

Recent hints of lepton flavor universality (LFU) violation:

Neutral current anomalies ( $\mu$  vs. e): R<sub>K</sub>, R<sub>K\*</sub>

Charged current anomalies ( $\tau$  vs.  $\mu$ /e): R<sub>D</sub>, R<sub>D\*</sub>, R<sub>1// $\psi$ </sub>

Typical b  $\rightarrow$  stt rate in SM: 10<sup>-7</sup>, current limit ~ 10<sup>-2</sup>

Many new physics scenarios plausible: (leptoquarks, new bosons, SUSY...)

- Models resolving the FCCC b  $\rightarrow$  ctv anomaly introduce O(0.1) correction to SM coupling at tree level.
- Enhancing  $b \rightarrow s\tau\tau$  rates by ~3 orders: more than a smoking gun!
- Still compatible with stringent FCNC b  $\rightarrow$  svv limits (O(10<sup>-5</sup>))

## LFU Tests at the Z Pole Simulation & Analysis Measurement of bstt and beyond

Hong Kong U. of Sci. and Tech.

Lingfeng Li

The  $3\pi\nu$  decay of  $\tau$  provides information of each decay vertex, given the high boost and tracking precision at the Z pole..

- 6 kinetic constraints + 2 mass-shell conditions,
- Fully reconstruct m<sub>B</sub>





 $\pi^{\mp}(K)$ 

 $K^{*0}(\phi)$ 

In SM, large backgrounds from D mesons faking  $\tau$ :  $3\pi + X$  decays of D mesons is common!

Conservative bkgs estimation using data.

		Properties	Decay Mode	BR
	$ au^{\pm}$	m = 1.777 GeV	$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\nu$	9.3%
		$L = 87.0 \mu \mathrm{m}$	$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{0}\nu$	4.6%
1			$\tau^{\pm}\nu$	5.5%
$D_s^{\pm}$		m = 1.968 GeV $L = 151 \mu \text{m}$	$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	1.1%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{0}$	0.6%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}2\pi^{0}$	4.6%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}K^0_S$	0.3%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\phi$	1.2%
	D±	$m = 1.870 \text{GeV}$ $L = 311 \mu \text{m}$	$\tau^{\pm}\nu$	< 0.12%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	0.31%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{0}$	1.1%
			$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}K^0_S$	3.0%
Type		Channel	Color $s\bar{s}$	au BR
		$B^0 \to K^{*0} D^{(*)+} D^{(*)-}$		$1.2  imes 10^{-2}$
$b \to c \bar{c} s$		$B_s \to K^{*0} D^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$		$1.2  imes 10^{-2}$
		$B_s \to \bar{K}^{*0} D_s^{(*)+} D^{(*)-}$		$1.2  imes 10^{-2}$
		$B^0 \to K^{*0} D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$	$\checkmark$	$1.6  imes 10^{-3}$
$b \to c \tau \nu$		$B^0 \to K^{*0} D_s^{(*)-} \tau^+ \nu$	<u>ا</u>	$\checkmark$ 3.0 $\times$ 10 <sup>-5</sup>
		$B_s \to \bar{K}^{*0} D^{(*)-} \tau^+ \nu$		$\checkmark$ 4.6 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>





## Future Prospects

Projection of  $B_s \rightarrow \phi vv \text{ process}$ , using full simulation data from the CEPC group.

- Differential measurements included
- S/B > 1 to avoid large systematics.
- Motivation for detector R&D

## References

signal-hemisphere

tag-hemisphere

Lingfeng Li and Tao Liu, [arXiv:2012.00665].

J. F. Kamenik, S. Monteil, A. Semkiv, and L. V. Silva Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017), no. 10 701, [arXiv:1705.11106].

B. Capdevila, A. Crivellin, S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, and J. Matias, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018), no. 18 181802, [arXiv:1712.01919].

CEPC Study Group Collaboration, M. Dong et al., [arXiv:1811.10545].

D. d'Enterria, in Proceedings, 17th Lomonosov Conference o Elementary Particle Physics: Moscow, Russia, August 20-26, 2015, pp. 182-191, 2017, [arXiv:1602.05043].