



# LHCb for astroparticle physics: Inclusive production of prompt charged particles

Lina Alasfar, Johannes Albrecht, Julian Boelhauve, Hans Dembinski, Michael Schmelling

Bonn, 6 October 2020

Annual meeting of the German LHCb groups

# Motivation

- Cosmic rays as messengers of the high-energy universe
- Indirect detection of cosmic rays through air showers
- Simulations necessary to infer mass composition from number of produced muons or depth of shower maximum [CERN-LPCC-2018-07 (2018)]
- Discrepancy in number of produced muons between air-shower data and simulations (muon puzzle)
- Model uncertainties due to lack of data related to multi-particle production in forward direction at TeV scale
- Benefit from forward particle-identification system of the LHCb experiment and suitable energies



#### Data set and selection

- Measure cross-section of inclusive production of prompt charged long-lived particles in bins of transverse momentum  $p_{\rm T}$  and pseudorapidity  $\eta$
- Use early-measurement proton-proton collision data recorded in 2015 at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV without trigger bias and corresponding simulations
- Apply basic candidate selection
  - Use only tracks traversing the whole tracking system
  - Reduce number of tracks not corresponding to real particles (ghost tracks)
- Take into account effect of candidate selection by introducing correction factor *C* obtained from simulation:

$$C_{\rm sim} = \frac{N_{\rm sim}}{N_{\rm gen,\,sim}} \quad \rightarrow \quad N_{\rm gen} \approx \frac{N}{C_{\rm sim}}$$

- N Number of candidate tracks
- $N_{\rm gen}$   $\,$  Real number of prompt charged particles  $\,$

# **Origin of reconstructed tracks**

Study background composition using simulation



- White area adding bins up to unity representing ghost tracks
- Deduce non-negligible contributions

# **Correction-factor calibration**

Adjust correction factor to capture differences between data and simulation by defining proxies  $\mathcal{P}_i$  with  $i \in \{\text{ghost}, V^0, \text{material}\}$  replacing unknown ratios  $R_i$  in data:

$$\frac{N_i}{\varepsilon N_{\rm gen}} \eqqcolon R_i = \frac{\mathcal{P}_i}{\mathcal{P}_{i, \, \rm sim}} R_{i, \, \rm sim} \quad \rightarrow \quad C = C_{\rm sim} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{\rm sim}} \frac{1 + \sum_i \frac{\mathcal{P}_i}{\mathcal{P}_{i, \, \rm sim}} R_{i, \, \rm sim}}{1 + \sum_i R_{i, \, \rm sim}}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} N_{\rm ghost} & {\rm Number \ of \ ghost \ tracks \ passing \ the \ candidate \ selection} \\ N_{V^0} & {\rm Number \ of \ tracks \ from \ } K^0_{\rm S}, \ A \ {\rm and \ } \overline{A} \ (V^0) \ {\rm decays \ passing \ the \ candidate \ selection} \\ N_{\rm material} & {\rm Number \ of \ tracks \ from \ material \ interactions \ passing \ the \ candidate \ selection} \\ \varepsilon & {\rm Track-reconstruction \ efficiency} \end{array}$ 

Differential cross-section:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma}{\mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{T}} \,\mathrm{d} \eta} = \frac{N - N_{\mathrm{beam}\text{-}\mathrm{gas}}}{C \,\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}} \,\Delta \eta \,\mathcal{L}}$$

N<sub>beam-gas</sub> Number of candidate tracks produced in interactions of both beams with residual gas in the beam pipe

 $\mathcal{L}$  Integrated luminosity

# Proxy for ghost tracks

- Assign weights to the simulated events adjusting the detector occupancy for evaluation of all three proxies
- Information from tracking system combined in ghost probability P<sub>ghost</sub> for each reconstructed track
- Contribution of ghost tracks to candidate tracks approximately proportional to number of tracks with high P<sub>ghost</sub> values
- Divide  $P_{\text{ghost}}$  distribution into ten bins
- Choose lowest bin above maximal selected value P<sub>ghost</sub> = 0.3 with ghost-track purity greater than 90 % to obtain proxy for each kinematic bin
- Determine systematic uncertainty by instead selecting all bins with ghost-track purity greater than 90 %



#### Proxy for $V^0$ decays

- Form pairs of oppositely charged tracks using topological and kinematic requirements to select  $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$  and  $\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+$  candidates
- Fit invariant-mass distributions in data and simulation in kinematic bins by describing the signal with Student's *t* function and the background with a polynomial



#### Proxy for $V^0$ decays

- Calculate proxy ratio as ratio of  $V^0$  signal yields between data and simulation
- Perform combined fit to V<sup>0</sup>-yield ratios with monotonic cubic Hermite spline based on tuned knots
- Assign systematic uncertainty to take into account variations not reflected by statistical uncertainty



# **Proxy for material interactions**

- Choose number of tracks produced in interactions of charged pions with the detector material as proxy
- Scale also number of tracks from conversions of photons (mostly originating from neutral-pion decays) with this proxy
- Select candidate vertices formed by three tracks and separated from beam axis
- Apply topological and kinematic requirements optimised using simulation



# **Proxy for material interactions**

- Introduce purity threshold for evaluation of proxy ratio
- Use obtained ratio in bins with sufficiently high purity and use average value of ratio otherwise
- Determine systematic uncertainty by varying proxy-selection requirements



#### **Result for adjusted correction factor**

- Data-over-simulation ratios of track-reconstruction efficiency provided by the LHCb tracking group
- Include systematic uncertainties for proxy determination and track reconstruction



#### **Result for adjusted correction factor**

- $\blacksquare$  Total relative uncertainty below  $5\,\%$  in almost all kinematic bins
- Largest contribution to total uncertainty from track-reconstruction efficiency



#### Summary and outlook

- Determined result for differential cross-section of prompt-chargedparticle production
- Achieved relative uncertainty below 5% enabling tuning of air-shower simulations
- Analysis almost ready for review
- Analyse subsequently proton-lead collision data recorded in 2016 at a nucleon-nucleon centre-of-mass energy of 8.16 TeV with the same strategy
- Addition of particle-identification information to strategy as long-term aim



# Backup

#### Energy-dependent trend of muon deficit in simulations for two different models



Julian Boelhauve | Bonn, 6 October 2020

# Correction factor and track-reconstruction efficiency from simulation



## Relative uncertainties affecting final result



# Ratio of cross-section from simulation and final result



Julian Boelhauve | Bonn, 6 October 2020

# Comparison of final results for both magnet polarities

