

dCache and QoS

Paul Millar
(on behalf of the dCache team)

DOMA-QoS workshop 2020 at CERN; 2020-02-07

https://indico.cern.ch/event/873367/



QoS REST support in dCache

- Support for controlling a file's QoS through the REST API
 - One file may be changed at a time.
 - INDICO-DataCloud CDMI interface has a plugin to drive this REST API.
 - Elements of the REST API have been implemented by EOS, allowing the same plugin to target either dCache or EOS.
- The REST API allows the client to introspect available QoS classes and metadata about them.
- dCache supports three QoS classes: DISK, TAPE and DISK_AND_TAPE



Bulk operations

Improving REST API to support bulk operations

- One benefit of SRM is a single request can target multiple files.
- This is currently not possible with dCache's REST API.
 - Identified as a significant limitation by euXFEL users.
- We are adding bulk operations.
- API is documented here:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/14sdrRmJts5JYBFKSvedKCxT1tcrWtWchR-PJhxdunT8/edit?usp=sharing

The API is not fixed and may change as we gain experience.

Bulk operations: creating a request

Make a bulk request by issuing a POST operation to the endpoint:

```
curl -X POST d '{...}' https://dcache.example.org/api/v1/bulk-requests
```

- The response contains the location of a URL representing this request.
- There will be some authorisation: not all users may make bulk operations.
- Clients may have multiple requests concurrently.

But there will be a limit.

Bulk operations: interacting with bulk operations

- Clients can use the returned URL to interact with the request once they are made:
 - A GET request to learn the current status,
 - A PATCH request to cancel the bulk operation,
 - A DELETE request to clear the bulk operation (canceling if not already finished).
- Currently the API requires clients to poll for the current status.
 - Subsequent update will add support for storage events.

Bulk operations: targets and activity

- Client has different options when specifying targets:
 - The request could target a list of files (SRM style),
 - One (or more) directories targeting the immediate children,
 - One (or more) directories with full recursion.
- Various activities will be supported:
 - A single bulk-operation request has a single activity.
 - Deleting, pinning and changing QoS are three examples of activities.



Storage Events

Existing support for QoS storage events

- In Kafka, events are generated when a pool writes data to tape, and reads data back from tape.
- In SSE, the inotify support will generate the IN_ATTRIB event whenever the QoS changes.
- Problems with these approaches:
 - Kafka events require site deployment and configuration.
 - Inotify targets individual directories (not recursive) and, in general, contains no metadata. IN_ATTRIB says "something" about a file has changed, which might be the file's QoS.

Improvements for storage events QoS

- Add QoS notification (via SSE) to describe QoS events.
 - Would potentially see QoS events of all files, not limited to a directory.
 - Contain metadata describing what just happened.
- Add data loss notification (via SSE and Kafka).
 - Allow Rucio to learn when data is lost
 - Reduce the operational cost of using unreliable/opportunistic storage.
 - Already possible with weird configuration, publishing to Kafka.
- Add bulk-request notification.
 - Monitor the progress without polling.



QoS classes

Existing support for different QoS classes:

- Currently can bind different pools to different portions of the namespace.
 These pools can have different QoS
 - For example, configure some pools to store data on SSD and bind these pools to the /data/ssd directory.
 - Similar to how dCache may be configured so data written into /data/tape is written to tape.
- QoS transitions would involve an external agent (Rucio + FTS) copying data from one portion of the namespace to another.
 - Optionally, can delete the old file once the new file is created.
- Exploring this option through ESCAPE project, as a proof-of-principle.



Providing richer per-file QoS choice

- Currently can choose between DISK and TAPE
- We will extend the per-file QoS choices.
- Use pool tags (key-value pairs) to define media and other characteristics.
- Use admin-defined policies to drive data locality (within dCache).
- Open question whether QoS classes may be composed:
 - QoS DISK and QoS TAPE vs QoS DISK_AND_TAPE

Conclusions

- Many things are already possible, but with weird configuration.
 - Working to make weird configuration main-stream, and put these choices in the hands of users.
- Bulk REST API to allow bulk operation transitions to scale.
- Storage events to avoid polling.
- Improving single-file QoS options.



Thanks for listening!