in each of these processes using **reconstructed pion multiplicity**
- Samples divided between FGD1 (C) and FGD2 (C,O) and neutrino-antineutrino beam modes
- Also **wrong sign sample** (neutrino background in antineutrino beam mode)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **CROSS-SECTION MODEL**

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Baseline nuclear model: moved from Relativistic Fermi Gas + RPA (2018) to a **tuned Benhar Spectral Function**.
- 2p2h: new uncertainty on energy dependance of the cross-section
- **Removal energy** (energy to extract a bound nucleon): much smaller uncertainty due to constraints from electron scattering data.
- Correlated pion FSI errors between near and far detector
- Improved multi-pi and DIS treatment

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Our model of cross-section and flux has good flexibility to reproduce well the data (ND fit **p-value of 74%**)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Prefit

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Before the fit there are no correlations between the flux and the cross-section parameters

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Before the fit there are no correlations between the flux and the cross-section parameters
- Near detector fit introduces (anti)correlations between flux and cross-section parameters

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Before the fit there are no correlations between the flux and the cross-section parameters
- Near detector fit introduces (anti)correlations between flux and cross-section parameters
- Lower prefit uncertainties from ~13% to ~4% at far detector

Sample	FHC 1Rµ	RHC 1Rµ	FHC 1Re	RHC 1Re	FHC 1Re1de
Flux+Cross section (before ND)	11.1%	11.3%	13.0%	12.1%	18.7%
Flux+Cross section (after ND)	3.0%	4.0%	4.7%	5.9%	14.3%

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SK SAMPLES AND DATA

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Five samples at SK, single ring selections based on lepton flavour
- Red bands: systematic uncertainties
- 1 ring  $\mu$  samples (dominated by CC0 $\pi$  events)

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Five samples at SK, based on the lepton flavour and the ring multiplicity
- Red bands: systematic uncertainties
- Uncertainties on electron-like samples 4.7% in neutrino mode, 5.9% in antineutrino mode and **14.3%** in Michel electron sample
- 1-ring-electron (enriched in CC0π events) and 1-ring-e-1-Michel-electron (enriched in CC1pi events) -> larger systematic errors

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTR

# **APPEARANCE RESULTS**

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

• T2K contours for  $\theta_{13}$  are fully compatible (better than 1 $\sigma$ ) with PDG2019 value - all the other results shown in this talk are shown with this reactor constraint applied

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\delta_{CP}$  CP violating values are preferred
- Quantitatively: we exclude 35% of all  $\delta_{CP}$  values at  $3\sigma$
- CP conserving values  $(0,\pi)$  excluded at 90% CL
- Small preference for NH

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

• Slight preference for upper octant

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- We know our models or fitting procedure aren't perfect or foolproof
- Test the robustness using alternative models, tunes or educated guesses
  - e.g.: alternative 2p2h models; ND280 or external data-driven tunes.
- After the robustness studies we performed, the largest impact we saw was a small bias of  $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$  on  $\Delta m^2_{32}$  which we've added as an additional uncertainty
- The effect of including the largest uncertainty from the robustness studies on the  $\delta_{CP}$  intervals is to move them by **0.073 to the left** and **0.080 to the right**.

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- J-PARC Main Ring Upgrade for High Intensity Neutrino Beam
  - Now operating stably at 515kW target is **1 MW**
- Near Detector Upgrade see Davide Sgalaberna's talk
- SK Gd loading -> enhance neutron detection
- New and improved selections are being included at both ND280 and SK for the next analysis
- Joint fits
  - **T2K-SK** atmospherics
  - T2K-NOvA see next talk on new NOvA results
  - Combining different neutrino data sets and energies helps lift degeneracies and reach better sensitivity

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The new results obtained by T2K exclude 35% of  $\delta_{CP}$  values at the 3 $\sigma$  level
- Slight preference for **upper octant of**  $\theta_{23}$  **and normal hierarchy**
- Many improvements in this analysis
  - nearly **twice as much data** as in the previous analysis at the **near detector**
  - 33% more data at the far detector in neutrino mode
  - NA61/SHINE replica target flux tune
  - huge improvements in systematic treatment of cross-section uncertainties within the fitting framework (in particular, moving to a Spectral Function nuclear model and improved description of removal energy systematics)
  - generally **improved** fitter framework
- Exciting future plans
  - ND280 Upgrade
  - Joint Fits

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **BACK-UP**

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- ND280 detector uncertainties obtained by throwing toys according to the detector systematics
- The near detector fit is performed in muon momentum and angle
- ND280 detector uncertainties are summarized in a covariance matrix indexed by the near detector binning in momentum and angle
- Previously: the MC statistical errors in this procedure were taken as the Poisson error on the bin content
- This year: we use the Barlow-Beeston procedure to account for the finite size of the MC.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SO WHAT HAS CHANGED?

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

# SO WHAT HAS CHANGED?

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Previous results (<u>Nature</u>, <u>580(7803)</u>, <u>339–344</u>) presented a slightly tighter constraint on  $\delta_{CP}$  although the general conclusions on CP violation remain the same
  - This has multiple sources:
    - the cross-section model
    - the reactor angle PDG2019 constraint
    - improved SK calibration and event reprocessing
    - adding SK run 10 (previously only runs 1-9)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTR

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

- **On-axis** detector
- Monitors beam position
- Excellent beam position and event rate stability since the beginning of T2K data taking

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\delta_{CP}$  CP violating values are preferred
- Quantitatively: we exclude 35% of all  $\delta_{CP}$  values at  $3\sigma$
- CP conserving values  $(0,\pi)$  excluded at 90% CL
- 0 excluded at  $2\sigma$  but  $\pi$  not quite at  $2\sigma$

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

## T2K Run 1-10 Preliminary

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Slight preference for upper octant and normal hierarchy Posterior probability

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)