

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{x_{lj}^{\psi'} \langle \phi \rangle}{M_{lk}^{\psi'}} y_{ik}^{\psi} \overline{\psi}_{iL} \widetilde{H} \psi_{jR} + \frac{x_{ik}^{\psi} \langle \phi \rangle}{M_{lk}^{\psi}} y_{lj}^{\psi} \overline{\psi}_{iL} \widetilde{H} \psi_{jR} + \text{h. c.}$$
(3)

Our BSM model takes the form as follows:

Field	Q_{iL}	<i>u_{iR}</i>	d_{iR}	L_{iL}	<i>e</i> _{iR}	Q_{kL}	<i>u_{kR}</i>	d_{kR}	L_{kL}	e_{kR}	ν_{kR}	\widetilde{Q}_{kR}	\widetilde{u}_{kL}	\widetilde{d}_{kL}	\widetilde{L}_{kR}	\widetilde{e}_{kL}	$\widetilde{\nu}_{kR}$	ϕ	H_u	H_d
$SU(3)_C$	3	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	1	1	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
${\rm SU}(2)_{\rm L}$	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{Y}}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)^{\prime}$	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1

Table 1: An extended 2HDM with two vector-like (VL) families plus global U(1)' symmetry where i, j = 1, 2, 3 and k, l = 4, 5

(1) The SM particles are neutral under the U(1)' symmetry to keep the SM Yukawa interactions from arising.

2 Once the flavon ϕ develops its vev, the effective SM Yukawa interactions get to have a proportional factor $\langle \phi \rangle / M$.

The neutrino mass ma unitary mixing matrix U:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{Yukawa+Mass}} = v_{\nu}^{\mathrm{Yukawa+Mass}}$

 $M_{kk}^{
u}$

 $\overline{\nu}_{kR}$ $\overline{\nu}_{kR}$

Huchan Lee (University of Southampton, Physics and Astronomy)

Huchan Lee

31st May, 2021

University of Southampton, Physics and Astronomy



Figure 4: Diagrams in the model which lead to the effective Yukawa interactions for the up guark sector(two above diagrams) and the down quark sector(two below diagrams) in mass insertion approximation, where i, j = 1, 2, 3 and k, l = 4, 5 and M_{lk} is vector-like mass. The possible diagrams giving rise to the charged lepton Yukawa

> \sim \sim ℓ_{iR} $\rightarrow e_{iR} \quad L_{iL} \rightarrow b_{iR}$ \widetilde{L}_{kR} L_{lL}

Figure 5: Diagrams in the model which lead to the effective Yukawa interactions for the charged



 ν_{kR} Figure 6: Type 1b seesaw mechanism

3. Effective Yukawa matrices using a mixing formalism

Consider a 7×7 mass matrix for Dirac fermions:

				\sim	\sim)	
ψ_{2R}	$\psi_{\mathbf{3R}}$	ψ_{4R}	$\psi_{\mathbf{5R}}$	ψ_{4R}	ψ_{5R})	
0	0	$y_{14}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$	$y_{15}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 \rangle$	$x_{14}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	$x_{15}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	
0	0	$y_{24}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 \rangle$	$y_{25}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 \rangle$	$x_{24}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	$x_{25}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	
0	0	$y_{34}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$	$y_{35}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$	$x_{34}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	$x_{35}^{\psi}\langle\phi angle$	
$arphi_{42}^\psi \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$.	$y_{43}^{\psi} \langle \widetilde{H}^0 \rangle$	0	0	M_{44}^ψ	M_{45}^ψ	,
$v_{52}^\psi \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$	$y_{53}^\psi \langle \widetilde{H}^0 angle$	0	0	M_{54}^ψ	M_{55}^ψ	
$x_{42}^{\psi'}\langle \phi angle$	$x_{43}^{\psi'}\langle \phi angle$	$M_{44}^{\psi'}$	$M_{45}^{\psi'}$	0	0	
$x_{52}^{\psi'}\langle\phi angle$	$x^{\psi'}_{53}\langle \phi angle$	$M^{\psi'}_{54}$	$M_{55}^{\psi^\prime}$	0	0]
52 .		51	55		(Ź	4)

After vanishing v_{ϕ} terms by rotating them, we can read off the upper 3×3 mass matrix for SM charged leptons.

$s^L_{15} y^e_{52} + y^e_{15} \theta^e_{25}$	$s_{15}^L y_{53}^e + y_{15}^e \theta_{35}^e$
$y_{52}^e y_{52}^e + y_{24}^e \theta_{24}^e + y_{25}^e \theta_{25}^e$	$s_{25}^{L}y_{53}^{e} + y_{24}^{e}\theta_{34}^{e} + y_{25}^{e}\theta_{35}^{e}$
$\bar{y}_{55}y_{52}^e + y_{34}^e\theta_{24}^e + y_{35}^e\theta_{25}^e$	$s_{34}^{L}y_{43}^{e} + s_{35}^{L}y_{53}^{e} + y_{34}^{e}\theta_{34}^{e} + y_{35}^{e}\theta_{35}^{e} /$
	(5)

4. Analytic arguments for $\Delta a_{\mu,e}$ and BR ($\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$) with W boson

The simplified mass matrix for neutrinos in our model is given by:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \nu_{2L} & \nu_{3L} & \overline{\nu}_{4R} & \nu_{4R} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & y_1^{\nu} \nu_u & \epsilon y_1^{\nu'} \nu_d \\ \hline 0 & 0 & y_2^{\nu} \nu_u & \epsilon y_2^{\nu'} \nu_d \\ \hline 0 & 0 & y_3^{\nu} \nu_u & \epsilon y_3^{\nu'} \nu_d \\ \hline y_2^{\nu} \nu_u & y_3^{\nu} \nu_u & 0 & M_{44}^{\nu} \\ \hline y_2^{\nu'} \nu_d & \epsilon y_2^{\nu'} \nu_d & M_{44}^{\nu} & 0 \end{array}} \right) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $v_u(v_d)$ is the vev of $H_u(H_d)$, v_u runs from $246/\sqrt{2}$ GeV $\simeq 174$ GeV to 246 GeV and $v_u^2 + v_d^2 = (246 \text{ GeV})^2$. The next step is to read off Weinberg operator for neutrinos from the neutrino mass matrix.

$$- L_j \quad L_i \longrightarrow \overline{\nu_{kR}} \quad \widetilde{\nu_{kR}} \quad L_j$$

Figure 7: The conventional Weinberg operator (or type 1a seesaw mechanism; left) and a Weinberg-like operator (or type 1b seesaw mechanism; right) Here, we can read off nature of vector-like mass by looking at the renormalizable Lagrangian.

$$\sqrt{L_{iL}}\widetilde{H}_{u}\nu_{kR} + \epsilon y_{i}^{\nu'}\overline{L}_{iL}H_{d}\overline{\widetilde{\nu}}_{kR} + M_{kk}^{M}\overline{\widetilde{\nu}}_{kR}\nu_{kR} + \text{h. c.},$$
 (7)
Atrix of Equation 6 can be diagonalized by the

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D^T \\ m_D & M_N \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} m_\nu^{\text{diag}} & 0 \\ 0 & M_N^{\text{diag}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(8)

The unitary mixing matrix U is defined by multiplication of two unitary matrices which we call U_A and U_B , respectively: $U = U \cup U$

$$U_{A} = \exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta \\ -\Theta^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} I - \frac{\Theta\Theta^{\dagger}}{2} & \Theta \\ -\Theta^{\dagger} & I - \frac{\Theta\Theta^{\dagger}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } I$$
$$U_{B} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Theta \Theta^{\dagger}/2$ is known as the deviation of unitarit VL mass \dot{M}_{44}^{ν} and the Yukawa couplings y_{ij}^{ν}

$$\eta_{ij} = \frac{\Theta_i \Theta_j^{\dagger}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_D^{\dagger} m_D}{M_N^2} = \frac{1}{2M_{44}^{\nu 2}} \left(v_u^2 y_i^{\nu *} y_j^{\nu} + \epsilon^2 v_d^2 y_i^{\nu \prime *} y_i^{\nu} \right)$$
Consider the three light neutrinos in SM for the C

Figure 8: Diagrams for CLFV $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay with all SM neutrinos(n = 1, 2, 3) The SM prediction with neutrinos gives a very suppressed sensitivity [2] $\mathbb{PR}\left(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma \right) = 10^{-55} \quad \text{(with } \nu_{1.2.3}\text{)}.$

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 10^{-44}$$
 (with ν_1 ical sensitivity can be enhanced to the

This impracti introducing heavy vector-like neutrinos.



BR
$$(\mu \to e\gamma) = rac{3lpha_{
m em}}{8\pi} |\eta_{21}|^2 \left(F(x_4) - rac{3\alpha_{
m em}}{8\pi} |\eta_{21}|^2 \left(F(x_4) - rac{3\alpha_{
m em}}{8\pi} |\eta_{21}|^2 + r$$

$$F(x_n) = \frac{10 - 43x_n + 78x_n^2 - (49 - 18\log 3)}{3(x_n - 1)^4}$$

We derive our prediction for the muon anomalous magnetic moment in this section. Consider two possible diagrams for muon(electron) anomalous magnetic moment at one-loop level in Figure 10



Figure 10: Diagrams for muon anomalous magnetic moment with all neutrinos (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) Our prediction for muon(electron) anomalous magnetic moment at one-loop level is

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = \frac{\alpha_{W}}{16\pi} \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \eta_{22} \left(F(x_{4}) - F(0) \right)$$

$$\Delta a_{e} = \frac{\alpha_{W}}{16\pi} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \eta_{11} \left(F(x_{4}) - F(0) \right).$$
(14)

As for the constraint of deviation of unitarity η with decay at 1σ , it is given by [3, 4]

$$|\eta_{21}| \le 8.4 \times 10^{-6}.$$

As in the co for electron

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{21}| &\leq 8.4 \times 10^{-6}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

onstraint for η_{21} in Equation 15, the other non-unitarities $\eta_{11,22}$
and muon anomalous mangetic moment are given by[5]
 $\eta_{11} &< 4.2 \times 10^{-4} (\text{for NH}), \quad < 4.8 \times 10^{-4} (\text{for IH}) \\ \eta_{22} &< 2.9 \times 10^{-7} (\text{for NH}), \quad < 2.4 \times 10^{-7} (\text{for IH}) \end{aligned}$

Then we are ready to calculate impact of muon a the given bound of Equation 16.

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = \frac{\alpha_{W}}{16\pi} \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \eta_{22} \left(F(x_{4}) - F(0) \right) \simeq -6.6(-5.5) \times 10^{-16}$$

$$\Delta a_{e} = \frac{\alpha_{W}}{16\pi} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \eta_{11} \left(F(x_{4}) - F(0) \right) \simeq -2.2(-2.6) \times 10^{-17}$$
(1)

5. Analytic arguments for $\Delta a_{\mu,e}$ and $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ with scalars

The relevant sector for muon and electron g - 2 with scalar exchange is charged lepton, so revisit the effective Yukawa matrix for the charged leptons.

$$y_{ij}^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{24}^{e} x_{42}^{e} & y_{24}^{e} x_{43}^{e} \\ 0 & y_{34}^{e} x_{42}^{e} & y_{34}^{e} x_{43}^{e} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{44}^{e}} + \begin{pmatrix} y_{15}^{e} x_{51}^{e} & y_{15}^{e} x_{51}^{e} \\ y_{25}^{e} x_{51}^{e} & y_{25}^{e} x_{53}^{e} \\ y_{35}^{e} x_{51}^{e} & y_{25}^{e} x_{53}^{e} x_{15}^{e} \\ y_{51}^{e} x_{25}^{L} & y_{52}^{e} x_{25}^{L} & y_{53}^{e} x_{15}^{L} \\ y_{51}^{e} x_{35}^{L} & y_{52}^{e} x_{25}^{L} & y_{53}^{e} x_{25}^{L} \\ y_{51}^{e} x_{35}^{L} & y_{52}^{e} x_{35}^{L} & y_{53}^{e} x_{35}^{L} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{55}^{L}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

Fermion mass hierarchies from vector-like families with an extended 2HDM and a possible explanation for the electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments

The possible diagrams contributing to the low energy quark Yukawa

leading order in Θ

y
$$\eta$$
, which consists of

he observable level by

h the CLFV
$$\mu
ightarrow e \gamma$$

and electron
$$g-2$$
 with



The only diagonal components should alive in the mass matrix. In order to make the mass matrix diagonal, we assume that $y_{34}^e = x_{43}^e = y_{15,25,35}^e = x_{51,52,53}^e = x_{25,35}^L = y_{52,53}^e = 0$. Then, the mass matrix is reduced to

$$y_{ij}^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{51}^{e} s_{15}^{L} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & y_{24}^{e} s_{24}^{e} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & y_{43}^{e} s_{34}^{L} \end{pmatrix},$$
(19)

where $s_{15}^L \simeq x_{15}^L \langle \phi \rangle / M_{55}^L$, $s_{24}^e \simeq x_{42}^e \langle \phi \rangle / M_{44}^e$, $s_{34}^L \simeq x_{34}^L \langle \phi \rangle / M_{44}^L$ and the diagonal elements from top-left to bottom-right should be responsible for electron, muon and tau Yukawa constants, respectively. The charged lepton mass matrix with the assumption is:

$$M^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e_{1R}}{\overline{L}_{1L}} & e_{2R} & e_{4R} & L_{5R} \\ \hline \overline{L}_{1L} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{15}^{L} v_{\phi} \\ \hline \overline{L}_{2L} & 0 & 0 & y_{24}^{e} v_{d} & 0 \\ \hline \overline{L}_{5L} & y_{51}^{e} v_{d} & 0 & 0 & M_{55}^{L} \\ \hline \overline{\tilde{e}}_{4L} & 0 & x_{42}^{e} v_{\phi} & M_{44}^{e} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

The reduced charged lepton mass matrix in Equation 20 clearly tells that no mixing between charged leptons arise so the branching ratio of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is naturally satisfied under this scenario. The scalar exchange for both anomalies can be realized by closing the Higgs sectors in Figure 5 as per Figure 11.

Figure 11: Diagrams contributing to muon anomalous magnetic moment(left) and electron anomalous magnetic moment(right) where $H_{1,2}$ are CP-even non-SM scalars and $A_{1,2}$ are CP-odd scalars in the physical basis The scalar potential of the model under consideration takes the form:

$$V = \mu_1^2 \left(H_u H_u^{\dagger} \right) + \mu_2^2 \left(H_d H_d^{\dagger} \right) + \mu_3^2 \left(\phi \phi^* \right) - \mu_{\rm sb}^2 \left[\phi^2 + \left(\phi^* \right)^2 \right] + \lambda_1 \left(H_u H_u^{\dagger} \right)^2 + \lambda_2 \left(H_d H_d^{\dagger} \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(H_u H_u^{\dagger} \right) \left(H_d H_d^{\dagger} \right) + \lambda_4 \left(H_u H_d^{\dagger} \right) \left(H_d H_u^{\dagger} \right) + \lambda_5 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} H_u^i H_d^j \phi^2 + h.c \right)$$

(21)

(27)

 $+\lambda_{6}\left(\phi\phi^{*}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{7}\left(\phi\phi^{*}\right)\left(H_{u}H_{u}^{\dagger}\right)+\lambda_{8}\left(\phi\phi^{*}\right)\left(H_{d}H_{d}^{\dagger}\right)$

1
$$\lambda_i (i=1,2,\cdots,8)$$
 : dimensionless parameters, $\mu_j (j=1,2,3)$: dimensionful parameters

2 We consider the U(1)' symmetry as global in this model to avoid Z' constraint.

The rate for the $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay is given by:

$$\Gamma(h \to \gamma \gamma) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^2 m_h^3}{256\pi^3 v^2} \left| \sum_f a_{hff} N_C Q_f^2 F_{1/2}(\rho_f) + a_{hWW} F_1(\rho_W) + \frac{C_{hH^{\pm}H^{\mp}} v}{2m_{H^{\pm}}^2} F_0(\rho_{H_k^{\pm}}) \right|^2$$
(24)

where ρ_i are the mass ratios $\rho_i = \frac{m_h^2}{4M^2}$ with $M_i = m_f, M_W$; α_{em} is the fine structure constant; N_C is the color factor ($N_C = 1$ for leptons and $N_C = 3$ for quarks) and Q_f is the electric charge of the fermion in the loop. The necessary deviation factors for our numerical analysis are:

$$a_{htt} \simeq 1, \quad a_{hWW} = \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}}$$
 (25)

The Lagrangian for the muon anomalous magnetic moment is: $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta a_{\mu}} = y_{24}^{e} \mu \left((R_{e}^{T})_{22} H_{1} + (R_{e}^{T})_{23} H_{2} - i \gamma^{5} (R_{o}^{T})_{22} A_{1} - i \gamma^{5} (R_{o}^{T})_{23} A_{2} \right) \overline{e}_{4}$

Then, it follows that the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments in the scenario of diagonal SM charged lepton mass matrix takes the form:

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = y_{24}^{e} x_{42}^{e} \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}} \Big[\left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{22} \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{32} I_{S}^{(\mu)} \left(m_{e_{4}}, m_{H_{1}} \right) + \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{23} \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{33} I_{S}^{(\mu)} \left(m_{e_{4}}, m_{H_{2}} \right) \\ - \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{22} \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{32} I_{P}^{(\mu)} \left(m_{e_{4}}, m_{A_{1}} \right) - \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{23} \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{33} I_{P}^{(\mu)} \left(m_{e_{4}}, m_{A_{2}} \right) \Big] \\ \Delta a_{e} = y_{51}^{e} x_{15}^{L} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}} \Big[\left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{22} \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{32} I_{S}^{(e)} \left(m_{e_{5}}, m_{H_{1}} \right) + \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{23} \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{33} I_{S}^{(e)} \left(m_{e_{5}}, m_{H_{2}} \right) \\ - \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{22} \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{32} I_{P}^{(e)} \left(m_{e_{5}}, m_{A_{1}} \right) - \left(R_{o}^{T} \right)_{23} \left(R_{e}^{T} \right)_{33} I_{P}^{(E)} \left(m_{e_{5}}, m_{A_{2}} \right) \Big]$$

where the loop integrals are given by:

$$I_{S(P)}^{(e,\mu)}(m_E,m_S) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 \left(1 - x \pm \frac{m_E}{m_{e,\mu}}\right)}{m_{\mu}^2 x^2 + \left(m_E^2 - m_{e,\mu}^2\right) x + m_{S,P}^2 \left(1 - x\right)} dx$$
(28)

and S(P) means scalar(pseudo scalar) and E means vector-like family.

6. Numerical analysis of the scalar exchange

To begin with, we consider the parameter spaces for the muon anomaly versus electron anomaly with a mass parameter which attends both anomalies $(H_{1,2}, A_{1,2})$ and does not (H^{\pm}) in Figure 12.



Figure 12: Available parameter spaces for the muon anomaly versus electron anomaly with a mass parameter





Figure 13: Available parameter spaces for the muon anomaly (electron anomaly) versus a relevant vector-like mass $m_{e_{\star}}(m_{e_{\star}})$



200

M_{H1}[GeV]

220

240

260

 $+ x_{42}^{e} \widetilde{e}_{4} \left((R_{e}^{T})_{32} H_{1} + (R_{e}^{T})_{33} H_{2} - i\gamma^{5} (R_{o}^{T})_{32} A_{1} - i\gamma^{5} (R_{o}^{T})_{33} A_{2} \right) \overline{e}_{2} + M_{44}^{e} \widetilde{e}_{4} \overline{e}_{4} + \text{h. fhe total cross section for } pp \rightarrow H_{1} \text{ runs from nearly 8 pb at 200 GeV to}$ Figure 14: The total cross section for $pp \rightarrow H_1$ at 14 TeV smaller values as mass of \hat{H}_1 increases. The order of magnitude of this cross section for $pp \rightarrow H_1$ is compatible to that of the SM process $pp \rightarrow h$, however the BSM process is strongly suppressed since its single LHC production via gluon fusion mechanism is dominated by the triangular bottom quark loop. Therefore, our prediction with the light non-SM scalar H_1 is possible to accommodate each anomaly constraint at 1σ .

- S. F. King, JHEP 09, 069 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2018)069 [arXiv:1806.06780 [hep-ph]].
- L. Calibbi and G. Signorelli, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 41, no. 2, 71 (2018) doi:10.1393/ncr/i2018-10144-0 [arXiv:1709.00294 [hep-ph]].
- E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez-Garcia and J. Lopez-Pavon, JHEP 08, 033 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2016)033 [arXiv:1605.08774 [hep-ph]].
- M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D 98, no.3,
- 030001 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001 J. Hernandez-Garcia and S. F. King, JHEP 05, 169 (2019) doi:10.1007/JH