

#### MEG II実験陽電子タイミングカウンターの 位置較正及び時間分解能に与える影響の評価

Alignment and Effect Evaluation on the timing resolution of Positron Timing Counter in the MEG II Experiment

2020 / 2 / 16

第26回 ICEPP シンポジウム @ 志賀レークホテル

米本拓(ICEPP,東京大学)



#### Contents

- Introduction
- MEG II experiment
- Pixelated Timing Counter
- pTC alignment
- Alignment
- Instruments
- Analysis flow
- Scan data
- Results
- Conclusion

- MC study
- TOF from reconstructed track
- Results
- Conclusion
- Summary & Prospect

#### Contents

- Introduction
- MEG II experiment
- Pixelated Timing Counter
- pTC alignment
- Alignment
- Instruments
- Analysis flow
- Scan data
- Results
- Conclusion

- MC study
- TOF from reconstructed track
- Results
- Conclusion
- Summary & Prospect

## MEG II experiment

- Upgrade of the MEG experiment
- The search for  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \gamma$  (cLFV)  $\mu^+$ : most intense beam at PSI (10<sup>8</sup>  $\mu^+$ /s)
  - $\gamma~$  : detected by LXe
  - $e^+$ : bent by COBRA magnet,
    - detected by pTC & CDCH
- expected sensitivity:

 $\mathcal{B}(\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \gamma) \sim 6 \times 10^{-14}$ 



### MEG II - Pixelated Timing Counter



- a highly segmented (256 tiles  $\times$  2) scintillation counter, consists of two semi-cylindrical super-modules.
- 120mm × 40mm (50mm) × 5mm plastic scintillator (BC422).
- read by 6 SiPMs on each PCB attached to both side of the scintillator.
- overall time resolution  $\sim 38 \text{ ps}$  assuming 9 hits (average hits for signal e<sup>+</sup>)

## pTC alignment

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

e<sup>+</sup> trajectory

Real values of pixel positions are critical to

- $e^+$  detection efficiency
- Time-of-Flight
- for a first pixel  $\rightarrow$  global timing
- among hit pixels  $\rightarrow$  pTC time calibration for multiple hits of e<sup>+</sup>.

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

-R (cm)

### <u>Contents</u>

- Introduction
- MEG II experiment
- Pixelated Timing Counter
- pTC alignment
- Alignment
- Instruments
- Analysis flow
- Scan data
- Results
- Conclusion

- MC study
- TOF from reconstructed track
- Results
- Conclusion
- Summary & Prospect

### Instruments - 3D scanner

#### 3D Scanner (FARO Edge ScanArm HD)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Accuracy  $\pm 25 \mu m$
- Scan rate : 560,000 points/sec
- · Cited from https://www.faro.com/resource/faro-edge-scanarm-hd/

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Analysis flow

#### \*Every time we install TC, we will restart from 2

#### 3D scan by FARO 3D scanarm

① Scan pixels and get the following

data (✔ Mar. 2019).

- scan data
- reference points

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Laser survey by Leica laser tracker

② Survey in piE5 (**√** 3<sup>rd</sup> Sep. 2019).

• reference points in global coordinates

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

Calculate transform matrix by reference points

(3) 3D scanner coordinates  $\rightarrow$  global coordinates.

Designed position in global coordinates (now used in MEG II software)

Get counter positions in global coordinates

(4) Measure deviations from designed position.

#### Scan data - overview

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Scan data are available as an array of (x,y,z) points
- Data is lacking because the light of 3D scanner could not reach
- 77 pixels from 512 pixels are excluded from analysis due to

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

x:y:z

good

0 -10-20-30-40-50-60-70-80-90100 90 80 70 60

30-20-

### Scan data - handling

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Designed angles and center position are used for translating pixels to local x-y-z
- Estimated center of pixel is calculated:  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_{max} + x_{min})/2 \\ (y_{max} + y_{min})/2 \\ (z_{max} + z_{min})/2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Top side widths are used as parameters for data selection.

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Results of alignment

- Mean value of deviations are in 1.1mm
- All  $\sigma$  of deviations are  $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$
- All the deviations are in  $\sim 5 \text{ mm}$

value	dx (US)	dy (US)	dz (US)	dx (DS)	dy (DS)	dz (DS)
mean	0.15 mm	0.65 mm	1.1 mm	-0.35 mm	0.43 mm	-0.33 mm
Std_dev	0.75 mm	0.86 mm	0.88 mm	1.0 mm	1.1 mm	1.2 mm

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Conclusion of alignment

- The results contain effects of alignment, construction and installation of pTC.
- Accuracy of this alignment can be estimated from  $\sigma \sim 1 \text{ mm} > \sigma_{alignment}$

\* Outlier

- Pixels which show larger deviations than 3mm are visually confirmed to be deviated  $(\rightarrow)$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Contents

- Introduction
- MEG II experiment
- Pixelated Timing Counter
- pTC alignment
- Alignment
- Instrumentes
- Analysis flow
- Scan data
- Results
- Conclusion

- MC study
- TOF from reconstructed track
- Results
- Conclusion
- Summary & Prospect

#### TOF from reconstructed track

- Geometry changes affect on reconstructed track and Time of Flight (TOF) of particles.
- 1mm difference makes 3 ps difference for particle at light speed.
- TOF of background e<sup>+</sup> is used for TC time calibration.

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

• In the past, no study on effects by geometrical deviations

 $\rightarrow$  MC study for deviated geometry

- 3 types of TC geometry configuration
- 1. Designed geometry (No deviations)
- 2. Geometry with random deviations  $(0 \sim 5 \text{mm})$
- 3. Geometry with measured deviations from alignment

# Results (1) No deviations

- Designed geometry
- Reconstruction with the same geometry
- Red: TOF from MC Truth

Blue: TOF from reconstructed track

 $\rightarrow$  Well reconstructed

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Results (2) Random deviations

Entries

- Randomly deviated from design
  - $(|dx_i| < 5 \text{ mm})$ Red: TOF from MC Truth
- Reconstruction with 2 types of geometry
  1. Adjusted geometry (Green)
  - 2. Design geometry (Blue)
  - $\rightarrow$  Well reconstructed by the adjusted geometry

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Results (3) Deviations from alignment

Entri

Deviated with measured value from •

3D scan

- **Red**: TOF from MC Truth •
- Reconstruction with 2 types of geometry ٠

1. Adjusted geometry (Green)

- 2. Design geometry (Blue)
- $\rightarrow$  Well reconstructed by the adjusted geometry except for pattern 1.

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Conclusion of MC study

- If every pixel has randomized 5mm deviation, the TOF distribution is apparently changed.
- On the other hand, measured deviations seems not affect so much.
- $\rightarrow$  Pixels with large deviations are rarely hit?
- TOF changes can be properly calculated with precise alignment.
- $\rightarrow$  Track reconstruction can be corrected by alignment.

#### Summary

- Alignment for pixelated timing counter was done in 1mm accuracy with 3D scanner and laser tracker.
- The present alignment can improve track reconstruction.

#### Prospect

- Develop a method to measure direction angles for each pixel.
- More study for geometry change effects on event reconstruction.

# Back up

#### $Mu^+ \rightarrow E^+ + Gamma \ decay$

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

(a)  $\mu^+ \to e^+ \gamma$ (b)  $\mu^+ \to e^+ \nu_{\mu} \nu_{e}$  (Michel decay)  $\gamma$  (photon from RMD, bremsstrahlung or AIF) ()  $\mu^+ \to e^+ \nu_{\mu} \nu_{e}$  (D  $\mu^+ \mu^+ \nu_{e}$ )

(c)  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_{\mu} \nu_e \gamma$  (Radiative Muon Decay (RMD))

In order to distinguish signal events from these background expected 4 times more than MEG, resolution of each detector should be totally upgraded.

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Results (4) TC timing calibration

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Y indicates: (calibration result) – (MCtrue time offset)

Black: reconstruction with designed geometryRed://with adjusted geometry

• Timing calibration is improved by adjusting geometry for (2), but not for (3)

## Effect on pTC time resolution

- Standard setup 4cm pixel: 27.3 ps 5cm pixel: 35.1 ps
- Random deviations ( < 5mm)

default analysis (not know the deviations) – 4cm pixel: 27.1 ps 5cm pixel: 35.0 ps

custom analysis (know the deviations) – 4cm pixel: 27.1 ps 5cm pixel: 35.0 ps