Top production and decay

9th Edition of the Large Hadron Collider Physics Conference

Sven Menke, MPP München on behalf of the ATLAS, CMS & LHCb collaborations

Introduction

• Top quark production at the LHC

Cross sections

- Pair production of top quarks
- Single top quark production

Top quark properties and tests in top quark decays

- Lepton universality
- W boson helicity
- Test of \mathcal{CP} violation
- b fragmentation
- Top quark polarisation
- Top quark mass

Conclusions









Kinematics at the LHC **b** tt production



Measuring top-quark production at different \sqrt{s} allows to vary the production mechanism (more gluons at higher \sqrt{s})

 \blacktriangleright There is even a small dataset at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \,\text{TeV}$ where $t\bar{t}$ production has been measured \blacktriangleright with $\sim 2.3 \times$ higher quark-pair fraction compared to 13 TeV

S. Menke, MPP München

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

Q vs. $x_{1,2}$ for the LHC at 7, 8, and 13 TeV (left)

Red curve shows top-pair production as example

QCD measurements constrain α_s and PDF's - here CTEQ 6.6 (right)

Cross sections Pair production of top quarks

\blacktriangleright The Di-Lepton channel provides the cleanest sample of tt events



 p_{\perp} of the sub-leading lepton

ATLAS-CONF-2021-003



Number of b-tagged jets

S. Menke, MPP München

Measurements of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ have been done at 7, 8 and 13 TeV in this channel but most recently the small $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV pp datasets have been analysed as well by CMS and ATLAS

Event selection for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ @5.02 TeV

- Exactly two central, isolated and oppositely charged leptons
- Corresponding same-flavour lepton samples used to estimate background
- Keep events with exactly 1 or 2 b-tagged jets (ATLAS) or at least 2 jets (with or without b-tag) (CMS)



ATLAS-CONF-2021-003

 $m_{\mu\mu}$ for Z + jet bkgd scale for 1 (2) b-tag(s)

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom







Cross sections > Pair production of top quarks

Counting experiment by CMS in $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ -channel

- integrated luminosity: $L = 304 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- $\sim \sigma_{t\bar{t}}(5.02 \text{ TeV})^{CMS} = 60.3 \pm 5.0_{stat} \pm 2.8_{syst} \pm 0.9_{lumi} \text{ pb} = 60.3 \pm 5.5_{tot} \text{ pb}$
 - open square in plot: 2017 $e\mu$ -result
 - open circle: 2015 result (lepton+jets and di-lepton)
 - closed circle: combination 2015 and 2017



 $\sigma_{
m t\bar{t}}$ VS. \sqrt{s}

ATLAS-CONF-2021-003



Fit of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ to number of e μ , ee and $\mu\mu$ events with exactly 1 or 2 b-tagged jets in ATLAS

- 6 $m_{\ell \ell} m_7$ bins to deplete/enhance background
- integrated luminosity: $L = 257 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(5.02 \text{ TeV})^{\text{ATLAS}} = 66.0 \pm 4.5_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.6_{\text{syst}} \pm 1.2_{\text{lumi}} \pm 0.2_{\text{beam}} \text{ pb} = 0.2_{\text{beam}} \text{ pb$ $66.0\pm4.9_{tot}\,\text{pb}$

Agreement with all predictions; CMS best described with ABMP16; ATLAS with NNPDF2.3

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

Cross sections \blacktriangleright Summary of inclusive $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ measurements

- Tevatron result for pp collisions @ 1.96 TeV) from May 2021 (below)



S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-014

Differential cross sections - Pair production of top quarks

- The Lepton+Jets offers best compromise between precision and statistics
- Differential and double-differential cross sections in full kinematic range @13 TeV by CMS
 - exactly 1 central, isolated e or μ
- 4 event categories based of number of tight (loose) b-tags and resolved or boosted top-quark candidates:
 - 2t: 2 resolved top-candidates with 2 tightly b-tagged jets
 - 1t11: 2 resolved top-candidates with 1 tight and 1 loose b-tag
 - BHRL: boosted hadronic top ($p_{\perp} > 400 \text{ GeV}$) but resolved leptonic top, 1 tight b-taq
 - BHBL: both top-candidates boosted, 1 loose b-tag on leptonic side

Kinematic quantities on parton-level for individual top-quarks: $\blacktriangleright p_{\perp}(t_h), p_{\perp}(t_{\ell}), |y(t_h)|$ and top-pairs: \blacktriangleright | $y(t\bar{t})$ |, $m(t\bar{t})$, $p_{\perp}(t\bar{t})$



LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom



CMS-PAS-TOP-20-001

Differential cross sections > Pair production of top quarks

- Unfolding background-subtracted distributions to parton- and particle-level for single- and double-differential cross-section measurements
 - 1D: the 6 kinematic quantities from prev. slide and $p_{\perp}(t_{high}), p_{\perp}(t_{low}),$ $S_{\perp} = p_{\perp}(t_{h}) + p_{\perp}(t_{\ell}), \Delta |y_{t/\bar{t}}|, |\Delta y_{t/\bar{t}}|, \Delta \phi_{t/\bar{t}} \text{ and } \overline{\cos(\theta^{*})}$
 - 2D: several combinations of the 1D observables:
 - ► $m(t\bar{t})$ vs. $p_{\perp}(t_h)$, $|y(t_h)|$, $\cos(\theta^*)$, $|y(t\bar{t})|$, $\Delta|y_{t/\bar{t}}|$ and $|\Delta y_{t/\bar{t}}|$
 - $\triangleright p_{\perp}(t_{h})$ vs. $|y(t_{h})|$ and $p_{\perp}(t\overline{t})$
 - ► $|y_{+}(t)|$ vs. $|y(\bar{t})|$

Comparison to QCD predictions:

- POWHEG+PYTHIA, POWHEG+HERWIG, MG5aMC@NLO+PYTHIA NLO simulations on parton- and particle-level with parton-showering
- and to NNLO MATRIX-calculations on parton-level











 $1/\sigma_{
m norm}\,{
m d}\,\sigma_{
m tar t}/{
m d}\,p_{\perp}\,(
m tar t)$ $1/\sigma_{\text{norm}} d^2 \sigma_{t\bar{t}}/d |y(t_h)| d p_{\perp}(t_h)$ in high $p_{\perp}(t_h)$ -bin $1/\sigma_{\text{norm}} d \sigma_{t\bar{t}}/d \cos(\theta^*)$ Predictions too hard in $p_{\perp}(t)$, o.k. in y, too peaked in $m(t\bar{t})$, deviate at high p_{\perp} , |y|, MATRIX best

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

CMS-PAS-TOP-20-001

 $1/\sigma_{\rm norm} \, d \, \sigma_{\rm t\bar{t}} / d \, m(\rm t\bar{t})$

 $1/\sigma_{
m norm} \, {
m d} \, \sigma_{
m tar t} / {
m d} \, |y(
m tar t)|$

Differential cross sections - Pair production of top quarks



- The full-hadronic channel provides a fully reconstructed final state of $t\bar{t}$ events but suffers from large QCD multijet background
- Single- and double-differential cross sections in the full hadronic channel @13 TeV by ATLAS
 - 6 central jets with $p_{\perp} > 55$ GeV, no leptons
 - 0 or more additional central jets with $p_{\perp}\,>\,25\,{
 m GeV}$
 - exactly 2 b-tagged jets
 - χ^2 -discriminant to form 2 all-hadronic top-candidates, based on m_W and $\Delta(m_{jjj_1}, m_{jjj_2})$ (but not on m_t)
 - $N_{\rm b}$ -tags and mass-window around $m_{\rm t}$ to define signal region (D) and background/control regions for data-driven background estimate (ABCD-method)
- Signal region D:
 - 2 b-tags, large separation of b, top-mass window





S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

 $\Delta R_{\rm bb}$

JHEP01(2021)033

 $\Delta R_{\rm bW}^{\rm max}$

Differential cross sections > Pair production of top quarks

- Unfolding to particle- and parton-level in 1D and 2D kinematic quantities of t and tt systems – and angular distances to additional jet-activity (recoiling against the tt-system)
- Comparison to QCD predictions:
 - POWHEG interfaced with PYTHIA or HERWIG MG5aMC@NLO+PYTHIA and SHERPA NLO simulations on parton- and particle-level with parton-showering
- similar observation as in lepton+jets channel:
 - ► too hard p_{\perp} by all predictions, bump in $m(t\bar{t})$
- POWHEG+HERWIG describes data best, closely followed by POWHEG+PYTHIA, MG5aMC@NLO+PYTHIA most discrepant



- ISR is dominant source for leading jet
- contribution at $\Delta R \simeq \pi$ when leading jet stems from top-decay:
 - underestimated by most predictions
- 2D distributions of top and top-pair kinematics in slices of jet-multiplicity poorly modelled by all generators









$1/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} d \sigma_{t\bar{t}}/d m(t\bar{t})$

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

JHEP01(2021)033



$1/\sigma_{\mathrm{t}\overline{\mathrm{t}}}\,\mathrm{d}\,\sigma_{\mathrm{t}\overline{\mathrm{t}}}/\mathrm{d}\,p_{\perp}\,(\mathrm{t}\overline{\mathrm{t}})$

$1/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \,\mathrm{d}\,\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\mathrm{d}\,\Delta\phi_{t\bar{t}}$

Cross sections Single top quark production

- Total cross section for single top production surprisingly large $\simeq 44(36)$ % of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ @8(13) TeV NLO single-top / NNLO+NNLL t \bar{t} predictions
 - Calculations by LHCTopWG with Top++, arXiv:1112.5675 for tt and Hathor v2.1, arXiv:1007.1327, arXiv:1406.4403 for single-top





S. Menke, MPP München





Cross sections Single top quark production

Measurement @8 TeV of σ_{Wt} with single lepton+jets final state by ATLAS

- exactly one central μ or e matching trigger
- missing transverse momentum $E_{\perp}^{\text{miss}} > 30 \,\text{GeV}$
- transverse mass of the leptonic W_{ℓ} , m_{\perp} (W_{ℓ}) > 50 GeV
- 3 central jets, one of them b-tagged forms the signal region (3j1b)
 - ▶ about 5% tW events; 58% tt
- 4 jets with 2 b-tags (4j2b):
 - very pure tt-dominated background validation region



pre-fit $m(W_h)$



use NN to discriminate signal from background

- NN input: optimised set of 4 kinematic variables of subset of selected objects (but not $m(W_h)$)
- Likelihood fit to 2D discriminant of $m(W_h)$ and NN output: $ightarrow \sigma_{Wt}(8 \text{ TeV})^{ATLAS} = 26 \pm 7_{tot} \text{ pb}$
 - Systematic uncertainty dominated by amount of QCD radiation in signal and background events, jet energy scale and b-tagging
- Comparison of all other single top-quark cross sections (left)

 $\sigma_{\rm EWt}, \sigma_{\rm Wt}, \sigma_{\rm EWs}$ VS. \sqrt{s}

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

arXiv:2007.01554

NN output in the signal region



Cross sections Single top quark production

Measurement of σ_{Wt} @13 TeV in the semi-leptonic channel by CMS

- exactly one central, isolated μ or e matching trigger
- 2-4 central jets exactly one of them b-tagged
- signal region (SR) is defined by 3 jets (3j)
 - \blacktriangleright purity is $\simeq 6\%$
- control regions (CR) for 2 jets (2j)
 - enhances W + jets and multi-jet background
- and 4 jets (4i)
 - enhances tt background

Data-driven estimate of multi-jet background by inverting lepton isolation



BDT output: 2 jet CR, μ channel

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsTOPSummaryFigures



 $\sigma_{\sf EWt}, \sigma_{\sf Wt}, \sigma_{\sf EWs}, \sigma_{\sf Zt}, \sigma_{\gamma t}$ vs. \sqrt{s}

S. Menke, MPP München

BDT to discriminate signal from background

- inputs to BDT are 8 kinematic variables based on the selected objects including $m(W_h)$
- Binned likelihood fit on BDT discriminants: $\sigma_{Wt}(13 \text{ TeV})^{CMS} = 89 \pm 4_{stat} \pm 12_{syst} \text{ pb}$
 - systematic uncertainties in multi-jet and W + jets background normalisation, jet energy scale and $t\bar{t}$ modelling dominate

Comparison of all other single top-quark cross sections (left)

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom



BDT output: 3 jet SR, μ channel



Properties and decays Tests of lepton universality

Measurement of $R(\tau/\mu) = B(W \rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau})/B(W \rightarrow \mu \nu_{\mu})$ in tt in the di-lepton channel @13 TeV by ATLAS

"Tag and probe" approach on di-lepton $t\bar{t}$ events: 0.01 m ATLAS Data 0.01 10^{6} 10⁶ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$. 139 fb⁻¹ Prompt µ (top) one lepton serves as "tag" Signal Region $\tau \rightarrow \mu$ (top) Signal Region ts / Events / 10⁵ e–μ, 20<p^μ<250 GeV u (hadron decay) the μ serves as "probe" to count un-biased Шve Post-Fit $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ Post-Fit 10^{4} 10^{4} Other SM processes \blacktriangleright the number of prompt W $\rightarrow \mu \nu_{\mu}$ decays and Uncertainty 10^{3} 10^{3} \blacktriangleright those with an intermediate $\tau: W \to \tau \nu_{\tau} \to \mu \nu_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}$ 10^{2} 10 • isolated, central μ or e for "tag" Data / • isolated, $p_\perp > 5~{ m GeV}~\mu$ for "probe" 0.45 0.5 0.05 0.1 0.15 at least two central b-tagged jets |d^μ| [mm • $e\mu$ and $\mu\mu$ events with Z-mass veto $|d_0^{\mu}|$ in e μ -channel \triangleright Z $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ calibration sample for transverse impact ATLAS ATLAS 50000 √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb $\Box Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ parameter $|d_0^{\mu}|$ (defined w.r.t. beam-line) Z Normalisation Selec Diboson processes $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 40000 Post-Fit Other SM processes Uncertaint • 2 μ with same requirements as above but 30000 Z-mass veto reversed 20000 wider mass-range for control sample to normalise Z-peak 10000 • no requirement on jets 0.98

Likelihood fit to templates of $|d_0^{\mu}|$ from prompt (Z $\rightarrow \mu\mu$) and non-prompt ($\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}$ from t \rightarrow Wb) muons and fakes

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

 $m_{\mu\mu}$ in Z $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ CR

S. Menke, MPP München

Event selection

Top production and decay

arXiv:2007.14040, accepted by Nature



ATLAS - this result Statistical Uncertainty Systematic Uncertainty — Total Uncertainty 1.04 1.06 1.02 1.08 $\mathsf{R}(\tau/\mu) = B(W \rightarrow \tau \nu)/B(W \rightarrow \mu \nu)$ $R(\tau / \nu)$ $R(\tau/\mu) = 0.992 \pm 0.007_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.011_{\text{syst}}$

Properties and decays W helicity

- In the SM the t-decay is almost always $t \rightarrow W^+b$ via a V A current interaction
- Deviations from expected V A behaviour indicate new physics
- In general for any combination of V and A:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta^*} = \frac{3}{4} F_0 (1 - \cos^2\theta^*) + \frac{3}{8} F_L (1 - \cos\theta^*)^2 + \frac{3}{8} F_R (1 + \cos\theta^*)^2$$
$$F_0 + F_L + F_R = 1, F_0 \simeq \frac{m_t^2}{2m_W^2 + m_t^2 + m_b^2} \simeq 0.7, F_R^{SM} = 0$$



- $\dot{}$ is the polar angle in the W rest frame between the W⁺⁽⁻⁾ momentum direction and the $\ell^{+(-)}$ or $\overline{q}(q)$
- Combination of 3 measurements of $\cos \theta^*$ -distributions in t t lepton+jets events by ATLAS and CMS and 1 such measurement in single t events by CMS, all @8 TeV
 - improving experimental precision ($\simeq 3 5\%$ each, compared to $\simeq 2\%$ from theory) motivates the combination of CMS' and ATLAS' results



Correlations

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

Evaluation of correlations between measurements

- F_0 and F_1 typically highly anti-correlated (by unitarity constraint and since $F_R \simeq 0$) within one measurement
- (anti-) correlations between different t \bar{t} measurements around 30 40% in magnitude
- CMS single-top measurement correlations to tt CMS measurements around 20% in magnitude, smaller to ATLAS



É

F



Properties and decays W helicity



Individual and combined results



Combined result

- $F_0 = 0.693 \pm 0.009_{\text{stat+bkg}} \pm 0.011_{\text{syst}} (\pm 2.0\%_{\text{tot}})$
- with total correlation of $\rho = -85\%$
- from unitarity constraint:
 - $ightarrow F_{
 m R} = -0.008 \pm 0.005_{
 m stat+bkg} \pm 0.006_{
 m syst}$

Dominant uncertainties

- radiation and scales modelling
- simulation sample size and choice

Limits on anomalous couplings

- SM: $V_{\rm I} \simeq 1$, all others zero

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

Top production and decay

S. Menke, MPP München

• $F_{\rm L} = 0.315 \pm 0.006_{\rm stat+bkg} \pm 0.009_{\rm syst} \ (\pm 3.5\%_{\rm tot})$

statistical uncertainties for data and background estimates

• allow for (BSM) non-zero right-handed vector couplings ($V_{\rm R}$) and left- and right-handed tensor couplings ($g_{\rm L}$ and $g_{\rm R}$)

 plots show allowed 68% and 95% CL contours around CMS (+), ATLAS (\times) and combined (*) results, compared to SM



Properties and decays \blacktriangleright **Test of** CP**-violation in top production**

- Test of CP-violation in di-leptonic t events @ 13 TeV by CMS
- Construct 2 CP-odd scalars from 4-vectors of reconstructed t and \overline{t} , b and \overline{b} and ℓ^+ , ℓ^-
 - $\mathcal{O}_1 = \varepsilon(p(t), p(\bar{t}), p(\ell^+), p(\ell^-))$
 - $\mathcal{O}_3 = \varepsilon(p(\mathbf{b}), p(\overline{\mathbf{b}}), p(\ell^+), p(\ell^-))$
- Count events with positive and negative \mathcal{O}_i
 - $A_i = \frac{N(\mathcal{O}_i > 0) N(\mathcal{O}_i < 0)}{N(\mathcal{O}_i > 0) + N(\mathcal{O}_i < 0)}$
- Asymmetries A_i are sensitive to presence of CP-violating top-production
 - e.g. via a Chromo Electric Dipole Moment (CEDM)
- Result from likelihood fit after combining ee, $\mu\mu$ and $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$
 - $A_1 = (2.4 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-3}, A_3 = (0.4 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-3}$
- Linear relation between A_i and dimensionless CEDM d_{tG}
 - $\mathcal{O}_1: d_{tG} = 0.10 \pm 0.12_{stat} \pm 0.12_{syst}$
 - \mathcal{O}_3 : $d_{tG} = 0.00 \pm 0.13_{stat} \pm 0.10_{syst}$
- Compatible with SM prediction





LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

CMS-PAS-TOP-18-007

Properties and decays b-fragmentation

- Measurement of b-fragmentation in $t\bar{t}$ events @ 13 TeV by ATLAS and CMS
- In MC generators b-fragmentation is typically tuned from LEP measurements
 - $x_{\rm B} = E_{\rm B}/E_{\rm beam}$ the fraction of energy carried by a b-hadron over the beam (and b-quark) energy in $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ events
- For proton colliders the energy of the fragmenting b-quark is not well defined
- Use b-quarks from $t\bar{t}$ events instead
 - b-jets as proxy for the b-quark
 - colour-connected to the initial state
 - compare b-hadron momentum (ATLAS) or its c-hadron daughter's momentum (CMS) with that of parent b-jet

2 of the observables studied by ATLAS:

- $z_{\perp,b} = p_{\perp,b}^{\text{chgd}} / p_{\perp,\text{iet}}^{\text{chgd}}$ and $z_{L,b} = \vec{p_b}^{\text{chgd}} \cdot \vec{p_{\text{jet}}^{\text{chgd}}} / |\vec{p_{\text{jet}}^{\text{chgd}}}|^2$, the transverse and longitudinal charged momentum fractions of the b-jet carried by the b-hadron
- unfolded to particle-level in fiducial phase space

Fit of $r_{\rm b}$ by CMS:

- templates in transverse momentum fraction carried by b-hadron daughters $(J/\Psi \text{ or } D^0)$ with r_b as template parameter
- simultaneous fit to J/Ψ , tagged and un-tagged D⁰ distributions
- $ightarrow r_{\rm b}^{\rm CMS} = 0.858 \pm 0.037_{\rm stat} \pm 0.031_{\rm syst}$
- In agreement with tune to e^+e^- -data



 $Z_{\perp,b}$





S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

ATLAS-CONF-2020-050



ZL.b CMS-PAS-TOP-18-012



Lund-Bowler b-fragmentation

Properties and decays > Top quark polarisation

Measurement of the polarisation of t and \overline{t} in single-top *t*-channel events @ 13 TeV by ATLAS

Top quark polarisation

- QCD produces unpolarised top-quarks through $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$
- V A structure of Wtb-vertex leads to fully polarised top-guarks
 - along (against) the direction of the down-type spectator/incoming quark for top (anti-top)
- different mix of dominant and sub-dominant LO process lead to SM expectation of +90% for t and -86% for \overline{t} (modified by acceptance)
- define coordinates in t-rest-frame: \hat{z}' : along spectator; \hat{y}' : orthogonal to \hat{z}' and incoming light quark; \hat{x}' : orthogonal to \hat{z}' and \hat{y}'
- ℓ^{\pm} direction from t $\rightarrow b\ell\nu_{\ell}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) as analyser
- $\theta_{\ell i}$, with i = x', y', z' is the polar angle of ℓ w.r.t. axis i

Event selection

- single, isolated, central e or μ
- missing transverse momentum $E_{\perp}^{\text{miss}} > 35 \text{ GeV}$; transverse mass of the W_{ℓ}, m_{\perp} (W_{ℓ}) > 60 GeV
- exactly 2 jets ($|\eta| < 4.5$, $p_{\perp} > 30$ GeV), exactly one b-tagged ($|\eta| < 2.5$)
- kinematic "cleaning cuts" to enhance t-channel

Polarisation

- t: $P_{x'} = 0.01 \pm 0.18$; $P_{y'} = -0.029 \pm 0.027$; $P_{z'} = 0.91 \pm 0.10$ \overline{t} : $P_{x'} = -0.02 \pm 0.20$; $P_{y'} = -0.007 \pm 0.051$; $P_{z'} = -0.79 \pm 0.16$
- Bounds on EFT coefficients
 - differential cross-sections constrain Wilson coefficients for \mathcal{O}_{tW} @ 95%CL: $C_{tW} \in [-0.7, 1.5]; C_{itW} \in [-0.7, 0.2];$ compatible with SM

Top production and decay





 $\cos \theta_{\ell \nu'}$





Polarisation

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

ATLAS-CONF-2021-027 (to appear)

 $\cos\theta_{\ell \tau'}$



EFT coefficients

Properties and decays • Top quark mass

Typical analysis in the case of direct mass measurement

- Reconstruct top candidates in data and MC > often with kinematic fit
- Perform Likelihood fit in one (m_{top}) or more ((b)-Jet Scale Factor (JSF, bJSF), f_{bkgd}) parameters
- Likelihood is based on Templates (ATLAS+CMS) or Ideograms (CMS)

Templates (ATLAS+CMS)

- Templates are Probability Density Functions (PDF)s constructed from full simulations in reconstructed quantities (m_{top}^{reco} , kinematic endpoints, ...)
- For many top quark masses (m_{top}^{gen} and optionally (JSF, bJSF))
- Separately for signal $(t\overline{t})$ and background
- Templates are parameterised and the parameters fitted linearly to varied quantities $(m_{top}^{gen}, ...)$
- Likelihood uses the fitted Template functions



Ideograms (CMS)

- Extension of Templates
- PDFs are constructed like above but for more signal categories
- Several permutations of the same event are allowed (weighted with $P_{q.o.f}$)
- PDFs are parameterised and the parameters fitted linearly to m_{top}^{gen} , ... as above
- Likelihood uses the Ideograms with fitted parameterised PDFs



LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

events / GeV

Normalised

ATLAS, arxiv:1503.05427





Direct mass measurements **>** Single t

- *m*_{top} from *t*-channel enhanced single-top sample in lepton+jets events @ 13 TeV by CMS Motivation
 - most direct measurements are based on $t\bar{t}$ -events
 - single-top probes different colour-reconnection situation

Event Selection

- exactly one central, isolated e (μ)
- jets are considered up to $|\eta| < 4.7$ and with $p_{\perp} > 40$ GeV
- $m_{\perp}(W_{\ell}) > 50 \, \text{GeV}$
- 2 jets with 1 b-tag (2J1T) defines signal region; 2J0T (no b-tag) the bkgd. validation region

BDT's to enhance *t*-channel single-top

- in 8 kinematic quantities not correlated with $m_{\rm t}$
- other single-top and $t\bar{t}$ from sim.; multi-jet from bkgd. validation sample

Fit to templates in $\ln m_{\rm t}$ after cut on BDT

- as alternative to $m_{\rm t}$ in order to reduce impact of high-mass tail where statistics are low
- lepton-charge combined and separate (to test for $\Delta(m_t, m_{\bar{t}})$)

First sub-GeV precision result in single-top

 $m_{\rm top} = 172.13 \pm 0.32_{
m stat+prof} + 0.69_{-0.7} {
m syst}$ GeV (charge combined), $\Delta(m_{
m t}, m_{
m ar t}) = m_{
m t} - m_{
m ar t} = 0.83 + 0.77_{-1.01} {
m tot}$

S. Menke, MPP München







BDT output









Template fit in In m_t

mass calibration GeV

Properties and decays • Top quark mass

Indirect mass measurements

Opposite approach than for Template/Ideogram methods

- Instead of fitting to MC distributions "folded" with the detector response unfold the data to hadron-/parton-level
- Compare to QCD predictions with m_{top}^{pole} as parameter
- Pro: More control over mass scheme
- Caveat: Larger uncertainties on both theory and experiment

Example: Cross section as function of m_{top}^{pole} in LO, NLO and NNLO

- Large dependency on order: $\sigma_{\rm NNLO}/\sigma_{\rm NLO} \simeq 10\%$
- Relative uncertainty stable: $\Delta \sigma_{t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}} \simeq 5\% \rightarrow \Delta m_{top} / m_{top} \simeq 1\%$

Experimental challenges:

- Unfolding is more difficult than folding
 - \blacktriangleright and potentially could re-introduce a dependency on m_{top} used in the MC
- Cross sections need absolute normalisation

New observables help

Use shapes of differential cross-sections instead of total cross sections

• For example
$$\mathcal{R}(m_{top}^{pole}, \rho_s) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}+1 \text{iet}} \frac{d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}+1 \text{jet}}{d\rho_s} (m_{top}^{pole}, \rho_s)$$

with
$$\rho_s = \frac{2m_0}{\sqrt{S_{t+i}}}$$
 (S. Alioli et al., Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013) 2438)

450	E
400	
350	- Li
300	E
250	E E
200	E
150	E
100	Ē
50	Ē
	150



0.7

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

S. Alekhin, J. Bluemlein, S. Moch Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 5, 054028



LO shape – NNLO identical





Indirect mass measurements \blacktriangleright t \overline{t} + 1jet

 m_{top}^{pole} from differential cross section observable in $t\bar{t} + 1$ jet in the lepton+jets channel @ 8 TeV by ATLAS

Event selection

- exactly one central, isolated, e or μ , at least 5 central jets, exactly 2 of them b-tagged, $E_{\perp}^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV, $m_{+}(W_{\ell}) > 30 \, \text{GeV}$
- Leading unused jet must satisfy $p_{\perp} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and is combined with t \overline{t} -system to reconstruct ρ_s

Unfolding of distribution in ρ_s to parton-level

- Compare to calculations in NLO with parton showering
- m_{top}^{pole} extracted from χ^2 -fit to theory in unfolded $\rho_s \in [0, 1]$
- Most sensitive regions are the low and high ρ_s -bins
- Validation with MC samples with different top-quark masses

$$m_{
m top}^{
m pole} = 171.1 \pm 0.4_{
m stat} \pm 0.9_{
m syst} \, {}^{+0.7}_{-0.3\,
m theo}$$



S. Menke, MPP München

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

Events / 0.0

JHEP11(2019)150



Reco. ρ_s



Unfolded ρ_s



Properties and decays Top quark mass

Direct mass measurements

- most precise from Run-1 combinations
- ATLAS: $m_{\rm t} = 172.69 \pm 0.48_{\rm tot}$ GeV
- CMS: $m_{\rm t} = 172.44 \pm 0.48_{\rm tot}$ GeV

Indirect mass measurements

- most precise from CMS differential cross-section @ Run-2
- CMS: $m_{\rm t} = 170.9 \pm 0.8_{\rm tot}$ GeV
 - but neglected Coulomb- and soft-gluon-resummations near $t\bar{t}$ -threshold could shift this by up to +1 GeV
- close in precision is $t\bar{t} + jets$ @ 8 TeV from ATLAS
- ATLAS: $m_{\rm t} = 171.1 + 1.2 + 1.0 \text{ tot}$ GeV



Indirect mass measurements: Sep 2019



Direct mass measurements: Apr 2021

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

23

l stat	
$101a1 (S1a1 \pm SyS1)$ $1 + 0.95 (0.35 \pm 0.88)$	
$5 \pm 0.35 (0.35 \pm 0.00)$	7 TeV [1]
$3 \pm 1.27 (0.75 \pm 1.02)$	7.30-7 TeV [2]
$0 \pm 1.27 (0.70 \pm 1.02)$ $0 \pm 1.41 (0.54 \pm 1.30)$	7 TeV [3]
+ 1 8 (1 4 + 1 2)	7 TeV [3]
+21(07+20)	8 TeV [5]
$2 \pm 0.85 (0.41 \pm 0.74)$	8 TeV [5]
2 + 1.15 (0.55 + 1.01)	8 TeV [7]
$3 \pm 0.91 (0.39 \pm 0.82)$	8 TeV [8]
$\theta \pm 0.48 (0.25 \pm 0.41)$	7+8 TeV [8]
$3 \pm 0.78 (0.40 \pm 0.67)$	13 TeV [9]
$9 \pm 1.06 (0.43 \pm 0.97)$	7 TeV [10]
0 ± 1.52 (0.43 ± 1.46)	7 TeV [11]
9 ± 1.41 (0.69 ± 1.23)	7 TeV [12]
5 ± 0.51 (0.16 ± 0.48)	8 TeV [13]
2 ± 1.23 (0.19 ± 1.22)	8 TeV [13]
2 ± 0.64 (0.25 ± 0.59)	8 TeV [13]
5 ± 1.22 (0.77 ± 0.95)	8 TeV [14]
4 ± 0.48 (0.13 ± 0.47)	7+8 TeV [13]
5 ± 0.63 (0.08 ± 0.62)	13 TeV [15]
3 ± 0.70 (0.14 ± 0.69)	13 TeV [16]
4 ± 0.73 (0.20 ± 0.70)	13 TeV [17]
$3\pm0.77~(0.32\pm0.70)$	13 TeV [18]
-2013-102 [7] JHEP 09 (2017) 118	[13] PRD 93 (2016) 072004
5) 330 [9] ATLAS-CONF-2019-046	[15] EPJC 78 (2018) 891
Sy 156 [10] JHEP 12 (2012) 105 5-2014-055 [11] EPJC 72 (2012) 2202	[10] EPJC 79 (2019) 368 [17] EPJC 79 (2019) 313
6) 350 [12] EPJC 74 (2014) 2758	[18] CMS-PAS-TOP-19-009
180	185

Conclusions

Top quark production at the LHC

- measured with high precision by CMS and ATLAS at 5, 7, 8 and 13 TeV and in forward phase-space by LHCb
- tt production most dominant
- 5 single-top production channels measured
- recently tttt production cross-section measured by ATLAS (ATLAS-CONF-2021-013):
 - $ightarrow \sigma_{4t}(13 \, \text{TeV}) = 24 \, {+7 \atop -6} \, \text{fb}$
- (multi-)differential cross-section comparisons to NLO and NNLO calculations

Top quark decays at the LHC

- rich laboratory to explore
 - ► Wtb-vertex structure
 - \blacktriangleright spin-correlations and CP-violation
 - b-fragmentation
 - lepton universality
 - top-quark polarisation

Measurements of the top-quark mass

- with direct and indirect methods
- direct measurements reached O(0.5 GeV) precision
- indirect measurements reached $O(0.8 \, \text{GeV})$
- so far no tension between the results

Top Quark Production Cross Section Measurements



More top-quark production and properties presentations at LHCP2021:

- Monday: Top physics: Top production
- Thursday: Top physics: Top mass and properties

LHCP2021, 8 June 2021, Zoom

S. Menke, MPP München

Top production and decay

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-014

Status: May 2021