

*Accelerators Options for the
LHC Luminosity Upgrade:
Status after LUMI06*

***Upgrade Beam Parameters
& Integrated Luminosity***

Frank Zimmermann & Walter Scandale

topics

- LUMI'06 conclusions
- possible LHC+ bunch structures
- heat load & cooling capacity
- integrated luminosity
- 12.5 ns at lower bunch charge

APD workshop ‘LUMI 06’ (70 participants)
*Towards a Roadmap for the Upgrade of the
LHC and GSI Accelerator Complex*

IFIC, Valencia (Spain), 16-20 October 2006

→ strong synergy with US-LARP mini collaboration meeting 25-27 Oct. 2006



IR scheme, beam parameters, injector upgrade

LUMI'06 Conclusions

IR upgrade & beam parameters:


- 1) quadrupole 1st preferred over dipole 1st
- 2) pushed NbTi or Nb3Sn still pursued, or hybrid solution - **new**
- 3) slim magnets inside detector (“D0 and Q0”) – **new**
- 4) wire compensation almost established, electron lens – **new**
- 5) crab cavities: large angle rejected; small-angle – **new**
- 6) 12.5 ns strongly deprecated
- 7) e-cloud/pile-up compromise:
25-ns w $\beta^* \sim 10$ cm, or 50-ns spacing long bunches – **new**

injector upgrade:


- 1) linac4/SPL & n.c. PS2 endorsed
- 2) SPS enhancements
- 3) s.c. PS2+ challenged; e.g., e-cloud could be serious problem for injectors - **new**

Example IR Layouts


D0 dipole deep inside detector (e.g., ~3 m from IP)



Q0 doublet deep inside detector (7.5 or 13 m from IP)



bunch structures




bigger & shorter OR
more focused bunches

plus:
limited e-cloud
limited pile up

concerns:
impedance heating,
LR compensation,
aberrations

plus:
can use crab cavities
event pile up tolerable

more & shorter bunches



concerns:
e-cloud,
LRBB,
impedance
heating

longer (&fewer) bunches



plus:
no e-cloud?
less current

concerns:
event pile up
impedance

*transitions by bunch merging or splitting;
new rf systems required for cases 1 and 3*


parameter	symbol	nominal	ultimate	12.5 ns spac., short	75 ns spacing, long
transverse emittance	ϵ [μm]	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
protons per bunch	N_b [10^{11}]	1.15	1.7	1.7	6
bunch spacing	Δt [ns]	25	25	12.5	75
beam current	I [A]	0.58	0.86	1.72	1
longitudinal profile		Gauss	Gauss	Gauss	flat
rms bunch length	c [μm]	7.55	7.55	3.78	14.4
beta* at IP1&5	β^* [m]	0.55	0.5	0.25	0.25
full crossing angle	θ_c [murad]	285	315	445	430
Piwinski parameter	$\theta_c \sigma_z / (\sigma_x \sigma_y)$	0.64	0.75	0.75	2.8
peak luminosity	L [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	1	2.3	9.2	8.9
events per crossing		19	44	88	510
initial lumi lifetime	τ_L [h]	22	14	7.2	4.5
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=10$ h)	L_{eff} [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	0.46	0.91	2.7	2.1
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	21.2	17.0	12.0	9.4
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=5$ h)	L_{eff} [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	0.56	1.15	3.6	2.9
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	15.0	12.0	8.5	6.6
e-c heat SEY=1.4(1.3)	P [W/m]	1.07 (0.44)	1.04 (0.6)	13.34 (7.85)	0.26
SR heat load 4.6-20 K	P_{SR} [W/m]	0.17	0.25	0.5	0.29
image current heat	P_{IC} [W/m]	0.15	0.33	1.87	0.96
gas-s. 100 h (10 h) τ_b	P_{gas} [W/m]	0.04 (0.38)	0.06 (0.6)	0.113 (1.13)	0.07 (0.7)
comment				partial wire c.	

old upgrade parameters

parameter	symbol	ultimate	25 ns, smaller β^*	25 ns, large ϵ	50 ns, long
transverse emittance	ϵ [μm]	3.75	3.75	7.5	3.75
protons per bunch	N_b [10^{11}]	1.7	1.7	3.4	4.9
bunch spacing	Δt [ns]	25	25		50
beam current	I [A]	0.86	0.86	1.72	1.22
longitudinal profile	<i>LUMI'06 parameters</i>				
rms bunch length	σ_z [cm]	7.55	7.55	3.78	14.4
beta* at IP1&5	β^* [m]	0.5	0.08	0.25	0.25
full crossing angle	θ_c [murad]	315	100	539	381
Piwinski parameter	$\Theta_{\perp}/(\beta_x)$	0.75	0.60	0.64	2.5
peak luminosity	L [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	2.3	15.5	9.7	8.9
events per crossing		44	296		340
initial lumi lifetime	τ_L [h]	14	2.1	6.8	5.3
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=10$ h)	L_{eff} [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	0.91	2.4	2.7	2.3
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	17.0	6.5	12.0	10.3
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=5$ h)	L_{eff} [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	1.15	3.6	3.6	3.1
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	12.0	4.6	8.5	7.3
e-c heat SEY=1.4(1.3)	P [W/m]	1.04 (0.59)	1.04 (0.59)	2.56 (2.1)	0.36 (0.1)
SR heat load 4.6-20 K	P_{SR} [W/m]	0.25	0.25	0.5	0.36
image current heat	P_{IC} [W/m]	0.33	0.33	3.74	0.78
gas-s. 100 h (10 h) τ_b	P_{gas} [W/m]	0.06 (0.56)	0.06 (0.56)	0.11 (1.13)	0.09 (0.9)
comment			D0 + crab	wire comp.	wire comp.

new upgrade parameters

electron cloud in the LHC



schematic of e- cloud build up in the arc beam pipe,
due to **photoemission and secondary emission;**
electrons transfer energy from beam to chamber wall

[Courtesy F. Ruggiero]

zoom on heat load

parameter	symbol	nominal	ultimate	12.5 ns	25 ns, smaller β^*	50 ns, long
SR heat load 4.6-20 K	P_{SR} [W/m]	0.17	0.25	0.5	0.25	0.36
image current heat	P_{IC} [W/m]	0.15	0.33	1.87	0.33	0.78
total BS heat load w/o e-cloud	$P_{SR} + P_{IC}$ [W/m]	0.32	0.58	2.37	0.58	1.14
local cooling limit*	P_{cool} [W/m]	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
cooling remaining for e- cloud	$P_{cool, rest}$ [W/m]	2.08	1.82	0.03	1.82	1.26
simulated e-c heat for SEY=1.4 (1.3)	P [W/m]	1.07 (0.44)	1.04 (0.6)	13.34 (7.85)	1.04 (0.59)	0.36 (0.1)

* L. Tavian, LUMI'06

Not
OK
feasible

run time & integrated luminosity

$$\frac{1}{N_b} \frac{\Delta N_b}{\Delta t} = n_{IP} L \sigma \frac{1}{n_b} \frac{1}{N_b} + c \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)_{vac} \sigma_{vac}$$

collisions, gas scattering

$$N_b \approx \frac{N_b^0}{1 + n_{IP} L \sigma N_b^0 t / n_b} \equiv \frac{N_b^0}{1 + t / \tau}$$

intensity evolution for collisions only

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_x} \frac{\Delta \epsilon_x}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\tau_{IBS}(N_b, \epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \sigma_z, \sigma_\delta, \dots)} \propto N_b^2$$

intrabeam scattering (IBS) growth

burn-off collision lifetime with $\sigma \sim 100$ mbarn, $n_{IP} \sim 2$:

$L_{peak} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ in 2808 bunches, $N_b \sim 1.15 \times 10^{11}$:

$\tau \sim 45$ h (luminosity lifetime 22 h)

$L_{peak} = 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ in 5616 bunches, $N_b \sim 1.7 \times 10^{11}$:

$\tau \sim 14$ h (luminosity lifetime 7 h)

$\tau_{gas} > 100$ h (luminosity lifetime 50 h)

$\tau_{IBS} \sim 105$ h (horizontal emittance growth time;
luminosity lifetime 210 h)

burn-off dominates over gas scattering and IBS

$$L(t) = \frac{\hat{L}}{(1 + t / \tau_{\text{eff}})^2} \quad \text{luminosity time evolution}$$

$$L_{\text{ave}} = \frac{\hat{L} \tau_{\text{eff}} T_{\text{run}}}{(\tau_{\text{eff}} + T_{\text{run}})(T_{\text{run}} + T_{\text{turnaround}})} \quad \text{average luminosity}$$

$\rightarrow T_{\text{run,optimum}} = \sqrt{\tau_{\text{eff}} T_{\text{turnaround}}} \quad \text{opt. run time}$

$L_{\text{ave}} = \hat{L} \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}}{(\tau_{\text{eff}}^{1/2} + \tau_{\text{turnaround}}^{1/2})^2} \quad \text{opt. av. luminosity}$

effective decay time $\tau_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4\pi\beta^*\varepsilon}{n_{IP}N_b\sigma}$

L_{peak} [cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]	beam lifetime τ_{eff} [h]	$T_{\text{turnaround}}$ [h]	T_{run} [h]	Int L over 200 days [fb $^{-1}$]
10^{34}	45	10	21	79
10^{34}	45	5	15	6x 97
10^{35}	14	10	12	473
10^{35}	14	5	8	8x 629

smaller β^* allows for lower beam current in LHC, but increases events/crossing & it reduces the beam & luminosity lifetimes


zoom on decay time & integrated luminosity for various options

parameter	symbol	nominal	ultimate	12.5 ns	25 ns, smaller β^*	50 ns, long
max. # events / crossing		19	44	88	296	340
peak luminosity	L [1e34 cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]	1	2.3	9.2	14.4	8.9
effective beam decay time	τ_{eff} [h]	45	29	14.4	4.6	10.7
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=10$ h)	L_{eff} [10^{34} cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]	0.46	0.91	2.7	2.4	2.3
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	21.2	17.0	12.0	6.5	10.3
effective luminosity ($T_{\text{turnaround}}=5$ h)	L_{eff} [10^{34} cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]	0.56	1.15	3.6	3.6	3.1
	$T_{\text{run,opt}}$ [h]	15.0	12.0	8.5	4.6	7.3

could 12.5 ns at lower bunch charge be of interest?

heat load [W/m]

e.g., if bunch charge is limited
or problem with high pile up



appendix

- luminosity formulae with crossing angle
- luminous region

luminosity

$$L \approx \frac{n_b N_b^2 f_{rev}}{4\pi\beta^* \epsilon} \frac{F_{profile}}{\sqrt{1 + \phi^2}}$$

parameters that enter:

$$\phi \equiv \frac{\theta_c \sigma_z}{2\sigma_{x,y}^*} : \text{Piwinski angle}$$

N_b : # protons per bunch

σ_z : rms bunch length

f_{rev} : revolution frequency

n_b : # bunches per beam

θ_c : full crossing angle

ϵ : (geometric) transverse emittance

β^* : beta function at collision point

$\sigma_{x,y}^* = \sqrt{\beta^* \epsilon}$: rms transverse spot size at collision point

$$F_{profile} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for Gaussian bunch} \\ \sim 1.42 & \text{for long uniform bunch} \end{cases}$$

there are many parameter constraints, for example

ε limited by arc aperture and field quality at injection

β^* limited by final triplet aperture & crossing angle
& long-range beam-beam & collimation
& chromatic correction (& beam lifetime)

θ_c limited by geometric luminosity loss & long-range collisions & triplet aperture & triplet field errors

$n_b N_b \sim$ total current, limited by collimation, machine protection, beam dump


n_b limited by electron cloud heating

N_b limited by image-current heating & collimation & pile-up events

nominal crossing angle “at the edge”

$$F_\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\phi^2}}; \quad \phi \equiv \frac{\theta_c \sigma_z}{2\sigma_x} \quad \text{Piwinski angle}$$

luminosity reduction factor



another important constraint is the (head-on) beam-beam tune shift

$$\Delta Q_{bb} \approx \frac{N_b r_p}{2\pi\gamma\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + \phi^2}$$

total beam-beam tune shift at two
IPs with alternating crossing

$\Delta Q_{bb} < 0.01 - 0.015$, beam-beam limit for
hadron colliders (from $SppS$ experience)

for operation at the beam-beam limit
luminosity equation can be rewritten as

$$L \approx \pi \gamma n_b \frac{(\gamma \epsilon) f_{rev}}{r_p^2 \beta^*} \Delta Q_{bb}^2 \sqrt{1 + \phi^2} F_{profile}$$

injector upgrade LHC+ injector changes IR upgrade LHC+ injector changes

due to the crossing angle, colliding long bunches does not mean the events are spread out over a large area

rms length of luminous region

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_l^2} \approx \left(\frac{2}{\sigma_z^2} + \frac{\theta_c^2}{2\sigma_{x,y}^{*2}} \right)$$

	nominal	ultimate	12.5 ns	25 ns, low β^*	50 ns
σ_l [cm]	4.5	4.3	2.1	2.5	3.5

luminous region is largest for nominal LHC