



Erasure Coding & QoS features

Mihai Patrascoiu on behalf of the EOS team



EOS Storage Properties

Layout – specifies how a file will be physically stored

plain, replica, raiddp, raid6 (n, k), archive (n, 3)

- Number of replicas
- Checksum md5sum, adler32, crc32, crc32c, sha1
- Block Size + Block Checksum
- Placement policy physical file placement on disk servers

scattered, gathered:<geotag>, hybrid:<geotag>

Placement documentation: File Geoscheduling



EOS Storage @ CERN

- 17 instances (5 LHC, 9 CERNBox, Media, Public, Backup)
- 340 PB / 5.67B files / 1600 storage nodes
- Production setup uses 2-replica layout



Storage size

EOS Workshop 2020: CERN Disk Storage Services



Erasure Coding

- Way of encoding data into blocks + redundancy info, to be stored in different locations or storage media
- Files are split into data and parity blocks: EC(k+p, k)
- EC provides resilience and high availability at the cost of more IOPS and bandwidth
- Available in EOS via the *raid6* layout (using jerasure lib)



EOSALICEDAQ

- EOSALICEDAQ conversion experiment
- Conversion from 2-replica to RS(12, 10) [12 blocks, 2 parity, md5sum]
- Duration: 84 hours (600 TB / day encoded)
- Encoding of 2.4PB data \rightarrow 2PB space saved

Andreas Peters - CHEP 2019: Erasure Encoding



EOSALICEDAQ – extrapolation

• 69 machines, 10 Gbit Ethernet, saturated reading 7GB/s + writing 7GB/s

- 1000 machines ~ RS(10,8) encode 8 PB / day @ 100GB/s reading
- 10% only as background activity \rightarrow 800 TB / day of free space

 \rightarrow 24PB / month

Andreas Peters - CHEP 2019: Erasure Encoding







Erasure Coding in EOS

CHEP 2019



Erasure Encoding – conclusions

- Erasure Coding ideal for large files
- EC implies increased network traffic (read+write amplification)
- EC brings increased read latency for non-specialized clients
- Remains to test various EC parity choices

Andreas Peters - CHEP 2019: Erasure Encoding



QoS data management

Accommodate different use-cases with storage policies that can achieve the cheapest solution

- Storage policy according to system rules or user-defined
- Leverage storage properties and QoS mechanisms



Storage policies – examples

- Store files in replica or erasure encoding format
 - Store only files unused for 6 months in EC
 - Store only files unused for 6 months and larger than 5GB in EC
- Transition to tape if inactive for # months







QoS development and future directions





QoS classes in EOS

- Abstraction entity over existing storage properties
- QoS API must allow classes to be:
 - Discoverable
 - Configurable
 - User applicable on a per file/directory basis
- Transitioning is supported between QoS classes



How do QoS classes work?



- A QoS class configures the following properties
 - Layout

- Checksum type
- # Stripes
 - Placement type
- A QoS class provides guarantees E.g.: redundancy level, geolocation
- QoS transitions from one class to another must be explicitly allowed
 E.g: disk → tape, tape → disk+tape, tape → disk





How do QoS classes work? (cont'd)



File QoS class deduced at runtime Extended attribute for mid-transition: *user.eos.qos.target*

- QoS class applied to directory → propagates to files assigned in that directory
- Opaque info with desired QOS on RW-Open



QoS overview









Converter Engine

- Rewrite of the converter daemon
- One single converter instead of one per space
- Converts files from one layout/QoS class to another using ThirdPartyCopy





Converter Engine (cont'd)



- Persistent conversion jobs storage by using QuarkDB
- Jobs are fetched in batches
- Runtime scalable threadpool
- Interact via new eos convert command



```
$ eos convert status
Threadpool: thread_pool=converter_engine min=16 max=400
size=16 queue_size=82
Running jobs: 100
Pending jobs: 176
Failed jobs: 0
Failed jobs: 0
Failed jobs (QDB): 2
```

\$ eos -j convert file /eos/xdc/test/file replica:4 adler32
<=> eos -j qos set /eos/xdc/test/file qos_disk_replica_4



```
DOMA-QoS Workshop
7<sup>th</sup> February 2020
```

Converter Engine – improvements



- Allow directory/bulk conversions
- Support periodic conversion rules on directories
- Testing at scale





CDMI – EOS interaction



- Achieved through CDMI QoS plugin for EOS backend
- Calls EOS HTTP interface for commands
- Requires trusted connection between CDMI server & EOS
 - Support for bearer tokens in sight (follow TPC AuthN discussion)

CDMI QoS plugin for EOS backend (repository)



CDMI – EOS interaction



21



CDMI QoS plugin for EOS backend (repository)



Conclusions

- EOS flexible enough to accommodate storage QoS
- Best storage policies have to be identified
- EC promising solution for storage space savings
- EC would require agreement and coordination with experiments
- CDMI gateway ready, in order to provide common QoS interface



Thank you for your time!

Icons: Computer Network Icons collection - openclipart.org







[Backup] Structure of a QoS class

Name	
Transitions : [qos_class, qos_class,]	Mandatory
Metadata: { expected_redundancy,	fields
expected_latency,	
<pre>expected_geolocation: [geotag,] }</pre>	
Attributes: { layout_type,	1
nstripes,	QoS property
checksum_type,	map
<pre>placement_type }</pre>	EOS specific

Structure compatible with INDIGO CDMI QoS specification



[Backup] QoS class example



```
"name": "disk_plain",
"transition": [ "disk_replica" ],
"metadata": {
    "cdmi_data_redundancy_provided": 0,
    "cdmi_geographic_placement_provided":
    [ "CH" ],
    "cdmi_latency_provided": 75
},
```

```
"attributes": {
    "layout": "plain",
    "replica": 1,
    "checksum": "adler32",
    "placement": "scattered"
}
```



[Backup] EOS CLI to HTTP

- CLI commands are serialized as Open call to /proc/user/ or /proc/admin/
- Command arguments are passed as CGI

eos qos get /path/to/file <=>
open /proc/user/?mgm.cmd=qos&mgm.subcmd=get&mgm.path="/path/to/file"

• Command response is serialized in 3 main groups

mgm.proc.stdout=<string>&mgm.proc.stderr=<string>&
mgm.proc.retc=<integer>

