



# Production of Light Nuclei in Heavy-ion Collisions Measured by RHIC-STAR

Hui Liu (*for the STAR Collaboration*)

Central China Normal University

Heidelberg University



Supported in part by  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
**ENERGY**

Office of  
Science





# Outline

---

1. Introduction
2. STAR Experiment and Data Sets
3. Analysis Method
4. Results and Discussions
5. Summary and Outlook



# Introduction



## 1. Heavy-ion Collisions

- ① Creating medium of hot and dense nuclear matter allows us to study the QCD structure under controlled conditions
- ② **Kinetic freeze-out:** Elastic collisions cease, momentum distributions of particles get fixed


## 2. Why Light Nuclei ?

- ① **Light nuclei** carry information about local baryon density fluctuations
- ② Provides an effective probe to study first-order phase boundary and the QCD **Critical Point**

*K. Sun et al. Phys.Lett.B 774 (2017) 103-107*  
*E. Shuryak et al. Phys.Rev.C 101 (2020) 3, 034914*  
*H. Agakishiev et al. [STAR Collaboration] Nature 473 (2011) 353*



# STAR Detector & Data Sets




Energy (GeV)	3	200
Year	2018	2018
Collision	Au3.85 (FXT)	Zr+Zr/ Ru+Ru
nEvents (10 <sup>6</sup> )	~258	~2020/ 1930

➤ Fixed target program with  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 - 13.7$  GeV, extends  $\mu_B$  coverage up to 750 MeV



# Analysis Method – Particle Identification








# Analysis Method – Corrections

3 GeV	✓	✓	✓	N/A	N/A
200 GeV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Correction	Energy loss corr.	TPC tracking eff.	TOF matching eff.	Absorption corr.	Background corr.

$$f(p_T) = p_0 + p_1 \left( 1 + \frac{p_2}{p_T^2} \right)^{p_3}$$

$$f(p_T) = \sum_{i=0}^8 p_i p_T^i$$





# Results & Discussions


---

Result at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV

Ru+Ru & Zr+Zr Collisions



# Transverse Momentum Spectra



Ru + Ru Collisions


Zr + Zr Collisions

- Transverse momentum spectra of  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  at mid-rapidity in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions for various centralities at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV








# Transverse Momentum Spectra



➤ Transverse momentum spectra of  $t$ ,  ${}^3\text{He}$ , and  ${}^3\overline{\text{He}}$  at mid-rapidity in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions for various centralities at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV



# Centrality Dependence of $dN/dy$ & $\langle p_T \rangle$




- Yields and  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of light nuclei as a function of collision centrality
- $dN/dy$  and  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions agree well with Au+Au collisions within the uncertainty

*J. Adam et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 99 (2019) 6, 064905*



# Centrality Dependence of Particle Ratios



- The particle ratios ( $\bar{d}/d$ ,  ${}^3\text{He}/{}^3\text{He}$  and  $t/{}^3\text{He}$ ) increase from central to peripheral collisions
- $\bar{d}/d$  in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions are consistent with Au+Au collisions within the uncertainty



# Results & Discussions


---

Result at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 \text{ GeV}$

Au+Au Collisions



# Transverse Momentum Spectra



➤ Transverse momentum spectra of  $p$ ,  $d$ ,  $t$ ,  ${}^3\text{He}$ , and  ${}^4\text{He}$  with rapidity slices in central (0-10%) Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV

w/o feed-down correction for proton: ~2%

➤ Blast-Wave Function

$$\frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{d^2N}{dp_T dy} \propto \int_0^R r dr m_T I_0 \left( \frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{kin}} \right) K_1 \left( \frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{kin}} \right)$$

$$\rho = \tanh^{-1} \beta_r, \quad \beta_r(r) = \beta_T \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n$$

Freeze-out parameters:

$T_{kin}$  : kinetic freeze-out temperature


$\langle \beta_T \rangle$  : average radial flow velocity

$n$  :  $n=1$  ( $I_0$  and  $K_1$  are from Bjorken Hydrodynamic assumption)

*E. Schnedermann et al. Phys.Rev.C 48 (1993) 2462-2475*



# Kinetic Freeze-out Dynamics at 3 GeV




Light Nuclei freeze out earlier?

- The kinetic freeze-out parameters ( $T_{kin}$  and  $\langle \beta_T \rangle$ ) show rapidity and centrality dependence
- The freeze-out parameter ( $T_{kin}$ ) of the light nuclei is systematically higher than that of the protons

J. Adams et al. [STAR Collaboration] Nucl.Phys.A 757 (2005) 102-183



# Centrality & Rapidity Dependence of Yields




- dN/dy of protons and light nuclei show significant centrality and rapidity dependence
- 3 GeV with good rapidity coverage provides the opportunity to calculate  $4\pi$  yields accurately
- Yields of  $d$  and  $t$  are described by the SMASH + Coal. model except the 40-80% centrality bin
- dN/dy of  $p$  is reproduced by the SMASH, JAM, and UrQMD models in centrality bins: 0-10%, 10-20%, and 20-40%

*J. Weil et al. Phys.Rev.C 94 (2016) 5, 054905*  
*L. W. Chen et al. Phys.Rev.C 68 (2003) 017601*  
*W. Zhao et al. Phys.Rev.C 98 (2018) 5, 054905*



# Rapidity Dependence of Particle Yield Ratios



- The formation probability of light nuclei increases from middle to target rapidity
- Rapidity dependence is stronger in peripheral collisions
- The SMASH + Coalescence model reproduces the trends of  $d/p$  and  $t/p$ , but the thermal model underpredicts these ratios


*A Andronic et al. Nature 561 (2018) 7723, 321-330*





# Coalescence Parameters

$$E_A \frac{d^3 N_A}{dp_A^3} = B_A \left( E_p \frac{d^3 N_p}{dp_p^3} \right)^Z \left( E_n \frac{d^3 N_n}{dp_n^3} \right)^{A-Z} \approx B_A \left( E_p \frac{d^3 N_p}{dp_p^3} \right)^A \Big|_{p_p=p_n=\frac{p_A}{A}}$$




- $B_A \propto (1/V)^{(A-1)}$  reflects the region of homogeneity and the freeze-out property
- Length of homogeneity becomes smaller in peripheral collisions and at higher  $p_T$  region

R. Scheibl and U. Heinz *Phys.Rev.C* 59 (1999) 1585-1602  
 J. Adam et al. [STAR Collaboration] *Phys.Rev.C* 99 (2019) 6, 064905



# Rapidity Dependence of Yield Ratios




- Yield ratios show rapidity dependence, but no obvious centrality dependence
- $N_p \times N_t / N_d^2$ : SMASH, AMPT, and UrQMD models underpredict the ratio in Au+Au collisions at 3 GeV

K. Sun et al. *Phys.Rev.C* 103 (2021) 6, 064909  
E. Shuryak et al. *Eur.Phys.J.A* 56 (2020) 9, 241



# Discussions – Simultaneous Fitting of $\pi, K, p$




- At 3 GeV Au+Au collisions, the freeze-out parameters ( $T_{kin}, \langle \beta_T \rangle$ ) show different trend compared to that of higher energy collisions

L. Adamczyk et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 96 (2017) 4, 044904  
B.I. Abelev et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 79 (2009) 034909



# Discussions – Separate Fitting of $p, d$




- At 3 GeV Au+Au collisions, the freeze-out parameters ( $T_{kin}, \langle \beta_T \rangle$ ) show different trend compared to that of higher energy collisions

Indicate a different equation of state (EoS)

L. Adamczyk et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 96 (2017) 4, 044904  
B.I. Abelev et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 79 (2009) 034909



# Discussions – Separate Fitting of $p, d$



- At 3 GeV Au+Au collisions, the freeze-out parameters ( $T_{\text{kin}}, \langle \beta_T \rangle$ ) show different trend compared to that of higher energy collisions


Indicate a different equation of state (EoS)

- The freeze-out parameter ( $T_{\text{kin}}$ ) of  $d$  is systematically higher than that of  $p$  at 3 GeV, which is different from higher energies

L. Adamczyk et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 96 (2017) 4, 044904  
B.I. Abelev et al. [STAR Collaboration] Phys.Rev.C 79 (2009) 034909



# Discussions – Separate Fitting of $p, d$



- At 3 GeV Au+Au collisions, the freeze-out parameters ( $T_{\text{kin}}, \langle \beta_T \rangle$ ) show different trend compared to that of higher energy collisions

Indicate a different equation of state (EoS)

- The freeze-out parameter ( $T_{\text{kin}}$ ) of  $d$  is systematically higher than that of  $p$  at 3 GeV, which is different from higher energies

Similar trend seen in SMASH Model

$$T_d > T_p$$



# Summary

1. 200 GeV Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions: First results of centrality dependent spectra of  $d$  ( $\bar{d}$ ),  $t$ , and  ${}^3\text{He}$  ( $\bar{{}^3\text{He}}$ )

→ The yields of light nuclei are consistent in Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions

2. 3 GeV Au+Au collisions: First measurements of rapidity densities of  $p$  and light nuclei ( $d$ ,  $t$ ,  ${}^3\text{He}$ ,  ${}^4\text{He}$ )

→ Strong centrality and rapidity dependences observed


→ Hadronic transport models (JAM, SMASH and UrQMD) + Coalescence reproduce the mid-rapidity yields, but thermal model underpredicts light nuclei yield ratios

→ The freeze-out parameters ( $T_{\text{kin}}$ ,  $\langle\beta_T\rangle$ ) show a different trend compared to that of higher energy collisions:


$$T_{\text{kin}}(d) > T_{\text{kin}}(p), \langle\beta_T\rangle(d) < \langle\beta_T\rangle(p)$$

→ Hot and dense medium created in the 3 GeV collisions seems different from that of high energy collisions

Rapidity density:  $p$ ,  $d$ ,  $t$ ,  ${}^3\text{He}$  &  ${}^4\text{He}$



Freeze-out





# Outlook

Au+Au collisions at RHIC (Collider mode)		
$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	nEvents (M)	$\mu_B$ (MeV)
19.6	478	206
17.3	256	230
14.6	324	262
11.5	235	320
9.2	162	370
7.7	101	420

Au+Au collisions at RHIC (Fixed-Target mode)			
$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	$E_{beam}$ (GeV)	nEvents (M)	$\mu_B$ (MeV)
13.7	100	51	280
11.5	70	52	320
9.2	44.5	54	370
7.7	31.2	113	420
7.2	26.5	89	440
6.2	19.5	118	490
5.2	13.5	103	540
4.5	9.8	108	590
3.9	7.3	117	633
3.5	5.75	116	670
3.2	4.59	201	699
3.0	3.85	2103	750

1. STAR has completed BES-II data-taking with factors of 10 – 20 more statistics compared to BES-I
2. Systematic analysis of light nuclei yields and spectra to understand their production mechanism






29TH INTERNATIONAL  
CONFERENCE ON ULTRARELATIVISTIC  
NUCLEUS - NUCLEUS COLLISIONS  
APRIL 4-10, 2022  
KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Thanks for your attention!*





# Backup – Kinetic Freeze-out Dynamics



➤ The freeze-out parameter ( $T_{kin}$ ) of the light nuclei is systematically higher than that of the protons