

# QGP smoke rings in relativistic p+A collisions

Mike Lisa, Ohio State University

Collaborators: J. Barbon, D. Chinellato, W. Serenone, C. Shen, J. Takahashi, G. Torrieri PRC104 (2021) 011901; arxiv: 2101.10872 PLB820 (2021) 136500; arxiv: 2102.11919



# Outline

- Polarization/vorticity projections in A+A collisions:
  - Global, Longitudinal, Circular, Jet
- Toroidal vorticity in p+A collisions
  - motivation
  - calculations with 3D viscous hydro (with baryon currents)
- Polarization observable
  - effect of different vorticity definitions
  - effect of shear terms
  - effect of event-by-event fluctuations
- Experimental considerations
- Conclusion

# Global and longitudinal vorticity



Reminder: vortical flow patterns in heavy ion collisions

- to first approximation and in NR limit, vorticity is a curl
- probe collective hydro behavior at finest scales

# Global and longitudinal vorticity



Reminder: vortical flow patterns in heavy ion collisions

- to first approximation and in NR limit, vorticity is a curl
- probe collective hydro behavior at finest scales

#### Global and longitudinal vorticity observed via polarization



#### Circular polarization in central A+A





#### Circular polarization in central A+A



7

Circular polarization in central A+A \_ these are vorticity vectors, not flow velocities



#### Development of toroidal vorticity in MUSIC



MUSIC hydrodynamics, with baryon currents Schenke, Jeon, Gale PRC82 (2010) 014903 Schenke, Shen, Tribedy PRC102 (2020) 044905

$$\vec{\omega} \longrightarrow \vec{u}_{cell}$$
olor axis: 
$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{NR}^{\hat{t}} \equiv \left\langle \frac{\vec{\omega}_{NR} \cdot (\hat{t} \times \vec{v}_{cell})}{|\hat{t} \times \vec{v}_{cell}|} \right\rangle_{\phi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fluid}^{\hat{t}} \equiv \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Omega_{\mu}n_{\nu}\hat{t}_{\rho}u_{\sigma}}{|\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}n_{\nu}\hat{t}_{\rho}u_{\sigma}|}$$

9

# Rings predicted at all energies- can they be observed?

• This is a unique predicted structure! Observation would represent a compelling demonstration of fluid structure at the extremes of rapidity & energy



#### ✓ Observable at HADES, STAR FXT (NICA??)

MA Lisa - Quark Matter - April 2022 - Krakow



 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow y_{\text{beam}} \approx 3.7$ 

#### Focused forward

 in principle possible at STAR with forward tracking upgrade

$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow y_{\text{beam}} \approx 5.4$$
$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2700 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow y_{\text{beam}} \approx 8$$

Xia, Li, Tang, Wang PRC98, 024905 (2018)

#### Focused forward

 difficult at STAR@RHIC or ATLAS/CMS/ALICE@LHC without forward tracking upgrade

#### Seeing the rings

• This is a unique predicted structure! Observation would represent a compelling demonstration of fluid structure at the extremes of rapidity & energy



$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow y_{\text{beam}} \approx 5.4$$
  
 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2700 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow y_{\text{beam}} \approx 8$ 



#### Focused forward

- Not possible at STAR@RHIC or ATLAS/CMS/ALICE@LHC without forward tracking upgrade
- ✓ LHCb ideal to observe this structure

#### Polarization about a local disturbance





# Helmholtz (1867): Persistent vortical toroids (smoke rings) are quintessential fluid behavior

Vortex rings about the jet direction:

- Betz/Gyulassy/Torrieri, PRC76 (2007) 044901
- Tachibana/Hirano, NPA904-905 (2013) 1023c
- W. Matioli et al, PLB820 (2021) 136500

#### Jet-induced toroidal vorticity in MUSIC









# Polarization about a local disturbance

 $\vec{\omega}_{\rm NR} \cdot (\hat{t} \times \vec{v}_{\rm cell})$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\rm NR}^{\hat{t}} \equiv$ 

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{fluid}}^{\hat{t}} \equiv -$ 

Experimentally challenging, but potentially rich!

- early-stage fluid behaviour? •
- nature of energy loss in fluid •
- sensitivity to transport coefficients •

Toroidal vorticity

t = jet direction

c.f. W. Matioli, Poster session 2 T02

#### MUSIC hydro with embedded jet





• Do such collisions really form "the smallest droplet of QGP?"

Nagle & Zajc, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 68 (2018)

 multi-particle correlation → though... not uniquely hydro prediction, e.g.: Dusling et al, PRD 97, 016014 (2018)



 with well-chosen initial conditions and pre-equilibrium effects, hydro evolution seems to reproduce 2-particle anisotropy!





- Do such collisions **really** form "the smallest droplet of QGP?"
  - if *everything* is hydro, are we confident that *anything* is hydro?
  - much of the supporting evidence comes from v<sub>n</sub>... can we find a novel, hydro-characteristic test?
- "Every time you break a symmetry, you learn something"<sup>\*</sup> (v2, v1, polarization!)
  - broken forward/backward symmetry  $\rightarrow$  potentially interesting initial state



\* Urs Wiedemann

- Do such collisions **really** form "the smallest droplet of QGP?"
  - if *everything* is hydro, are we confident that *anything* is hydro?
  - much of the supporting evidence comes from v<sub>n</sub>... can we find a novel, hydro-characteristic test?
- "Every time you break a symmetry, you learn something"<sup>\*</sup> (v2, v1, polarization!)
  - broken forward/backward symmetry  $\rightarrow$  potentially interesting initial state



\* Urs Wiedemann

MA Lisa - Qu

- Do such collisions **really** form "the smallest droplet of QGP?"
  - if *everything* is hydro, are we confident that *anything* is hydro?
  - much of the supporting evidence comes from v<sub>n</sub>... can we find a novel, hydro-characteristic test?
- "Every time you break a symmetry, you learn something"\* (v2, v1, polarization!)
  - broken forward/backward symmetry  $\rightarrow$  potentially interesting initial state



\* Urs Wiedemann

• Basic observables are ~identical in these scenarios





(a) Bjorken flow profile:  $u_z = \eta_s$ 



See also S. Voloshin, Hirschegg 2017

- Basic observables are ~identical in these scenarios
- Vorticity is very different





(a) Bjorken flow profile:  $u_z = \eta_s$ 



See also S. Voloshin, Hirschegg 2017





MUSIC hydrodynamics, with baryon currents



# smooth-on-smooth, b=0

Snaphots

collisions at RHIC



#### PRC104 (2021) 011901; arxiv: 2101.10872

MA Lisa - Quark Matter - April 2022 - Krakow

#### Fluid $\rightarrow$ particles (vorticity $\rightarrow$ polarization)



hadronsflavors

momentumpolarization

• yield

#### Fluid $\rightarrow$ particles (vorticity $\rightarrow$ polarization)



MA Lisa - Quark Matter - April 2022 - Krakow

# Observing the "smoke tubes"





- similar effect for all vorticity "flavors"
- hyperon and anti-hyperon are similar



# fluctuating initial conditions

- ✓ Event-by-event calculation with lumpy initial conditions, following prescription in [1]
   → little difference with smooth initial conditions
- ✓ reduced R<sub>spin</sub> for more symmetric system



#### Chinellato, MAL, Serenone, Shen, Takahashi, Torrieri in preparation



\* note:  $p_T > 500$  MeV here, increasing R 0.04  $\rightarrow$  0.06 in p+Au

#### [1] Shen, Alzhrani, PRC102 (2020) 014909 (2020)

MA Lisa - Quark Matter - April 2022 - Krakow



Chinellato, MAL, Serenone, Shen, Takahashi, Torrieri in preparation

## Effect of shear terms



- [1] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, and A. Palermo Phys. Lett. B 820, 136519 (2021); arXiv:2103.10917
- [2] Shuai Y. F. Liu and Yi YinJHEP 07, 188 (2021), arXiv:2103.09200

(c.f talks by Buzzegoli and Fu in session TO2 Tuesday)

- Large difference in effects predicted by the two prescriptions
- Effect at midrapidity remains



# Experimental issues

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\Lambda}^{\hat{z}} = 2\left\langle \frac{\vec{S}_{\Lambda} \cdot \left(\hat{z}' \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}'\right)}{|\hat{z}' \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}'|} \right\rangle_{\phi} = \frac{8}{\pi\alpha} \left\langle \sin\left(\phi_p - \phi_{\Lambda}\right) \right\rangle$$

**Challenge**: large topological dependence of efficiency

- artifacts complicated and ~10% (or more)
- will affect any tracking detector
- *must flip B-field* to cancel artifact



#### Experimental issues

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\Lambda}^{\hat{z}} = 2\left\langle \frac{\vec{s}_{\Lambda}' \cdot \left(\hat{z}' \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}'\right)}{|\hat{z}' \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}'|} \right\rangle_{\phi} = \frac{8}{\pi\alpha} \left\langle \sin\left(\phi_p - \phi_{\Lambda}\right) \right\rangle$$

**Challenge**: large topological dependence of efficiency

- artifacts complicated and ~10% (or more)
- will affect any tracking detector
- *must flip B-field* to cancel artifact



#### Advantage:

- no event plane needed!
  - $\rightarrow$  measuring ~1% toroidal polarization is much easier than 1% global polarization (for same stats)

$$\overline{P}_{H} = -\frac{8}{\pi \alpha R_{\rm EP}^{(1)}} \left\langle \sin \left( \phi_{p} - \Phi_{EP,1} \right) \right\rangle_{\phi}$$

$$\delta_{\overline{P}_H} \propto \left(\#_\Lambda
ight)^{-1/2} \left( R_{
m EP}^{(1)} 
ight)^{-1}$$

#### Summary

- A+A / p+A collisions generate complex flow structures; probed by vorticity at small scale
- Circular vorticity pattern predicted for b=0 collisions at all energies
  - LHCb take a look!
- A hydro system with p+A initial conditions could naturally generate a vortical toroid configuration
  - similar to jet "blasting through"
  - Helmholtz (1867): Persistent vortical toroids (smoke rings) are quintessential fluid behavior
  - would be a compelling evidence for hydro nature of the smallest system
- Experimentally observable (R)
  - distinct from hadronic processes by particle/antiparticle similarity, eta dependence
  - challenging to observe few % effect, but not daunting flip B-field
- We should explore this unique structure @ RHIC, LHC (while we can...)



UNICAMP

João Prado Barbon, David Chinellato, Willian Serenone, Jun Takahashi, Giorgio Torrieri University of Campinas (Unicamp)

Chun Shen

Wayne State University



END

#### Two extreme cases

 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\Lambda}^{\hat{z}} = 2\left\langle rac{ec{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime} \cdot \left(\hat{z}^{\prime} imes ec{p}_{\Lambda}^{\ \prime}
ight)}{|\hat{z}^{\prime} imes ec{p}_{\Lambda}^{\ \prime}|} 
ight
angle_{\mu}$ 

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\mu\nu}^{(K)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} u_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} u_{\mu} \right) \\ \omega_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_{\mu} \left( T u_{\nu} \right) - \partial_{\nu} \left( T u_{\mu} \right) \right] \\ \omega_{\mu\nu}^{(th)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_{\mu} \left( u_{\nu}/T \right) - \partial_{\nu} \left( u_{\mu}/T \right) \right] \end{split}$$



- similar effect for all vorticities
- hyperon and anti-hyperon are similar
- sign change at LHC energy



MA Lisa - Quark Matter - April 2022 - Krakow

# Reminder from 1970's (through 2010's)

#### production-plane polarization in p+A collisions.

- Same observable as ours!
- high-x signal
- ~independent of target (p, Be, C, Cu, W)
- ~independent of energy (only measured to ~40 GeV)
- odd in rapidity for p+p, but also p+A
- no signal for anti-Lambdas

+ NA48 p+N(?) sqrt(sNN) = 29 GeV
 × E799 p+Be sqrt(sNN) = 39 GeV
 O HERA-B p+W,C sqrt(sNN) = 41.6 GeV



#### f parameter and global polarization



FIG. 1. Color contours show the initial energy density distributions in the  $x - \eta_s$  plane for 20-30% Au+Au collisions at 19.6 GeV with the longitudinal rapidity fraction f = 0 (a) and f = 1 (b). The grey arrows in panel (b) indicate the nonzero initial longitudinal flow  $u^{\eta}$  with  $y_L = y_{\rm CM}$  in Eqs. (13) and (14).  $u^{\eta} = 0$  in panel (a).



It is unclear to me that f will be the same in p+A