

New physics in B meson mixing: future sensitivity and limitations



Luiz VALE SILVA (IFIC, UV - CSIC)


[Charles, Descotes-Genon, Ligeti, Monteil, Papucci, Trabelsi, LVS,
PRD 102, 056023 (2020), [arXiv:2006.04824](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04824)]

CKM 2021, Melbourne (virtual), 22-26 November 2021

The Standard Model (SM) and Beyond

- **Flavour physics** played a central role in the **formulation of the SM** (new fermion generations, manifestation of CP Violation, etc.)
- Many **flavour observables** enjoy the status of **precision physics**, thanks to progress in different fronts (e.g. QCD inputs)
- **Flavour physics** can play a **leading role** in addressing the questions left open by the SM, and reveal New Physics sectors

Hierarchies in the spectrum of particles and CKM matrix:




	d	s	b
u	■	■	·
c	■	■	■
t	·	■	■

Reach to New Physics (NP)

- **Low-energy observables** → probe energy scales much beyond the reach of direct searches
- The bounds derived **shape NP candidates**
- If deviation seen, possible **NP manifestation!**


Different sectors:



[European Strategy Update 2020, [arXiv:1910.11775](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.11775)]

Current status of flavour

- **Overall agreement w/ the SM, but some exciting tensions (e.g. “B-anomalies”)**



[CKMfitter update as of Spring '21:
see talk later today by Wenbin Qian]

- **Flavour** is one of the **main physics cases for future experiments**
- **Future data will guide the field, testing present anomalies and possibly revealing new ones**


New era of flavour ahead

	LHC era			HL-LHC era	
	Run 1 (2010-12)	Run 2 (2015-18)	Run 3 (2021-24)	Run 4 (2027-30)	Run 5+ (2031+)
ATLAS, CMS	25 fb ⁻¹	150 fb ⁻¹	300 fb ⁻¹	→	3000 fb ⁻¹
LHCb	3 fb ⁻¹	9 fb ⁻¹	23 fb ⁻¹	50 fb ⁻¹	*300 fb ⁻¹

* assumes a future LHCb upgrade to raise the instantaneous luminosity to $2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

[↑ See [arXiv:1808.08865](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08865)] [From [arXiv:1808.10567](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10567) →]


- Expression of interest for an **LHCb Upgrade II**
- **Belle II**: 50x the Belle and nearly 100x the BaBar data sets; ongoing discussions about **upgrade**



NP in B meson mixing

- **HERE** we address present and future bounds on **NP in $|\Delta B|=2$** , and discuss future limitations

- $|\Delta B|=2$: NP competes with **suppressions in the SM (GIM/loop)**, and enjoy the status of **precision physics**



- Not discussing K, nor D meson mixing

[See: PRD 89, 033016 (2014), [arxiv:1309.2293](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2293)]

- **Combine projections for future data: need global fit** including “tree” and “loop” observables

[see e.g. [CKMfitter](https://ckmfitter.github.io/)]

NP in B meson mixing

- **NP in $|\Delta B|=2$:**

h_d and h_s set sizes

Bag parameters,
↓ decay constants

$$M_{12} = M_{12}^{\text{SM}} \times (1 + h e^{2i\sigma})$$

NP parameters

- **Assumptions:**

- No NP in $|\Delta F|=1$:

- tree level in SM (γ , $|V_{ub}|$, $|V_{cb}|$, ...) free of NP

- NP is short-distance

- Unitarity of the CKM 3x3 matrix

- Unrelated NP in B_d and B_s systems


[See: PRD 89, 033016 (2014),
[arxiv:1309.2293](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2293)]

- **SMEFT: four-quark operators of different chiral structures**

Present status of NP in B meson mixing

- **Agreement with the SM ($h_d=h_s=0$) at $\sim 1\sigma$**
- **Allowed size for NP at the level of $O(20\%)!$**
- **Extractions of ρ and η (Wolfenstein parm.) degrade by factor ~ 3**

Status as of Summer '19



Black dot: best fit point;
 σ_d and σ_s are unconstrained

Benchmarks for the future

- **Phase I: LHCb-upgrade I 50/fb, & Belle II 50/ab (late 2020s)**
- **Phase II: LHCb-upgrade II 300/fb, & Belle II upgrade 250/ab (late 2030s)**
- **Phase III: Phase II + FCC-ee**

FCC-ee: initial phase of FCC; operates at different EW thresholds: **5×10^{12} Z bosons, 10^8 WW pairs, $>10^6$ Higgses, $>10^6$ tt pairs**

Table S.4 Expected production yields for b-flavoured particles at FCC-ee at the Z run, and at Belle II (50 ab^{-1}) for comparison

Particle production (10^9)	B^0/\bar{B}^0	B^+/B^-	B_s^0/\bar{B}_s^0	$\Lambda_b/\bar{\Lambda}_b$	$c\bar{c}$	$\tau^+\tau^-$
Belle II	27.5	27.5	n/a	n/a	65	45
FCC-ee	1000	1000	250	250	550	170

[FCC Physics Opportunities, Conceptual Design Report]
[Flavour cases: [Monteil](#)]

Experimental and theoretical inputs

	Central values	Uncertainties				Reference Phases I–III
		Current [28]	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III	
$ V_{ud} $	0.97437	± 0.00021	id	id	id	[28]
$ V_{us} f_+^{K \rightarrow \pi}(0)$	0.2177	± 0.0004	id	id	id	[28]
$ V_{cd} $	0.2248	± 0.0043	± 0.003	id	id	[40,41]
$ V_{cs} $	0.9735	± 0.0094	id	id	id	[28,40,41]
Δm_d [ps ⁻¹]	0.5065	± 0.0019	id	id	id	[17]
Δm_s [ps ⁻¹]	17.757	± 0.021	id	id	id	[17]
$ V_{cb} _{\text{SL}} \times 10^3$	42.26	± 0.58	± 0.60	± 0.44	id	[29]
$ V_{cb} _{W \rightarrow cb} \times 10^3$	± 0.17	[34–36]
$ V_{ub} _{\text{SL}} \times 10^3$	3.56	± 0.22	± 0.042	± 0.032	id	[29]
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} $ (from Λ_b)	0.0842	± 0.0050	± 0.0025	± 0.0008	id	[30]
$\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \tau \nu) \times 10^4$	0.83	± 0.24	± 0.04	± 0.02	± 0.009	[29,34]
$\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mu \nu) \times 10^6$	0.37	...	± 0.03	± 0.02	id	[29]
$\sin 2\beta$	0.680	± 0.017	± 0.005	± 0.002	± 0.0008	[29,30,34]
α [°] (mod 180°)	91.9	± 4.4	± 0.6	id	id	[29]
γ [°] (mod 180°)	66.7	± 5.6	± 1	± 0.25	± 0.20	[29,30,34]
β_s [rad]	-0.035	± 0.021	± 0.014	± 0.004	± 0.002	[30,34]
$A_{\text{SL}}^d \times 10^4$	-6	± 19	± 5	± 2	± 0.25	[14,17,34,37]
$A_{\text{SL}}^s \times 10^5$	3	± 300	± 70	± 30	± 2.5	[14,17,34,37]
\bar{m}_t [GeV]	165.30	± 0.32	id	id	± 0.020	[28,34]
$\alpha_s(m_Z)$	0.1185	± 0.0011	id	id	± 0.00003	[28,34]
$f_+^{K \rightarrow \pi}(0)$	0.9681	± 0.0026	± 0.0012	id	id	[30]
f_K [GeV]	0.1552	± 0.0006	± 0.0005	id	id	[30]
f_{B_s} [GeV]	0.2315	± 0.0020	± 0.0011	id	id	[30]
B_{B_s}	1.219	± 0.034	± 0.010	± 0.007	id	[30]
f_{B_s}/f_{B_d}	1.204	± 0.007	± 0.005	id	id	[30]
B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}	1.054	± 0.019	± 0.005	± 0.003	id	[30]
$\tilde{B}_{B_s}/\tilde{B}_{B_d}$	1.02	± 0.05	± 0.013	id	id	[30,42,43]
\tilde{B}_{B_s}	0.98	± 0.12	± 0.035	id	id	[30,42,43]
η_B	0.5522	± 0.0022	id	id	id	[44]

[See for refs.: PRD 102, 056023 (2020), [arXiv:2006.04824](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04824)
and also EPJPlus 136, 837 [arXiv:2106.01259](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01259),
and EPJPlus 136, 912 [arXiv:2106.12168](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.12168)]





Experimental and theoretical inputs

- **Caveat:** many experimental sensitivity studies are simplistic or not yet available
- $|V_{ub}|_{SL}$ & $|V_{cb}|_{SL}$: dominance of stat. uncs. (Belle II)
 $|V_{cb}|$ accuracy $\sim 0.4\%$ @ FCC-ee: $W \rightarrow bc$ [Schune, Monteil]
- Future accuracy in **angles** ($\alpha, \beta, \beta_s, \gamma$) $\sim < 1^\circ$
- Phases I and II uncs. for **Lattice QCD** (form-factors, bag parameters) $< 1\%$
Literature discusses Lattice QCD projections up to Phase II

Future improvements

- SM reference: shift the central values
- Compared to Current, **improvement by factor >3 (5) at Phase I (II)**

Sensitivities	Summer 2019	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III
h_d	0.26	0.073	0.049	0.038
h_s	0.12	0.065	0.044	0.031



Future reach to NP in B meson mixing

$$\frac{C_{ij}^2}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{q}_{i,L} \gamma_\mu q_{j,L})^2, \quad \longrightarrow \quad h \simeq 1.5 \frac{|C_{ij}|^2 (4\pi)^2}{|\lambda_{ij}^t|^2 G_F \Lambda^2} \simeq \frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{|\lambda_{ij}^t|^2} \left(\frac{4.5 \text{ TeV}}{\Lambda} \right)^2,$$

$$\sigma = \arg(C_{ij} \lambda_{ij}^{t*}),$$

- In the absence of suppression mechanisms: **NP scale \gg TeV**
- Possible flavour and loop suppressions: **alleviate bounds on NP**

Couplings	NP loop order	Sensitivity for Summer 2019 [TeV]		Phase I Sensitivity [TeV]		Phase II Sensitivity [TeV]	
		B_d mixing	B_s mixing	B_d mixing	B_s mixing	B_d mixing	B_s mixing
$ C_{ij} = V_{ti} V_{tj}^* $ (CKM-like)	Tree level	9	13	17	18	20	21
	One loop	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7
$ C_{ij} = 1$ (No hierarchy)	Tree level	1×10^3	3×10^2	2×10^3	4×10^2	2×10^3	5×10^2
	One loop	80	20	2×10^2	30	2×10^2	40

Sensitivity to SMEFT operators

$|\Delta F|=2$ dim.-8: sensitivity to multi-TeV NP effects



- $|\Delta F|=2$ dim.-6 operators: talk by J. Aebischer
- $(|\Delta F|=1 \text{ dim.-6})^2 \Rightarrow |\Delta F|=2 \text{ dim.-8}$ operators

NP bag parameters:
[ETM '15, FNAL-MILC '16]

[LVS, work in preparation]

New Physics scale in TeV




NP in $(t t) \otimes (\psi \xi)$ & $(\psi t) \otimes (t \xi)$ contact interactions ($\psi, \xi = b, s, d$)



Bottlenecks



Necessary improvements beyond current expectations for enhancing sensitivity to NP:

- **Lattice QCD** (also short-distance QCD corrections)
- $|V_{cb}|$, overall normalization (Wolfenstein parameter A)
- **Individual impacts on h_d and h_s : O(20-30)%**



Discovery prospects

B meson mixing observables also provide potential **discovery for NP**



Conclusions

- Flavour physics: crucial in **shaping the SM**, but also in looking for **candidates of NP**
- **$|\Delta B|=2$** : only one flavour aspect of future experimental and theoretical progress
- Allowed NP in B meson mixing **still large**: bounds will largely improve
- Identified future limitations:
LQCD and $|V_{cb}|$

Many thanks for your attention!

BACK UP!

Experimental quantities vs. theoretical ones

Observables considered in the fit that are modified by NP in $|\Delta B|=2$:

$$\Delta_q = |\Delta_q| e^{i2\Phi_q^{\text{NP}}}$$



parameter	prediction in the presence of NP
Δm_q	$ \Delta_q^{\text{NP}} \times \Delta m_q^{\text{SM}}$
2β	$2\beta^{\text{SM}} + \Phi_d^{\text{NP}}$
$2\beta_s$	$2\beta_s^{\text{SM}} - \Phi_s^{\text{NP}}$
2α	$2(\pi - \beta^{\text{SM}} - \gamma) - \Phi_d^{\text{NP}}$
$\Phi_{12,q} = \text{Arg}\left[-\frac{M_{12,q}}{\Gamma_{12,q}}\right]$	$\Phi_{12,q}^{\text{SM}} + \Phi_q^{\text{NP}}$
A_{SL}^q	$\frac{\Gamma_{12,q}}{M_{12,q}^{\text{SM}}} \times \frac{\sin(\Phi_{12,q}^{\text{SM}} + \Phi_q^{\text{NP}})}{ \Delta_q^{\text{NP}} }$
$\Delta\Gamma_q$	$2 \Gamma_{12,q} \times \cos(\Phi_{12,q}^{\text{SM}} + \Phi_q^{\text{NP}})$

Different representation

$$\langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\text{SM+NP}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle \equiv \langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\text{SM}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle \times (\text{Re}(\Delta_q) + i \text{Im}(\Delta_q))$$

$$\text{Re}(\Delta_q) + i \text{Im}(\Delta_q) = r_q^2 e^{i2\theta_q} = 1 + h_q e^{i\sigma_q}$$

Soares & Wolfenstein, PRD 47, 1021 (1993)
 Deshpande, Dutta & Oh, PRL77, 4499 (1996)
 Silva & Wolfenstein, PRD 55, 5331 (1997)
 Cohen et al., PRL78, 2300 (1997)
 Grossman, Nir & Worah, PLB 407, 307 (1997)



Acknowledgements

“This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101031558”