

Meeting Object	AMB
Editor	Bob Jones, Kristina Gunne
Meeting date	22 April, 2010
Attendees	NA1: Bob Jones, Kristina Gunne, Steven Newhouse (p) NA2: Catherine Gater, Sy Holsinger (p) NA3: Robin McConnell (p), Gergely Sipos (p) NA4: Cal Loomis (p), Vangelis Floros (p) NA5: Christos Kanellopoulos (p) SA1: Maite Baroso Lopez, Nick Thackary SA2: Guillaume Vessieux (p) SA3: Oliver Keeble JRA1: John White Quality: Gabriel Zaquine CLPO: Florida Estrella Cainglet
Apologies	
Absent	
Distribution	AMB members

## 1. Update of Deliverables and Milestones

### EGEE-III

#### Deliverables & Milestones recommended for AMB approval

M	Id	Title	Moderator & Reviewers	Status
20	<a href="#">MSA3.4.2</a>	Updated software life-cycle process	M:JRA1( <a href="#">Oscar Koerooo</a> ); R:SA1( <a href="#">Tiziana Ferrari</a> ); NA1( <a href="#">Steven Newhouse</a> )	Ready for review (16/02/10). First comments sent (17/02/10). Revised document produced (26/03/10). Achieved (26/03/10) <b>Milestone approved</b>
22	<a href="#">DJRA1.2</a>	Report on EGEE-III Security	M:SA3( <a href="#">Louis Poncet</a> ) R: NA1( <a href="#">Steven Newhouse</a> ); NA4( <a href="#">Cal Loomis</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (25/01/10) Ready for review (26/02/10). Revised version produced (16/03/10). Recommended for AMB approval (05/04/10) <b>Milestone approved (checked by Steven)</b>
18->22	<a href="#">MSA2.4</a>	Status of the use of advanced network services	M:SA1( <a href="#">Ron Trompert</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (18/02/10). Ready for review (03/03/10). Achieved (14/04/10)

				Milestone approved (checked by Steven)
22	MNA4.2.2	User Forums	AMB	Achieved (12/04/10) <b>Milestone approved</b>
23	<a href="#">MNA1.4.2</a>	Country reports	AMB	<a href="#">TOC</a> (10/03/10). The summary will be presented at the 5th User Forum.  Achieved (13/04/09) <b>Milestone approved</b>
23	<a href="#">DNA1.5.2</a>	Annual Report on quality status	M :NA2( <a href="#">Catherine Gater</a> ); R : SA1( <a href="#">Gert Svensson</a> ) ; SA3( <a href="#">Elisabetta Molinari</a> ) ; JRA1( <a href="#">Laurence.Field</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (10/03/10). Ready for review (07/04/10). Comments received (16/04/10). Updated version produced (21/04/10). Recommended for AMB approval (22/04/10) <b>Deliverable approved</b>
23	<a href="#">DNA4.5</a>	Summary of Work Performed by Strategic Discipline Clusters	M:JRA1( <a href="#">Vincenzo Ciaschini</a> ); R: NA1( <a href="#">Frank Harris</a> ); SA1( <a href="#">Malgorzata Krakowian</a> ); SA3(Steve Fisher)	<a href="#">TOC</a> (03/03/10). Ready for review (02/04/10). Updated version produced (17/04/10). Recommended for AMB approval (22/04/10) <b>Deliverable approved</b>

**M18 (October 2009); (TOC by October); Review will start by 01/11/09;**

M	Id	Title	Moderator & Reviewers	Status
18	<a href="#">DSA1.5</a>	Operations Cookbook	M: NA1( <a href="#">Bob Jones</a> ); R: NA4( <a href="#">Christos Markou</a> ); SA3( <a href="#">Ioannis Liabotis</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (23/10/09). Ready for review (15/03/10). New version provided(11/04/10) <b>New version provided.</b>

**M22 (February 2010); (TOC by February); Review will start by 01/03/10;**

M	Id	Title	Moderator & Reviewers	Status
22	<a href="#">DNA3.4</a>	Final training report	M :SA1( <a href="#">Antonio Fuentes</a> ); R : NA2( <a href="#">Sy Holsinger</a> ), NA4( <a href="#">Isabel Campos</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (23/02/10) . Ready for review (17/03/10). Comments provided (08/04/10). Updated version provided (20/04/10) <b>Second round of review has started.</b>
22	<a href="#">DSA1.2.2</a>	Assessment of production service status	M:NA4( <a href="#">Tore Mauset</a> ); R: NA3( <a href="#">Gergely Sipos</a> ); SA3( <a href="#">Markus Schulz</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (12/02/05). Ready for review (25/03/10). Gergely comments sent(31/03/10). Updated version provided (14/04/10). <b>Still comments missing from Tore and Markus</b>

19- >22	<a href="#">MSA2.5</a>	Status of IPv6 support for EGEE	R:JRA1( <a href="#">Luca Petronzio</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (23/02/10). Ready for review (30/03/10). Comments received (16/04/10) <b>New version ongoing.</b>
22	DSA3.2	Middleware releases produced in the second year and update on operation and multi-platform support	M : SA1( <a href="#">John Walsh</a> ); R : NA3( <a href="#">Mario David</a> ) ; NA4( <a href="#">Bernd Roemer</a> )	Will be ready by (10/03/10) ??? <b>Will be ready before the end of the project.</b>

**M23 & M24 (March 2010); (TOC by March); Review will start by 01/04/10;**

M	Id	Title	Moderator & Reviewers	Status
23	<a href="#">DJRA1.3</a>	Final report on progress of middleware engineering	M :NA5( <a href="#">Christos Kanellopoulos</a> ); R : NA4 ( <a href="#">Julia Andreeva</a> ) ; SA1( <a href="#">Nadav Grossaug</a> ); SA3(Markus Schulz)	Ready for review (08/04/10) <b>Some input from reviewers,s till waiting though.</b>
23	DNA1.6.2	Country Report - Summary of the EGEE to EGI NGIs/VOs survey	AMB	<a href="#">TOC</a> (10/03/10). Currently under NA1 internal review <b>The document still receives day to day input, must be frozen at some point. No new VO input. Deadline Friday 23 April.</b>
23	MNA2.4.12	EGEE Newsletter	R:NA1( <a href="#">Bob Jones</a> )	The final EGEE Newsletter will be issued after the User Forum, so in the last week of April
23	<a href="#">DNA2.8</a>	Final plan for use and dissemination of foreground	M :NA1( <a href="#">Bob Jones</a> ) R : NA3( <a href="#">David Horn</a> ); NA4( <a href="#">Djaoui Abdeslem</a> );NA5( <a href="#">Diana Cresti</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (17/03/10). Ready for review (08/04/10) <b>Input received, will be updated.</b>
23	DNA2.6.2	EGEE Commercial exploitation plan	M :NA1( <a href="#">Gabriel Zaquine</a> );R : NA3( <a href="#">Denes Nemeth</a> ) ; NA4( <a href="#">Johan Montagnat</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (26/02/10) <b>Under internal review, will be ready tomorrow.</b>
23	<a href="#">DNA2.7.2</a>	Annual report on dissemination and Business uptake results	M :NA1( <a href="#">Gabriel Zaquine</a> );R : NA3( <a href="#">Rosetta Vandenbroucke</a> ) ; NA4( <a href="#">Fernando Alvarruiz</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (26/02/10). Ready for review (22/04/10) <b>First round of review done.</b>
23	<a href="#">DNA4.4.2</a>	Utilisation of EGEE Support Services and Infrastructure	M: NA3( <a href="#">Max Berger</a> ); R: NA1( <a href="#">Frank Harris</a> ); SA1( <a href="#">Tiziana Ferrari</a> )	<a href="#">TOC</a> (03/03/10). Ready for review (02/04/10). Comments received (12/04/10) <b>Close to final approval.</b>
23	MNA5.5.2	EGEE standardisation Website	M :SA3(Laurence Field); R :SA1( <a href="#">Alexander Kryukov</a> )	<b>Will hopefully be updated before the end of the week.</b>

23	DNA5.1.2	EGEE Annual Report on International Cooperation Activities, Policy and standardisation	M: SA1( <a href="#">Nicholas.Thackray</a> ); NA1( <a href="#">Bob Jones</a> ); NA4( <a href="#">Igor Semenov</a> )	<b>Will hopefully be updated before the end of the week.</b>
24	DNA1.7.2	Periodic report	AMB	We agreed on the date of 30th April as deadline for input to the final project report

It is very important that the reviewers nominated take part in the review process. If a reviewer does not help in the review process, this must be reported. Bob will escalate to those who do not take part in the process.

The approval on the pending deliverables and milestones will be done via email.

A lot of the material can be used for the final reporting and review.

## 2. EGEE User Forum

### a) Bio Med VO - any news?

Cal: They have problems to set it up with QUATTOR in Amsterdam. Discussing with NIKHEF, but it is likely this will only be done in mid May.

Maite: Not sure that the NAGIOS team got any feedback on where the problem might be. There may be a communications problem.

Steven: Important thing is that the deployment system is up and running, we are further exploiting for VO specific tests. NAGIOS tests will take longer as they are more specific. It has been deployed in several regions in parallel and this makes it more complicated.

Maite: One conclusion may be that the Biomed VO does not know how to go ahead.

Cal: I do not wish to hear that the Biomed VOs did not have interest or technical availability to do it.

It is more a conceptual issue concerning the test itself.

Bob: we need to come up with a good story for the review and also define what is going to happen in the future. There does not seem to have been a lot of interest from their side. What can be done between now and June? We need to clarify the motivations on both sides.

### b) Demos for review

The demos will be discussed at the next NA4 steering group meeting next week.

Vangelis: Which demo we chose depends on what we want to demonstrate, do we want to have a more technical or application's demo? There were many demos from Life Sciences; maybe this is a good area for the review.

Bob: I think that we should look into an application demo from a new discipline and MPI. Not yet any clear application of MPI though. Open Nebula?

Vangelis: We need to investigate.

## **c) Feedback**

SA1: Well put together, nice programme. As usual more interesting sessions than you can actually attend.

SA2: Very good networking opportunities, some key persons missing from our activity. Very good event and venue.

NA3: Organisation and venue exceptional. Very useful to get the NA3 steering committee to meet one last time. Interesting to meet more EGI people.

Training venue: only 3 persons out of 30 came. We should remember this for the future that the same venue should be used when possible. Maybe charging for training makes it more serious.

Action Kristina: Downgrade what is needed for training in bidders' packs for future events.

NA4: Exceptional event, largest stand alone User Forum. There is a pressure to get more and more talks and people to the events which makes it difficult to find time for discussions. Need to rethink the programme set up slightly; at the same time it is very difficult to please everybody.

Vangelis: Nice organization, very few technical hiccups, except the unfortunate problem with the USB sticks. It is difficult to arrange programme in such a way that it is possible to attend as many sessions as possible.

NA5: Very well organized, very nice programme. Great venue. Too many parallel talks on the Thursday.

SA3: Venue and organisation excellent. It would be interesting to know if the continuity message came across. This must be a concern for the communities. The demos were listed as if they would last only ten minutes, maybe this could be entered differently in Indico the next time.

JRA1: Very nicely organized, too few middleware people were there. No complaints. The sessions were interesting and with good subjects.

Collaborating projects: Agree with all of the above, would have liked more networking possibilities.

NA2: Excellent organization, very good and compact venue. Perfect placement for booth as it was a natural meeting place during pauses. Swedish media on site, interviewed Sergio Bertolucci for a radio

interview. Many writers on site for blogging, news articles etc. Too big meeting room for good discussion, but that is the price you pay for such a lovely location.

Food: Nice with light lunches, cookies and cakes excellent. Dinner was very nice.

Indico looks better.

Bob: Still not convinced about best demo/poster voting system. Probably needs a committee. Very useful to keep demos online.

### 3. AOB

- Do not forget to provide input to the periodic report!
- Final review:

Slightly updated review agenda to meet the comments from the EU and reviewers.

Wednesday 23:

Start half an hour later.

More Q and A time for the SA and JRA presentations.

More time on NA1. Present work on EGI transition.

Question: Guidelines and templates will be circulated?

Answer: Yes, this will be done soon.

Make sure that lessons learnt do not get lost in the transition from EGEE to EGI. This part should cover very high level accomplishments. Look at the objectives of the activities and look at those.

Make a case for grids in the scientific community with regards to clouds. The EAC still recommends that we look into cloud computing.

The NA1 presentation will cover funded and unfunded efforts by all partners. More details on this matter will go into the final report.

**Bob thanked all members of the AMB for their hard and devoted work for EGEE. He wished all good luck in the future.**

#### NA2

Input on agenda items

-----  
None

Progress of open actions

-----  
All open actions completed

Major work done during the past weeks; major changes in workplan  
-----

#### Milestones & Deliverables

DNA2.6.2 EGEE Commercial exploitation plan - ToC 26/2, under review

DNA2.7.2 Annual report on dissemination and Business uptake results - ToC 26/2, under review

DNA2.8 Final plan for use and dissemination of foreground - ToC 24/2, sent for review 8/4/10, revised version in progress

#### Publications and Website

- \* News updates to the EGEE website including a closing announcement for the home page.
- \* Draft version of the final Director's Letter prepared
- \* Final Quarterly Newsletter in progress
- \* iSGTW articles preparation and research
- \* Website audit completed

#### Events and Media

- \* EGEE clipping in Public Service Review: Science & Technology Issue 06
- \* Two press releases issued during the EGEE 5th User Forum, "Grid technology comes to the iPhone and Sony PlayStation 3" on Tuesday 13, "Health e-Grids" on Wednesday 14.
- \* EGEE clippings from the EGEE 5th User Forums in HPCwire, iSGTW, British Journal of Health Computing & Information Management, Eurasia Review, LeScienze, News-Medical.net, Projects Magazine, PS3World, Science Daily, Scientific Computing World, Technobahn.
- \* During the event, 247 photos were uploaded to Flickr, 56 blog posts and 12 webcasts on the GridCast blog, 55 tweets on Twitter, 11 demo videos on YouTube.
- \* EGEE summary brochure for GMAC/ICAC 2010 in the US in preparation.

#### Business outreach

- \* Analysis of the close out interviews is described in the Commercial Exploitation deliverable
- \* Use cases being prepared for inclusion in IT-Tude and a printed booklet
- \* Finalising web site content in preparation for the close of the project
- \* Commercial Exploitation Plan and Annual report on dissemination deliverables in review.
- \* Report on OGF-Europe / EGEE MoU activities completed.

#### Major issues arising

-----

None

#### Major Events Attended

-----

EGEE 5th User Forum, 12-15 April, Uppsala, Sweden

#### Plans to attend/organize major events (intra-EGEE and others)

-----

CCV 2010, 17-18 May, Singapore

E-IRG Workshop, 17 June, Barcelona, Spain

NA3

NA4

NA5

SA1

SA2

Input on agenda items  
-----

Progress of open actions  
-----

Major work done during the past weeks; major changes in workplan  
-----

IPv6

- Article on EGEE IPv6 work accepted for TERENA 2010 conference,

perfSONAR-Lite network troubleshooting services:

- Investigation and resolving of bug reports and test feedback
- Security feature implementation

Monitoring

- SA2 study on grid network monitoring was published

<https://edms.cern.ch/document/1001777>

Management

- The annual report written, under internal review.
- Preparation of country report

Major issues arising  
-----

Major Events Attended/Organised  
-----

- User Forum 2010

Plans to attend/organize major events (intra-EGEE and others)

SA2

JRA1