

7-12 June 2021 Paris (France), Sorbonne Université (IN2P3/CNRS,IRFU/CEA

Tracking, flavour tagging and particle ID at the LHCb experiment

LHCP2021

The Ninth Annual Conference on Large Hadron Collider Physics/

See related talks by LHCb speakers:

- LHCb Performance highlights by L. Henry; Monday
- Upgrades for LHCb by T. Szumlak; Friday
- Real-time analysis for Run 3 and beyond by M. Ramos Pernas; <u>Tuesday</u>
- GPUs in trigger and reconstruction by T. Boettcher; <u>Wednesday</u>

Vitalii Lisovskyi (TU Dortmund) on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

vitalii.lisovskyi@cern.ch







LHCb detector 2010-2018



- Collected about $9 \, fb^{-1}$ integrated luminosity with >90% data-taking efficiency
 - instantaneous lumi $\approx 3 \times 10^{-32} \,\text{s/cm}^2$

2

JINST 3 (2008) S08005

LHCb detector 2022 – ..

LHCB-TDR 013, 014, 015 3



- 5x luminosity
 - higher occupancy and pile-up
- Fully software trigger (30 MHz detector readout) with real-time alignment and calibration

- Long tracks used for most analyses
- Not only momentum measurement: displacement, track quality
- Alignment is a key!
 - happens in 'real-time' since 2015



magnet

TT

VELO

upstream track

VELO track

4

T stations

T track

long track

- Long tracks used for most analyses
- Not only momentum measurement: displacement, track quality
- Alignment is a key!
 - happens in 'real-time' since 2015







- Long tracks used for most analyses
- Not only momentum measurement: displacement, track quality
- Alignment is a key!
 - happens in 'real-time' since 2015





- Long tracks used for most analyses
- Not only momentum measurement: displacement, track quality
- Alignment is a key!
 - happens in 'real-time' since 2015



magnet

TΤ

VELO

upstream track

VELO track

T track

long track

T stations

Tracking efficiencies: muons

• Use $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ with tag-and-probe technique



JINST 10 (2015) P02007

LHCb-FIGURE-2020-010

Reco efficiencies: electrons JINST 14 (2019) P11023 9



Tracking in the Upgrade

• Full reconstruction & alignment in the software trigger



- See the <u>talk by Louis Henry</u>
- Challenges: high track multiplicity and number of primary vertices per event
- Full reconstruction at MHz rate
- Speed-ups must come with minimal losses for physics performance

Charged PID

- RICH provides hadron ID
- Muon stations help with muons
- CALO: E/p for electron ID
- Info from all subdetectors combined
- Alternative analysis-level variables:
 - "DLL": delta-log-likelihood of a given ID hypothesis compared to that of the pion
 - "ProbNN": probability of a given ID hypothesis predicted by a neural network
 - New muon ID variables designed for Run3, <u>JINST 15 (2020) T12005</u>
 - Work on better electron ID for Run3





Charged PID: calibration LHCb-PUB-2016-005

Large & clean calibration samples, minimally biased by the trigger, kinematic selection without PID cuts 250000 250000 200000 400000

Candidates / 0.28 N 120000 100000 100000

LHCb

kaons+pions

Ca

100000

LHCb

- Kaons, pions: $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ from D^{*+}
- Protons: $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$; $\Lambda_c \to pK^-\pi^+$



- selections designed to populate as large kinematic space as possible + alternative samples for better kinematic coverage ($K_s \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, etc)
- Usage: sPlot approach or fit-and-count approach
- Similar approach in Run3, but faster and more precise!

Charged PID: performance



Charged PID: performance



LHCb-FIGURE-2020-012

Neutral PID

- Separation of photons from charged hadrons and electrons, or photons from π^0 clusters
- Automated CALO calibration with $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- ID calibration with $B \to K^* \gamma$, $D_s^{+*} \to D_s^+ \gamma$, $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$, $D \rightarrow K \pi \pi^0$ etc



• Retuned tools for Run 3 due to removal of SPD/PS subdetectors

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: signal (background) probability = 0.374 (0.29)

photon-hadron separation

LHCb simulation preliminary

Signal (training sample)

Background (training sample)

Signal (test sample)

1/N) dN

Background (test sample)

Flavour tagging: classical approach



Inclusive flavour tagging

- Use state-of-the-art deep learning techniques: combine info of all non-signal tracks into the tag decision
 - tagging efficiency close to 100%
 - support one single framework (possibly with B^0/B_s^0 flavours) rather than 8 separate taggers
- Development of the optimal neural network architecture is the crucial part
 - Adapt to variable number of tracks
 - Avoid overtraining or learning biasing features
 - Validation on B^+/B^- data (self-tagging)



- Integration and validation into the Run3 software stack to ensure smooth transition
- Run 3 challenges:
 - FT performance degrades at high track multiplicity & pile-up
 - Event model must store enough information to run FT
- For more details, see <u>this poster</u>

Summary

- Excellent performance of all LHCb subsystems in Run 2
- Lots of experience gained to ensure smooth performance in the Upgrade(s)
- Looking forward to Run 3!

(placeholder for a funny cat picture)

