Microsensors, Microsystems and MEMS

CERN Geneva, January 24th, 2019

> Piero Malcovati Department of Electrical, Computer, and Biomedical Engineering University of Pavia E-Mail: piero.malcovati@unipv.it



University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Microsensors and MEMS
 - Available Materials
 - Fabrication Process
 - Sensing and Actuation
 - Inertial Sensors

3 Integrated Microsystems

- Architecture
- Analog Front-End Circuits
- A/D Converters

4 Conclusions





Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Microsensors and MEMS
 - Available Materials
 - Fabrication Process
 - Sensing and Actuation
 - Inertial Sensors
- 3 Integrated Microsystems
 - Architecture
 - Analog Front-End Circuits
 - A/D Converters
- 4 Conclusions





Introduction — Definitions

- Microsensor \rightarrow Sensor realized with micro-fabrication technologies
- Microactuator ightarrow Actuator realized with micro-fabrication technologies
- Microsystem \rightarrow Complete system realized with micro-fabrication technologies
- MEMS → Micro-electro-mechanical system (formally subset of microsystems, often used as a synonym of microsystem and/or microsensor)
- The introduction of micro-fabrication technologies enabled the widespread diffusion of sensors in almost any application field
 - Mobile devices/IoT
 - Automotive
 - Domotics
 - Entertainment





Introduction — Sensors for IoT/Mobile Devices (Consumer Market)





Introduction — Sensors and Actuators for Cars (Automotive Market)









Introduction — Sensor and MEMS Market





Introduction — Sensor and MEMS Market





University of Pavia



Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Microsensors and MEMS
 - Available Materials
 - Fabrication Process
 - Sensing and Actuation
 - Inertial Sensors
- 3 Integrated Microsystems
 - Architecture
 - Analog Front-End Circuits
 - A/D Converters
- 4 Conclusions





Microsensors and MEMS — What Are We Talking About?







Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — What Are We Talking About?





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — What Are We Talking About?







Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Available Materials

Standard materials

- Mono-crystalline silicon (Si) → Anisotropic semiconductor crystal
- Poly-crystalline silicon (Polysilicon) → Mostly isotropic semiconductor material
- Silicon dioxide $(SiO_2) \rightarrow Excellent$ thermal and electrical insulator
- Silicon nitride $(Si_3N_4) \rightarrow Excellent$ electrical insulator
- Aluminum (AI) → Good electrical conductor

Specific materials

- Copper (Cu) → Excellent electrical conductor
- Gold (Au) and Platinum (Pt) \rightarrow Excellent electrical conductors, mostly inert
- Various polymer, ceramic and composite materials





Microsensors and MEMS — Fabrication Process







Microsensors and MEMS — Fabrication Process





Deposition

- Epitaxy
- Oxidation
- Sputtering
- Evaporation
- CVD/LPCVD/PECVD
- Spin-on method
- Sol-gel
- Anodic bonding
- Silicon fusion bonding



Patterning

Optical lithographyDouble-sided lithography





- Etching
- Wet isotropic
- Wet anisotropic
- Plasma
- RIE
- DRIE



University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Etching of Silicon





University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Etching of Silicon



Anisotropic Etching of Silicon

- KOH (Potassium Hydroxide)
- EDP (Ethylene Diamine and Pyrocatechol)
- TMAH (Tetramethylammonium Hydroxide)

Front-Side Etching (Grooves)



Back-Side Etching (Membranes)









Microsensors and MEMS — Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE)





Microsensors and MEMS — Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE)





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Sacrificial Layer





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Sacrificial Layer









Microsensors and MEMS — Movable MEMS Structures







Microsensors and MEMS — Sensing and Actuation







Microsensors and MEMS — Parallel-Plates





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Parallel-Plates

$$C_{0} + \Delta C \uparrow F_{+} V_{+}$$
$$C_{0} - \Delta C \downarrow F_{-} V_{-}$$

Interaction between sensing and actuation
 Measure x → Read C → Apply V → Generate F → Change x
 Solution → Use differential structures (with V₊ = V₋, F₊ = F₋ for x = 0)
 Non-linear function F(x) → Spring softening effect and pull-in effect
 mẍ(t) + rẋ(t) + kx(t) = -F(t) = - V²ε₀Lh / 2d²₀ + V²ε₀Lhx(t) / d³₀ → k → k - V²ε₀Lh / d³₀
 The spring coefficient k is lowered by applying V → The resonance frequency ω₀ decreases





Microsensors and MEMS — Parallel-Plates





Microsensors and MEMS — Comb-Fingers



$$C = \frac{2\epsilon_0 Lh}{d} \Rightarrow L \rightarrow L_0 + x \Rightarrow C = \frac{2\epsilon_0 h (L_0 + x)}{d}$$

Sensing $\Rightarrow \Delta C = C(x) - C(0) = \frac{2\epsilon_0 hx}{d}$
Actuation $\Rightarrow E = \frac{1}{2}CV^2 \Rightarrow |F| = \left|\frac{dE}{dx}\right| = \frac{V^2\epsilon_0 h}{d}$



University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Comb-Fingers



Interaction between sensing and actuation

Measure x → Read C → Apply V → Generate F → Change x
 Solution → Use differential structures (with V₊ = V₋, F₊ = F₋ for x = 0)

Linear function $F(x) \rightarrow No \text{ spring softening effect}$

$$\mathbf{I} \quad m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + r\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + k\mathbf{x}(t) = -\mathbf{F}(t) = -\frac{\mathbf{V}^2 \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{d}}$$

The spring coefficient k is independent of V \rightarrow The resonance frequency ω_0 remains constant





Microsensors and MEMS — Inertial Sensors





Microsensors and MEMS — Inertial Sensors

Absolute acceleration of the mass in the moving reference system $(\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k})$ $\vec{a} = (\vec{x}\vec{i} + \vec{y}\vec{j}) + \Omega \vec{k} \times [\Omega \vec{k} \times (\vec{x}\vec{i} + y\vec{j})] + \dot{\Omega} \vec{k} \times (\vec{x}\vec{i} + y\vec{j}) + 2\Omega \vec{k} \times (\vec{x}\vec{i} + \dot{y}\vec{j}) + (a_{c,x}\vec{i} + a_{c,y}\vec{j})$

Differential equations along x and y

$$\begin{split} &-k_xx-r_x\dot{x}+F_x=m\left(\ddot{x}-\dot{\Omega}y-2\Omega\dot{y}-\Omega^2x+a_{c,x}\right)\\ &-k_yy-r_y\dot{y}+F_y=m\left(\ddot{y}+\dot{\Omega}x+2\Omega\dot{x}-\Omega^2y+a_{c,y}\right) \end{split}$$

a_{c,x} and a_{c,y} → Linear accelerations
 Ωy and Ωx → Coriolis acceleration
 Ω²x, Ω²y → Negligible, being Ω ≪ √k_{x,y}/m





Microsensors and MEMS — Accelerometer

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{Accelerometer} \twoheadrightarrow F_x = 0, \ F_y = 0, \ \Omega = 0 \\ & \textbf{m}\ddot{\textbf{x}} + \textbf{r}_x \dot{\textbf{x}} + \textbf{k}_x \textbf{x} + \textbf{ma}_{c,x} = 0 \\ & \textbf{m}\ddot{\textbf{y}} + \textbf{r}_y \dot{\textbf{y}} + \textbf{k}_y \textbf{y} + \textbf{ma}_{c,y} = 0 \\ & \text{Sensitivity} \ \frac{\textbf{x}}{a_{c,x}} \twoheadrightarrow \mu_x = -\frac{\textbf{m}}{\textbf{k}_x} \\ & \textbf{\omega}_{0,x} = \sqrt{\frac{\textbf{k}_x}{\textbf{m}}}, \ \textbf{Q}_x = \frac{\sqrt{\textbf{k}_x\textbf{m}}}{\textbf{r}_x} \\ & \text{Sensitivity} \ \frac{\textbf{y}}{a_{c,x}} \twoheadrightarrow \mu_y = -\frac{\textbf{m}}{\textbf{k}_y} \\ & \textbf{\omega}_{0,y} = \sqrt{\frac{\textbf{k}_y}{\textbf{m}}}, \ \textbf{Q}_y = \frac{\sqrt{\textbf{k}_y\textbf{m}}}{\textbf{r}_y} \end{array}$$



University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Two-Axis Accelerometer





University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Three-Axis Accelerometer





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory



Microsensors and MEMS — Gyroscope

Gyroscope
$$\Rightarrow a_{c,x} = 0$$
, $a_{c,y} = 0$, Ω constant ($\dot{\Omega} = 0$), $F_x = F_0 \sin(\omega t)$, $F_y = 0$, $y \ll x$
 $m\ddot{x} + r_x \dot{x} + k_x x = F_x \Rightarrow Driving \Rightarrow X(\omega) = \frac{\frac{F_0}{\omega_{0,x}^2 m}}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_{0,y}^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0,x} Q_x}\right)^2}}$
 $m\ddot{y} + r_y \dot{y} + k_y y = -2m\Omega \dot{x} \Rightarrow Sensing \Rightarrow Y(\omega) = \frac{\frac{2m\omega\Omega X}{k_y}}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_{0,y}^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0,y} Q_y}\right)^2}}$
Maximum sensitivity $y/\Omega \Rightarrow \omega_{0,x} = \omega_{0,y} = \omega$
 $\mu_{max} = \frac{2m\omega F_0 Q_x Q_y}{k_x k_y}$
Spring softening effect \Rightarrow Tuning of $\omega_{0,y}$ to match $\omega_{0,x}$





Microsensors and MEMS — Two-Mass Gyroscope





Microsensors and MEMS — Two-Mass Gyroscope





University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Four-Mass Gyroscope







Microsensors and MEMS — Four-Mass Gyroscope





University of Pavia



Microsensors and MEMS — Three-Axis Gyroscope





University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory

Microsensors and MEMS — Three-Axis Gyroscope

University of Pavia

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Microsensors and MEMS
 - Available Materials
 - Fabrication Process
 - Sensing and Actuation
 - Inertial Sensors

3 Integrated Microsystems

- Architecture
- Analog Front-End Circuits
- A/D Converters

4 Conclusions

Integrated Microsystems

- Integrated microsystem \rightarrow MEMS device + interface circuits + package
- MEMS device, interface circuits and package must be designed and optimized together from the very beginning
 - Optimal MEMS device + Optimal interface circuit + Optimal package > Not necessarily optimal microsystem
 - The specifications and performances of the different blocks must be balanced
 - Loading effects and interactions among blocks must be considered

Architectural choices

- Single chip or multiple chips?
- Analog or digital signal processing?
- Key parameters (assuming that the required performances are obtained)
 - Cost
 - Size
 - Power consumption

Integrated Microsystems — Single Chip

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory

Integrated Microsystems — Multiple Chips

Integrated Microsystems — Single Chip vs Multiple Chips

Single chip	Multiple chips
🙂 Reliability (no bonding)	Yield (different processes)
Oliminal parasitics	Optimal process for sensors and circuits
Simple assembling	Baximal flexibility
🙁 Yield (different failure mechanisms)	Technology scaling
🙁 Optimal process only for circuits	🙁 Reliability (bonding wires)
🙁 Reduced flexibility	Additional interconnection parasitics
🙁 Technology scaling	🙁 Complex assembling

The two-chip approach turned out to be the winning solution for MEMS

Integrated Microsystems — Assembling

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory

Integrated Microsystems — Six-Axis Inertial Sensor

Integrated Microsystems — Architecture

Integrated Microsystems — Analog Front-End Circuits

■ Sensor readout → Depends on the sensor output quantity

- 📕 Charge 🗲 Charge amplifier
- Capacitance variation
 Capcitance-to-voltage converter

Key design issues

Offset and noise → Chopper stabilization or correlated-double sampling are often used
 Parasitics and parasitic effects → Try to compensate for them as early as possible in the processing chain (eventually using feedback)

Capcitance-to-voltage converter

- **T**iny capacitance variations $\Rightarrow \Delta C$ in the aF range
- Large capacitances → C(0) in the pF range

Integrated Microsystems — Capacitance-to-Voltage Converter

Single-ended structure \Rightarrow C₁ = C₀ + Δ C and C₂ = C₀ Differential structure \Rightarrow C₁ = C₀ + Δ C and C₂ = C₀ - Δ C

Integrated Microsystems — Capacitance-to-Voltage Converter

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{CK} = \mathbf{1}, \ \overline{\mathsf{CK}} = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{Q}_{1,\mathsf{CK}} = \mathsf{C}_{1}\mathsf{V}, \ \mathsf{Q}_{2,\mathsf{CK}} = -\mathsf{C}_{2}\mathsf{V}, \ \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{F},\mathsf{CK}} = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{O}} = \mathbf{0} \end{array} \\ \hline \mathbf{CK} = \mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{CK} = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{Q}_{1,\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathsf{Q}_{2,\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{F},\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} = \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{0}} \\ & \mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{O}} \mathsf{A} \text{ is isolated } \Rightarrow \mathsf{Q}_{1,\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} + \mathsf{Q}_{2,\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{F},\overline{\mathsf{CK}}} = \mathsf{Q}_{1,\mathsf{CK}} + \mathsf{Q}_{2,\mathsf{CK}} + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{F},\mathsf{CK}} \Rightarrow \mathsf{C}_{1}\mathsf{V} - \mathsf{C}_{2}\mathsf{V} = \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{O}} \\ & \mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{O}} = \frac{\mathsf{C}_{1}\mathsf{V} - \mathsf{C}_{2}\mathsf{V}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}} \\ \hline \mathsf{Single-ended \ structure} \Rightarrow \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{O}} = \frac{\mathsf{C}_{0} + \Delta\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{C}_{0}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}} \mathsf{V} = \frac{\Delta\mathsf{C}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}}\mathsf{V} \\ \hline \mathsf{Differential \ structure} \Rightarrow \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{O}} = \frac{\mathsf{C}_{0} + \Delta\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{C}_{0} + \Delta\mathsf{C}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}} \mathsf{V} = \frac{2\Delta\mathsf{C}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{F}}}\mathsf{V} \\ \hline \mathsf{Typically \ implemented \ with \ fully-differential \ structure} \end{array}$$

Integrated Microsystems — Capacitance-to-Voltage Converter

University of Pavia

Integrated Microsystems — A/D Converters

Integrated Microsystems — Sigma-Delta Modulators ($\Sigma \Delta Ms$)

Integrated Microsystems — Sigma-Delta Modulators ($\Sigma \Delta Ms$)

Integrated Microsystems — Second-Order $\Sigma \Delta M$

Integrated Microsystems — Incremental A/D Converter

Reset at the beginning of each conversione cycle $\rightarrow U(0) = 0$ N-bit resolution $\rightarrow 2^{N}$ clock periods $\rightarrow U(k+1) = U(k) + \left[IN - (-1)^{Q(k)+1} \Delta/2\right]$ OUT = $2^{N+1}IN/\Delta$

Ο ΟΤ ΣΔΜ

- ❷ Relaxed operational amplifier bandwidth requirements → Low power consumption
 - **9** Sampling at the input of the quantizer \rightarrow Inherent antialiasing filtering
- Example \rightarrow Third-order, single-loop, multi-bit CT $\Sigma \Delta M$ [3, 4, 5]
- Designed for minimizing power consumption (P) and maximizing dynamic range (DR)

 - \odot Multi-bit quantizer (15 levels) \Rightarrow Reduced jitter sensitivity and quantization noise
 - $m{ \odot }$ DAC with three-level current-steering elements ightarrow Reduced noise at low input signal levels
 - ${f \Theta}$ Third-order loop filter with only two operational amplifiers ${m
 ightarrow}$ Reduced power consumption
- **Target** \rightarrow DR > 100 dB and P < 0.5 mW
 - Among best-in-class A/D converters for MEMS applications

University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory

University of Pavia

780 µm

University of Pavia

Sensors and Microsystems Laboratory

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Microsensors and MEMS
 - Available Materials
 - Fabrication Process
 - Sensing and Actuation
 - Inertial Sensors
- **3** Integrated Microsystems
 - Architecture
 - Analog Front-End Circuits
 - A/D Converters

4 Conclusions

Conclusions

Crucial aspects in microsystem design

Concurrent design of MEMS device, front-end circuits and package

Choice of microsystem partitioning ightarrow Number of chips, analog/digital boundary

Open issues and trends in microsystem design

- Testing and calibration involving physical quantities
 Contributes significantly to the microsystem cost
- Increased accuracy → Can enable many new applications
- Multiple sensors and data fusion ightarrow Neural networks and deep learning

Conclusions

