LABORATÓRIO DE INSTRUMENTAÇÃO
E FÍSICA EXPERIMENTAL DE PARTÍCULAS
partículas e tecnologia

Deep Learning as a Tool for Generic Searches at Colliders

IML Working Group
7th July 2020

Miguel Crispim Romão
mcromao@lip.pt

"This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 824093."

POCI/01-0145-FEDER-029147
PTDC/FIS-PAR/29147/2017

Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

UNIÃO EUROPEIA
Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional

One of the main goals of the LHC is to look for New Physics

1. Choose BSM signal you are looking for
2. Study favourable kinematic region and final state topology
3. Collect the data in such regime
4. Perform statistical tests on the data on the hypothesis of BSM being present
5. Profit (eventually)

Transferability of Deep Learning Models in Searches for New Physics at Colliders

MCR, N. F. Castro, R. Pedro, T. Vale

Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020) 3, 035042 [1912.04220]

- How does an NN classifier, trained to separate a specific signal from background, behave when shown a new signal?
- How does this impact upper limits on New Physics?
- Focused on three classes of signals:
  - FCNC
  - VLQ from SM production
  - VLQ from Heavy Gluon production

Transferability of Deep Learning Models

Analogy

Jungle is the Background (SM events) and we want to find monkeys (a BSM candidate)

What happens if instead of monkeys there is another animal in the data?

Would an NN still find the signal?

Transferability of Deep Learning Models
The Background

- A SM cocktail sample was produced in MadGraph5+Pythia8+Delphes
    - 8M Z+J, 3M ttbar, 1.5M per diboson sample
- Targeted processes with dilepton final state, at least one b, and HT > 500 GeV
- To guarantee statistics at the tails of the distributions we applied event filter at parton level in pT slices
- The events are represented by variables from the reconstructed objects:
    - (η, φ, pT , m) for 5 leading jets and large-radius jets
    - N–subjetiness of the leading large-radius jet (τ_N with N = 1, 2, .., 5)
    - (η, φ, pT) of the 2 leading electrons and muons
    - Multiplicites, (ET , φ) of the missing transverse energy (MET)

Transferability of Deep Learning Models
The Signals

- 7 samples of BSM signals over three classes
- FCNC interaction in single top-quark production
- Vector-Like T quarks produced via SM gluon with three different masses
  - 1.0 TeV
  - 1.2 TeV
  - 1.4 TeV
- Vector-Like T quarks produced via BSM heavy (3TeV) gluon with three different masses
  - 1.0 TeV
  - 1.2 TeV
  - 1.4 TeV

Transferability of Deep Learning Models
Methodology

- For each signal train a supervised DNN classifier
- Use each trained DNN to predict on every combination signal-background
- Assess how discrimination deteriorates as we present a different signal to each DNN through upper limits on expected cross-section

Transferability of Deep Learning Models







Transferability of Deep Learning Models

Upper Limits





$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_{exp}^{\mathrm{up}}}{\sigma_{th}}$$

Could we not just focus on the jungle?

Since we don't know what BSM candidate is realised in nature, it seems it would be better if we could develop a way of identifying **any type of non SM phenomena**

Unsupervised Methods for New Physics Searches

- Growing interest in Unsupervised approaches to isolate New Physics from SM Background
- Anomaly Detection ML algorithms are finding their way into HEP to help this out
  - 1805.02664, 1808.08992, 1811.10276, 1902.02634, 1903.02032, ...
- A comprehensive live review of ML in HEP curated by CERN's IML WorkGroup: https://github.com/iml-wg/HEPML-LivingReview

Finding New Physics without learning about it: Anomaly Detection as a tool for Searches at Colliders

MCR, N. F. Castro, R. Pedro

2006.05432

- We kept the same signals
  - FCNC
  - VLQ from SM production
  - VLQ from Heavy Gluon production
- We compared four AD algorithms
  - Auto-Encoder
  - Deep-SVDD
  - Isolation Forest
  - Histogram Based

Finding New Physics without learning about it
Auto-Encoder



Finding New Physics without learning about it
Auto-Encoder

- The Network is trained by minimising the reconstruction error

$$L = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_i - \mathrm{AE}(x_i)|^2$$

- In principle, events that are easier to reconstruct are the most common

- Reconstruction error of an event can be a measure of how rare it is =>

  BSM events should have higher reconstruction error

Finding New Physics without learning about it
Deep-SVDD



Finding New Physics without learning about it
Deep-SVDD

- Before any training, the NN is just a map from the input space to some embedding space



- In this space we can find a “centre of mass”, c, of the points

Finding New Physics without learning about it
Deep-SVDD

- The Network is trained by minimising the distance to the centre of mass

$$L = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |c - \mathrm{NN}(x_i)|^2$$

- The bulk of the distribution will be easier to bring to the centre, the rarer events will be further away
- The distance to c becomes then a natural interpretation for *outlyingness* of an event



Finding New Physics without learning about it
Isolation Forest

- Recursively partition the data with random cuts
- These cuts can be represented as a tree
- Rare events will be easier to isolate
- Anomaly score given by the inverse of how many nodes it took to isolate





Finding New Physics without learning about it
Histogram Based

- Compute histograms for all variables
- Rare events will more often be in bins of smaller height
- Anomaly score given by the sum of the Log of the heights of each bin an event occupies



Train only on Standard Model

This way we are learning what a jungle looks like and hopefully we will be able to find any animal!

Are different algorithms correlated?

Are they focusing on the same characteristics?



Finding New Physics without learning about it

Results 1: When they see new jungle



Finding New Physics without learning about it
Results 2: Are all AD algorithms created equally?





Finding New Physics without learning about it
Results 3: Can they find animals?









Finding New Physics without learning about it
Results 4: Can we search for New Physics?



Conclusions

Conclusions

- NN provide very versatile solutions for generic searches
  - Supervised NN classifiers are able to find other signals
  - Unsupervised architectures provide at most an order of magnitude of degradation in sensitivity against supervised
- Unsupervised methods are getting a lot of attention and interest in the community and can provide a BSM independent solution to search for NP
- Future work:
  - Extend to different kinematic and topological regimes
  - Further diversify to other BSM benchmarks
  - Switch to completely unsupervised statistical tests

Thanks!

mcromao@lip.pt

n+1.
Backups

Finding New Physics without learning about it

Sanitised Features



Backups

AD outliers are data outliers





Backups

AD mus

Model	Benchmark Signal						
	FCNC	HG			No HG		
		1.0 TeV	1.2 TeV	1.4 TeV	1.0 TeV	1.2 TeV	1.4 TeV
Full features							
Supervised DNN	6^{+3}_{-2}	$0.011^{+0.007}_{-0.004}$	$0.015^{+0.008}_{-0.005}$	$0.016^{+0.009}_{-0.005}$	$0.03^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$0.08^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	$0.20^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$
H_T	110^{+40}_{-30}	$0.14^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	$0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$
Deep SVDD	60^{+30}_{-20}	$0.29^{+0.14}_{-0.09}$	$0.32^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.8^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$	$1.9^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$	5^{+2}_{-1}
AE	30^{+10}_{-10}	$0.06^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	$0.06^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.06^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	$0.12^{+0.08}_{-0.04}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.0^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$
HBOS	100^{+40}_{-30}	$0.15^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	$0.17^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.19^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$	$2.7^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$
iForest	200^{+60}_{-40}	$0.22^{+0.11}_{-0.07}$	$0.26^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	4^{+2}_{-1}
Sanitised features							
Supervised DNN	6^{+3}_{-2}	$0.0035^{+0.0022}_{-0.0009}$	$0.006^{+0.003}_{-0.002}$	$0.009^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$	$0.014^{+0.010}_{-0.005}$	$0.07^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	$0.15^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$
H_T	100^{+40}_{-30}	$0.14^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	$0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$
Deep SVDD	60^{+30}_{-20}	$0.25^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$	$0.16^{+0.08}_{-0.04}$	$0.12^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$	$2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$
AE	160^{+60}_{-50}	$0.0099^{+0.0009}_{-0.0007}$	$0.0122^{+0.0006}_{-0.0009}$	$0.0152^{+0.0009}_{-0.0007}$	$0.0165^{+0.0007}_{-0.0011}$	$0.073^{+0.004}_{-0.004}$	$0.27^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
HBOS	110^{+50}_{-30}	$0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.06}$	$0.21^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$	$0.23^{+0.14}_{-0.08}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.1^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	$2.7^{+1.7}_{-0.9}$
iForest	140^{+60}_{-40}	$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.8^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$2.2^{+1.2}_{-0.7}$	5^{+3}_{-2}

Finding New Physics without learning about it

Results 4: Can we search for New Physics?