Advanced **Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors** with full CMOS capability for tracking, vertexing and calorimetry

Marcel Stanitzki STFC-Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



for the SPiDeR collaboration



Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Imperial College London



Introduction

- SPiDeR = Silicon Pixel Detector R&D
- UK-centered Collaboration
 - generic CMOS Pixel R&D for future Colliders
 - Birmingham, Bristol, Imperial College, Oxford and RAL
 - recently Queen Mary College joined
- Develop CMOS Sensors to address requirements for future colliders
 - Granularity
 - Speed
 - Power
 - Material budget

The INMAPS Process

INMAPS features

- Standard CMOS Process
 - 180 nm
 - 6 metal layers
 - Precision passive components (R/C)
 - Low leakage diodes
 - 5/12/18 µm epitaxial layers
- Added features for INMAPS
 - Deep p-well
 - High resistivity epitaxial layer
 - 4T structures
 - Stitching

Deep p-well implants

- Eliminate parasitic charge collection by PMOS
 - Allow full CMOS in-pixel electronics

High resistivity Epi-layers

- Charge collection by diffusion in epitaxial layer
 - slow
 - radiation-soft
- Depletion width (µm) INMAPS on high-res Epi
- Potential benefits
 - Faster charge collection
 - Reduced charge spread
 - Increased Radiation hardness

Marcel Stanitzki

4T Pixels

• 3T MAPS

 Readout and charge collection area are the same

• 4T MAPS

- 3 additional elements
- Readout and charge collection area are at different points
- Benefits
 - Low Noise & in-pixel CDS
 - High Gain

STFC Centre for Instrumentation funded Fortis 1.0/1.1 as a technology prototype (see later)

Stitched Sensors

- Standard CMOS limited to ~ 2.5 x 2.5 cm²
- Technique relatively new to CMOS
 - Stitching offered by some foundries
 - Allows wafer-scale sensors
- Example Sensor
 - LAS (For imaging)
 - Designed at RAL
 - 5.4x5.4 cm²

Sensors & Results

Sensor Overview

The TPAC 1.2 Sensor

- 8.2 million transistors
 - 28224 pixels , 50 x 50 μ m
- Sensitive area 79.4 mm²
 - of which 11.1% "dead" (logic)
- Four columns of logic + SRAM
 - Logic columns serve 42 pixels
 - Record hit locations & timestamps
 - Sparsification on chip
- Data readout
 - Slow (<5Mhz)
 - 30 bit parallel data output
- Developed for
 - Digital ECAL as Particle Counter

LOGIC

SRAM

Marcel Stanitzki

42 PIXI

۲

TPAC Architecture Details

- 4 diodes
- 1 resistor (4 M Ω)
- Configuration SRAM & Mask
- Comparator trim (6 bits)
- Predicted Performance
 - Gain 94 μV/e
 - Noise 23 e⁻
 - Power 8.9 μW

TPAC 1.X Results

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

% total signal

- Using ⁵⁵Fe sources and IR lasers
 - Using the test pixels (analog output)
 - IR laser shows impact of deep p-well implant

Profile B; through cell

Profile F; through cell

Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Marcel Stanitzki

GDS+DPW

GDS-DPW

-Real+DPW

→×−real-DPW

⁵⁵Fe Spectrum with TPAC 1.2

- Using testpixels with analog out
- Powerful ⁵⁵Fe source
- Take 100k samples per sensor

⁵⁵Fe Spectrum with TPAC 1.2

- ⁵⁵Fe source
 - Deep p-well
 - High -res
- Separation of $K_{_{\!\!\!\!\alpha}}$ and $K_{_{\!\!\!\beta}}$
- Hi-res sensor works

Marcel Stanitzki

Common Testbeam setup

TPAC 1.2 Testbeam at DESY

TPAC 1.2 Testbeam

- Online plots
- 6 sensors (1 non deep p-well)

X-X correlation plot for two layers (back-to-back)

Hits in time with Scintillator hits

TPAC Testbeam Results

- No absorbers
- Due to use of in-pixel PMOS transistors, standard CMOS sensors have low efficiency
- Deep P-well shields Nwells and raises efficiency by factor ~5
- Adding high-resistivity epitaxial layer makes further improvement with resulting efficiency close to 100%

Fortis

- Test sensor to evaluate 4T for tracking/vertexing
 - Simple readout architecture
 - Analog output
- 12/13 variants of pixels for Fortis 1.0/1.1
 - Size of source follower
 - size of the collecting diode
 - Pitch (6- 45 µm)
 - Combined diodes at floating diffusion node
- Made also on high-res substrate

Results with Fortis 1.x

- Noise Measurements
- Photon Transfer Curve technique
 - Average noise: 4.5 e⁻
 - Gain 65 µV/e⁻
- ⁵⁵Fe source:
 - Gain 56 µV/e⁻
 - Noise 7.7 e⁻ using all pixels

Marcel Stanitzki

Testbeam at CERN

- Test at CERN SPS in June 2010
 - 120 GeV Pions
- Taking advantage of EUDET telescope

Marcel Stanitzki

First Fortis Test beam results

Standard CMOS C1 variant

C variants have 15 µm pitch and different source follower transistor variants

Cont'd

Pixel Variants C1-C4

CHERWELL

- Using 4T + INMAPS + highres
- New ideas
 - Embedded electronics "Islands"
 - Strixels (share electronics for one column)
- Two iterations
 - CHERWELL as technology testbed
 - CHERWELL2 as final device

CHERWELL

- 4T-based chip
 - 5 x5 mm with 4 variants
 - Common backend with ADC's
- DECAL-4T (2 variants)

5mm

- Global Shutter (in-pixel storage)
- Test pixel pitch and number of diodes
- Islands & Strixels (2 variants)
 - In-pixel electronics

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

- ADC folded in column (for Strixel)
- Devices received last week

TPAC for SuperB

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Summary

- Testing of TPAC 1.2 /Fortis 1.1 approaching completion
- CHERWELL devices obtained last week
- Uncertain funding situation in the UK
 - Makes things more difficult
 - Progress has been slowed down
 - But we are still alive ...
 - Scale and number of new chips depends on future funding
- A special thanks to EUDET and the DESY testbeam crew for their support

Fortis Photon transfer curve

A photon transfer curve is a plot of the dark-corrected signal obtained from an image sensor against the noise for that signal. It is obtained via one of two methods; an intensity sweep, where the integration time is fixed and the light level/temperature is varied, or via an integration sweep, where the light level/temperature is fixed and the integration time is varied.

At least two identical images are required for each step to obtain the PTC and the mean signal and variance are taken from these two frames. The subtraction of the two frames to calculate the variance removes fixed pattern noise, leaving only read noise (which is the noise of interest

for an image sensor) and shot noise. Shot noise scales with the square root of the signal, giving a characteristic 0.5 gradient when plotted on a log-log plot, and is the basis of the photon transfer curve.

Many parameters can be extracted from a photon transfer curve to give the basic characteristics of an image sensor. The noise is taken from the y-intercept of the graph (i.e. the noise for 0 signal). The gain is taken from the x-intercept of the best t line taken from the plot, which if plotted on a log-log scale, should give the characteristic gradient of 0.5. The linear full well capacity is taken from the peak in the photon transfer curve. This is where the noise begins to reduce as the variation in signal is dampened as no more signal can be collected. The maximum full well capacity is taken as the maximum signal level which is plotted on the graph. If an integration sweep was performed, the dark current can be obtained from the gradient of the dark signal level plotted against the integration time. A result for the PTC [9] from the best pixel variant for FORTIS 1.0 is shown in Figure 6. This pixel had a very low noise of 5.8 ell, and a high conversion gain of 61.4 V/en, demonstrating the benets of the 4T pixel architect

