LHCP2021 survey - results
Distribution of participants & organisers by gender (1)

- **Participants (1030 persons)**
  - Male: 68%
  - Female: 29%
  - Rather not say: 3%

- **Speakers (257 persons)**
  - Male: 71%
  - Female: 29%
  - Rather not say: 1%

- **Conveners (78 persons)**
  - Male: 64%
  - Female: 32%
  - Rather not say: 4%
Distribution of participants & organisers by gender (2)

- **PC (19 persons)**
  - Male: 47%
  - Female: 53%
  - Rather not say: 22%
  - 78%

- **SC (9 persons)**
  - Male: 34%
  - Female: 66%
  - Rather not say: 22%
  - 78%

- **IAC (29 persons)**
  - Male: 66%
  - Female: 34%
  - Rather not say: 22%
  - 78%
Continent in which your institute is located

115 responses

- Europe/Middle East: 66.1%
- Asia: 15.7%
- Africa: 11.3%
- Australia/Oceania
- North America
- South America
Position

115 responses

- Student: 38.3%
- PostDoc: 19.1%
- Junior staff (e.g. tenure-track): 31.3%
- Senior staff (e.g. permanent, tenured...): 8.1%
- Other/retired: 0.8%
Age

115 responses

- Below 26 years: 13.9%
- 26-30 years: 13%
- 31-40 years: 30.4%
- 41-50 years: 13%
- Above 50 years: 13%
- Prefer not to say: 28.7%
In addition to your participation, did you have any other role(s) in the conference?

115 responses

- Speaker: 37 (32.2%)
- Poster presenter: 27 (23.5%)
- Session convener: 7 (6.1%)
- LHCP Committee member: 4 (3.5%)
- No: 41 (35.7%)
Which types of sessions did you attend (multiple replies are possible)?

115 responses

- Plenary: 92 (80%)
- Parallel: 92 (80%)
- Poster: 38 (33%)
- None: 1 (0.9%)
To allow the largest time zone coverage, the conference was concentrated between 12:00-18:45 (CEST), with two 15' breaks and up to 7 parallel sessions running in parallel. How satisfied are you with this choice?

115 responses

Average: 8.2+-2.3
How satisfied are you with having the parallel sessions in the central part of the daily schedule and the plenary sessions at the beginning or at the end of the schedule?

115 responses

Average: 8.1+-2.2
A possible alternative of the proposed format for future editions could be "premiere" sessions whereby talks are live and followed by 1 or 2 "replay" sessions to cover all time zones and during which recorded talks will be retransmitted. Would you prefer this alternative?

115 responses

- 35.7% Yes
- 35.7% No
- 28.7% I do not have an opinion
How satisfied are you with choice of ZOOM as the platform for the videoconferencing?

115 responses

Average: 9.0+-1.9
The ZOOM "Meeting" style was chosen for all the plenary and parallel sessions. Which in your opinion would be the most appropriate format for the sessions?

![Bar chart showing preferences for different session types]

- **Webinar**
- **Meeting**
- **I do not have an opinion**
How satisfied were you with the ZOOM raise-hand feature for the question and answer (Q&A) phase?

115 responses

Average: 8.7+-1.7
In addition to the ZOOM raise-hand feature, a possible option is to provide the Q&A window in ZOOM in which questions can be posted with the possibility to upvote/downvote the questions. Would you prefer this option to be used instead?

115 responses

- Yes: 39.1%
- No: 32.2%
- I do not have an opinion: 28.7%
Mattermost channels were also available where the participants could have commented on the session or even just say “hello”. How satisfied were you with them? (0=not at all satisfied, 4=completely satisfied)
We suggested to all the speakers, on a voluntary basis, to create and advertise in their talk a ZOOM meeting room (a "breakout room") for discussions with participants after their session (similarly to what might happen in an in-person coffee break). How satisfied were you with this option? (0=not at all satisfied, 4=completely satisfied)
How important is it to have a “breakout room” where both speaker and participants could discuss after the sessions?

115 responses

Average: 6.8+-2.7
Talks have been recorded and posted on the indico agenda. How satisfied were you with this choice?

115 responses

Average: 8.7+-2.3
Did you watch the recordings?

115 responses

- Yes: 45 (39.1%)
- Not yet, but I will watch them: 41 (35.7%)
- No: 32 (27.8%)
The plenary talks and some parallel session talks have been closed-captioned live by a professional company. The recordings of all talks are also closed-captioned (using for the other parallel session talks by an automatic captioning tool). How satisfied were you with this choice?

115 responses

Average: 7.1+-2.5
Did you use the live transcriptions?

115 responses

- Yes: 17 (14.8%)
- No, but I will read the posted transcriptions: 26 (22.6%)
- No: 75 (65.2%)
Budget is very severely constrained for an online conference without a fee. How useful do you find the following items (0 = low priority, 4 = high priority):
How important is to maintain a "fee free" registration for the online conferences?

115 responses

Average: 7.6+-2.6
Do you think that your institute/funding agency should contribute financially to support the organisation of a fully online conference through some fees for the participation of their staff?

115 responses

Average: 5.4+-3.1
What is in your opinion a reasonable fee for the (major) online conferences?

115 responses

- 0 EUR: 25.2%
- less than 20 EUR: 20%
- between 20 and 50 EUR: 44.3%
- between 50 and 100 EUR: 7%
- no limit: 7%
LHCP2021 did not have an outreach programme. How important is to propose the public online outreach program during an online conference?

115 responses

Average: 6.1+-3.0
How satisfied were you with the LHCP2021 online conference?

115 responses

Average: 8.0+-2.3