

# Probing early-time longitudinal dynamics with the $\Lambda$ hyperon's spin polarization in relativistic heavy-ion collisions

### Sangwook Ryu

in collaboration with

Sahr Alzhrani, Vahidin Jupic and Chun Shen

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

S. Ryu, V. Jupic, and C. Shen (arXiv:2106.08125)









# **Model** : spin polarization in E-by-E hydrodynamics

### initial energy-momentum conservation

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2 \mathbf{x}_{\perp}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E\\ p^z \end{array} \right\} = m_N \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ T_A(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + T_B(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \right] \cosh y_{\text{beam}} \\ \left[ T_A(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) - T_B(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \right] \sinh y_{\text{beam}} \end{array} \right\} \equiv M(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cosh y_{\text{CM}} \\ \sinh y_{\text{CM}} \end{array} \right\}$$

energy-momentum tensor :  $T^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \eta_s) = e(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \eta_s) \cosh(fy_{\text{CM}})$ 

$$T^{\tau\eta}(\tau_0, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \eta_s) = \frac{e(\mathbf{x}_\perp, \eta_s)}{\tau_0} \sinh\left(fy_{\rm CM}\right)$$

C. Shen and S. Alzhrani (2020)

1

S. Ryu, V. Jupic, and C. Shen (2021)

### spin polarization vector

$$S^{\mu}(p) = \frac{1}{4m} \frac{\int p \cdot d^{3}\Sigma n_{0}(1-n_{0}) \mathcal{A}^{\mu}}{\int p \cdot d^{3}\Sigma n_{0}} \left( P_{\text{lab}}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{S} S^{\mu}(p) \right)$$
thermal vorticity
$$\omega^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial^{\mu} \left( \frac{u^{\nu}}{T} \right) - \partial^{\nu} \left( \frac{u^{\mu}}{T} \right) \right]$$
and shear
$$\xi^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial^{\mu} \left( \frac{u^{\nu}}{T} \right) + \partial^{\nu} \left( \frac{u^{\mu}}{T} \right) \right]$$
 $\mathcal{A}_{\text{LY}}^{\mu} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} p_{\tau} + \frac{1}{E} t_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma\lambda} p^{\lambda} p_{\tau} \right]$ 
 $\mathcal{A}_{\text{LY}}^{\mu} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} p_{\tau} + \frac{1}{E} u_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma\lambda} p^{\lambda} p_{\perp} p_{\tau} + \frac{b_{i}}{\beta E} u_{\rho} p_{\sigma}^{\perp} \partial_{\tau}^{\perp} (\beta \mu_{B}) \right]$ 
 $p_{\perp}^{\mu} = p^{\mu} - (p \cdot u) u^{\mu}$ 
S. Y. F. Liu and Y. Yin (2021)
C. Yi, S. Pu, and D. Yang (2021)

# **Result** : charged hadron yield and anisotropic flow

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

2

- Our framework provides reasonable descriptions of hadron yield and anisotropic (elliptic and triangular) flow coefficents.
- Experimental data favor  $\frac{\eta T}{\epsilon + P} \sim 0.08 0.16$  and hot spot width  $w = 0.4 - 0.8 \,\mathrm{fm}$ .

(see backup slide for w-dependence.)



# **Result**: A hyperon polarization the global polarization s.

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

3

- Global polarization is strongly sensitive to the shear viscosity, because the vortical movement is smeared faster in the presense of viscosity.
- Global polarization is dominated by system's thermal vorticity.



### **Result** : A hyperon polarization **longitudinal polarization**

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

4

centrality and azimuthal dependence

 $\langle \cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle$ 

0.000

-0.001

-0.002

0.0

0.5

1.0

1.5

 $\phi - \Psi_2$ 

The shear-induced polarization is substantial for azimuthal dependence of the longitudinal polarization.

 $|\eta| < 1$ 

20-60% Au+Au @ 200 GeV

2.0

 $0.5 < p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}$ 

2.5

3.0

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{SIP-BBP}}^{\mu} = -e^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \frac{1}{E} \hat{t}_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma\lambda} p^{\lambda} p_{\tau} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{\text{SIP-LY}}^{\mu} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \frac{1}{E} u_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma\lambda} p_{\perp}^{\lambda} p_{\tau}$$

$$\langle \cos \theta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*} \rangle (\phi_{\mathbf{p}}) = \langle \cos^{2} \theta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*} \rangle \alpha_{\Lambda} P^{z}(\phi_{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{3} P^{z}(\phi_{\mathbf{p}})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{\langle \text{Im} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}{\sqrt{\langle \text{Re} \mathcal{Q}_{n,A} \mathcal{Q}_{n,B}^{*} \rangle_{\text{ev}}}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}^{z} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} P^{z}(\phi) e^{in\phi} p_{n}^{z} \{\text{SP}\} = \frac{$$

-0.25

-0.50

-0.75

()

 $w = 0.8 \; \mathrm{fm}$ 

 $\eta T/(e+P) = 0.08$  $e_{\rm sw} = 0.5 \ {\rm GeV}/{\rm fm}^3$ 

20

40

Centrality(%)

60

80

### **Result**: A hyperon polarization longitudinal polarization

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

5

correlation with anisotropic flow coefficient  $v_n$ 

- Iongitudinal polarization is positively correlated with the anisotropic flow.
- Predictions for the *n*-th Fourier coefficients of  $P^{z}(\phi_{\mathbf{p}})$ :  $p_{3}^{z}\{\mathrm{SP}\}$  and  $p_{4}^{z}\{\mathrm{SP}\}$  are comparable to  $p_{2}^{z}\{\mathrm{SP}\}$ .



# Backup

## **Result** : sensitivity to width of energy deposit

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

6

charged hadron production and anisotropic flow



## **Result** : sensitivity to width of energy deposit

S. Alzhrani, S. Ryu and C. Shen (arXiv:2203.15718)

7

global and longitudinal polarization

