# On-shell Methods for ttbar + jets with Polarization

Andrew Larkoski SLAC, Stanford University with M. Peskin, to appear

TOOLS 2010, June 29, 2010

- Physics to be done during first run of LHC:
  - Ambitious: SUSY, Higgs
  - Guaranteed: Study the top quark
- May be possible to measure anomalous magnetic moment of top
  - Large anomalous magnetic moment = top compositeness
    - Hints of extra dimensions?

- To study anomalous magnetic moment, consider most general QED fermionphoton vertex
- Leads to introduction of:

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{a}{2m} \bar{\psi} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \psi$$

- Can add in a non-Abelian theory, too
- We will cite results in color-ordered, non-Abelian gauge theory

- History: prospects of discovering anomalous magnetic moment at hadron colliders discussed in 1994! Rizzo, 1994
- Did everything that could be done with anomalous magnetic moment at hadron colliders at 5 points
  - Sufficient at Tevatron
  - Need more at LHC!

- Top could be boosted at LHC and radiate many gluons
  - Necessary to consider amplitudes with many points
- Very inefficient to use Feynman diagrams: No. of Feynman Diagrams  $\sim 2^n n!$ 
  - Need a better way!
  - We will use BCFW recursion

- Lore: BCFW recursion works for theories with very good behavior
  - "Need" renormalizability or even finiteness
  - e.g., N = 4 supersymmetric theories
- This theory is explicitly non-renormalizable so we naively don't expect BCFW to work

### Outline

- Digression into spinor helicity formalism
- Introduce/remind about on-shell recursive method of Britto, Cachazo, Feng and Witten
- Recursion Relation in theory with an anomalous magnetic moment
- Introduce auxiliary theory to compute remaining amplitudes with BCFW
- Conclusions

# A Brief Digression: Spinor Helicity

• We can represent any null four-vector as a rank one matrix:

$$p_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \equiv p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

• Can construct Lorentz invariants by contracting matrix indices:

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{i}_{\alpha}\lambda^{j}_{\beta} = \langle ij\rangle \qquad \qquad \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}^{i}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}^{j}_{\dot{\beta}} = [ij]$$

• Momentum invariants:

$$s_{ij} = \langle ij \rangle [ji] = \langle iji]$$

# A Brief Digression: Spinor Helicity

- Some examples:
  - Gauge boson polarization vectors:

$$\epsilon^+_{\mu}(p) = \frac{\langle q\gamma_{\mu}p]}{\sqrt{2}\langle qp\rangle} \qquad \quad \epsilon^-_{\mu}(p) = -\frac{[q\gamma_{\mu}p\rangle}{\sqrt{2}[qp]}$$

• Four point pure Yang-Mills amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}(1^+, 2^-, 3^+, 4^-) = ig^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}$$

- BCFW is an on-shell recursive method Britto, et al., 2005
- Idea: choose two particles and shift their momenta:

$$p_i \to p_i - zq$$
$$p_j \to p_j + zq$$

- To stay on-shell:  $q^2 = p_i \cdot q = p_j \cdot q = 0$
- For massless particles:  $i\rangle \rightarrow i\rangle - z \ j\rangle, \qquad i] \rightarrow i]$  $j\rangle \rightarrow j\rangle, \qquad j] \rightarrow j] + z \ i]$

• Consider the object:

$$\oint \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{A}(z)$$

•  $\mathcal{A}(0) =$ undeformed amplitude

• If 
$$\mathcal{A}(z) \to 0$$
 as  $z \to \infty$ :  
 $\oint \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{A}(z) = 0$ 

• Can use Cauchy's Theorem!



# Poles when intermediate propagators go on-shell



**BCFW Recursion Relation:** 



#### **BCFW Recursion Review**

• Requirement for BCFW recursion:

$$\mathcal{A}(z) \to 0 \ \text{as} \ z \to \infty$$

- Increased efficiency over Feynman diagrams
- Used in QCD, gravity, supersymmetry
- Question: Are amplitudes in a theory with an anomalous magnetic moment BCFW constructible?

- How do amplitudes behave when gluons are shifted?
  - Shifting massless particles maintains Lorentz invariance
- Consider four possible gluon shifts depending on helicity:



- Fermion-gluon vertex ~ constant in z
- Fermion propagator ~ constant
- z dependence of polarizations depend on helicity



- Naively, bad behavior for large z!
- Large z dependence is actually better by considering product of fermion propagator and a ≠ 0 vertex

 By considering the structure of a general Feynman diagram, one can show



 Moral: Cannot use BCFW on amplitudes with all + or all - gluons!

- Reminder: in pure a = 0 QCD need at least one + and one - helicity gluon
  - Maximally Helicity Violating (MHV) amplitude with minimum number of - helicity gluons
- Adding an anomalous magnetic moment changes MHV amplitude to that with no - helicity gluons!

Example: Massless four point amplitudes at order a<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{A}(q^+, g_1^+, g_2^+, \bar{q}^+) = \frac{[12]^2}{\langle q\bar{q} \rangle}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(q^-, g_1^-, g_2^+, \bar{q}^-) = -\frac{\langle q1 \rangle^2 \langle \bar{q}1 \rangle^2}{\langle q1 \rangle \langle 12 \rangle \langle 2\bar{q} \rangle}$$

- Shift:  $1] \rightarrow 1] + z 2] \qquad 2 \rangle \rightarrow 2 \rangle z 1 \rangle$
- Can't use BCFW on first amplitude!

• How can we describe the all + amplitude?

• 
$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

- First term: self-dual component, couples to left handed fermion
- Second term: anti-self-dual, couples to right handed fermion
- For all + helicity gluons:  $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$
- Can integrate out right handed component!

• The result:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{m} \bar{u}^{\dagger} \left[ -D^2 - m^2 + \frac{g}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] u$$

- An effective description of top's anomalous magnetic moment
- Exact description when:

• All gluons have + helicity!

• What if 
$$g = 2$$
?

• "Square" Dirac equation:

 $(i\not\!\!D + m)(i\not\!\!D - m)\psi = (-D^2 - m^2 + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})\psi$ 

- Coefficient of spin term is g/2
- Left and right handed components are decoupled!
- Arises when computing functional determinants in background field gauge

- Computing amplitudes in scalar theory:
  - Treat external fermions like scalars and compute amplitudes with  $\int \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[ -D^2 m^2 + \frac{g}{2\pi} \sigma F^{\mu\nu} \right] u$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{m} \bar{u}^{\dagger} \left[ -D^2 - m^2 + \frac{g}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] u$$

- Project onto fermion line by multiplying by  $\bar{u}^{\dagger}$  on the left and u on the right
  - Gives exact results if g = 2
    - Even with massless fermions!

- Example: 4 point amplitude in massless
   QCD
  - Scalar amplitude is:  $\mathcal{A}(1, 2^+, 3^-, 4) = -\frac{\langle 312]^2}{\langle 212] \langle 232]} - \frac{\langle 312]}{\langle 212] [23]} 2][2$
  - Multiply by  $[1 \text{ on the left and } \frac{s]}{[4s]}$  on the right
  - Result:

$$\mathcal{A}(1^+, 2^+, 3^-, 4^-) = \frac{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle^3}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}$$

- Can we use BCFW in this theory?
  - Surprisingly, yes!
  - Can show that shifting on "scalar" and non-adjacent gluon is OK



- Review: Computing amplitudes with BCFW
- If an amplitude has at least one and one + helicity gluon, use BCFW in fermion theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\not\!\!D - m)\psi + \frac{a}{2m}\bar{\psi}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\psi$$

 If amplitude has only + or - helicity gluons use BCFW in scalar theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{m} \bar{\psi} \left[ -D^2 - m^2 + \frac{g}{2} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] \psi$$

Aside-Integrate out right-handed components:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{u}^{\dagger} \left[ -m + \frac{a}{m} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \sigma \cdot D \frac{1}{m - \frac{a}{m} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}} \bar{\sigma} \cdot D \right] u$$

- This is an exact description of anomalous magnetic moment
- As a phenomenological model, can introduce operators into original theory to remove terms from Taylor expansion

#### Conclusions

- We will learn a lot about the top quark during the first run of the LHC
- With enough tops produced, the anomalous magnetic moment could be measured
- At LHC, need to consider processes when the top radiates many gluons
- BCFW is an efficient and effective tool for computing amplitudes in this theory

#### Conclusions

- Ability to use BCFW in this theory is surprising
- Some effort to categorize all theories that are BCFW constructible Elvang, 2010
  - Only considered renormalizable operators
- Can more non-renormalizable theories be found that have an unexpected BCFW recursion relation?