Discussion on NNLO+PS



Silvia Ferrario Ravasio, Pier Monni, Stefan Prestel, Emanuele Re, Peter Richardson





- Some general information:

 - ► 1. NNLO+PS
 - > 2. PS accuracy (continued) discussion on higher order kernels
 - ▶ 3. Non perturbative & MPI effects

After ~1h we'll split into 3 discussion rooms (additional links on Slack)



What do we want the NNLO+PS to achieve ?

- (unitarity of PS evolution for inclusive observables ?)
- ▶ e.g. NNLOPS \neq NNLO sometimes for total XS (due to scale setting + mappings/recoil). Is this an acceptable feature?
- treat the matching in these regions?

Fully differential NNLO for observables differential in Born phase space

subheading terms) and rapidity distribution of a colour singlet (scales and

• e.g. some corners of Born phase space can be more affected by PS radiation than others (large vs. central absolute rapidities). How different methods





What do we want the NNLO+PS to achieve ?

Preserve the accuracy of PS simulation (can current methods be adopted for a NLL PS ?)

• What aspects of the matching are relevant for PS accuracy (mappings, merging of multiplicities, ordering variables, ...)?



- Scale settings in singular & non-singular (regular) terms of the MEs
- Different methods rely on different choices:
- Any advantage/disadvantage ?
- NNLO subtraction (or slicing) in different methods. Advantages and disadvantages ?



- Treatment of NP region and interplay with hadronisation models ?
- What to vary for a reliable uncertainty estimate ?

Should the PS be re-tuned ? Any extra NP parameters in the matching ?

