DMM & TMM: the Double and Triple Micro-Mesh gaseous structures for high gain and low ion-backflow purposes

> Zhiyong Zhang For the USTC MPGD group

State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, China University of Science and Technology of China

> RD51 collaboration meeting Jun.23, 2020

### Outline

Motivation

Summary

- Double Micro-Mesh gaseous structure
  - Design and Fabrication
  - Performance Characterization
  - Optimization for further IBF suppression
- Triple Micro-Mesh gaseous structure
  - Design and performance study

2020/06/23

# Motivation : GPD

- Gaseous Photon Detectors (GPD) with MPGD
  - large area, high spatial and timing resolution, resistant to magnetic field, IBF suppression, low cost ...
- Challenges





# Motivation: TPC

- Application of TPC in high-rate environments: ALICE upgrade, ILD, CEPC ...
  - Very low IBF is the key: to minimize drift field distortion caused by ion spaceccharge CEPC



MPGD is the only solution so far. The IBF still need to be further reduced.

# DMM Design

- DMM: Double Micro-Mesh gaseous
  Stholettype → mesh-type : to strongly reduce IBF
  - Double mesh: cascadinggyaxa, lanche



#### Stacked two meshes

- Gap between the stacked meshes: 200-300um, serving as pre-amplification (PA)
- Gap between the bottom mesh and anode: 50-100um as secondary amplification (SA)
- Allows to achieve very high gain, and yet significantly reduce ion back-flow.

# DMM Fabrication

• DMM is fabricated with the thermal bonding method developed at USTC, which provides a concise and etching-free process for manufacturing Micromegas detectors



#### PA Mesh

Thermal bonding film <sup>x</sup> 2

#### SA Mesh

Thermal bonding film  $\chi 1$ 

Anode PCB

#### The schematic diagram of DMM fabrication

More details on thermal bonding method, see Jianxin's talk on 26/06 morning: "Production and performance of Micromegas detectors using

"Production and performance of Micromegas detectors using thermal bonding method"



A 2.5cm×2.5cm DMM prototype

# Resolution with <sup>55</sup>Fe





- Combined gain can reach up to 7×10<sup>4</sup> for 5.9 keV X-rays.
- Combined resolution remains almost constant and is close to PA-alone resolution, suggesting a close-to-full collection of primary electrons for the high-voltage configurations we used.

### **Previous results and validation**



- □ Gain measured with X-ray energy spectrum  $(Q_{full-energy peak}/Q_{Primary})$  is consistent with  $I_{Anode}/I_{Primary}$ .
- □ No ion space charge effect is confirmed in the DMM test.

#### More details:

■A high-gain, low ion-backflow double micro-mesh gaseous structure for single electron detection, NIM-A, 889 (2018) 78–82.

https://indico.cern.ch/event/757322/contributions/3387079/attachments/1840422/30 17748/DMM-MPGD2019.pdf

□<u>Also in backup slides</u>

#### Can we further lower the IBF?

Obviously, the IBF is depend on the geometry of the detector structure, in which the alignment, density, distance etc. of two meshes can be optimized.



# **Optimization for Low IBF**

Detectors	Cross	PA gaps	LPI
	Angle (°)	(µm)	
DMM1	0	240	500
DMM2	45	240	500
DMM3	45	180	500
DMM4	45	240	650



It's impractical to make any precise alignment of the two meshes. So setting the two meshes with a crossing angle is a practical way to ensure their mis-alignment.

# LOW IBF



Larger gap increase the transverse diffusion of avalanche

Higher mesh density decrease the mesh pitch

Both of these increase the  $\sigma/l$  value, optimizing the IBF as a consequent.

# **Optimization Outcome For DMM**



# Optimization Outcome for DMM



This result fulfill the requirement of  $\varepsilon < 5$  in some high rate TPC (CEPC-TPC), even operated in higher gain of >10000 is possible to improve the S/N.

#### Can the IBF be lower?

□ For the case of DMM, when we set PA at 550V and switch off SA, the I<sub>drift</sub>/I<sub>primary</sub> was measured at ~1.3

Drift cathode (0V)

Pre-mesh (+HV)

Sec-mesh (+HV)

Anode (same to Sec-mesh)

The PA only contributes ~0.3 for the  $\varepsilon$  factor, the SA dominates the total IBF

So, adding another mesh on the DMM to suppress the SA ions is a easy option.

→Let's go to TMM

# TMM Design

• TMM: Triple Micro-Mesh gaseous stonechare mesh on the DMM • More stable gain, lower IBF from second avalanche Drift region ~3-5 mm "Piggyback +" PA SA TA

Stacked three meshes

- Gap between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> meshes: 200-300um, serving as pre-amplification (PA)
- Gap between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> meshes : 200-300um as secondary amplification (SA)
- Gap between the bottom mesh and anode: 50-100um as secondary amplification (TA)

### Gain and energy resolution



- Gas gain reaches up to  $7 \times 10^4$  for 5.9 keV X-rays
- Energy resolution at ~21% (FWHM) indicates a high collection efficiency of the primary electrons

#### **IBF measurement for TMM**



### To optimize

Combined gain = PA gain  $\times$  SA trans  $\times$  SA gain  $\times$  TA trans  $\times$  TA gain



PA and TA voltages are fixed at 650V and 530V, this plot shows the combined gain, IBF and their product change with the variation of SA voltages



### Summary

- Developed the DMM &TMM featuring high gain and low IBF
- Demonstrated the performance of DMM &TMM with small-size prototypes:
  - Gain: 7×10<sup>4</sup> for 5.9 keV X-rays and 3×10<sup>6</sup> for single electrons.
  - IBF ratio: down to ~ 0.03% for DMM, ~ 0.003% for TMM
- Potential applications
  - Gaseous photon detectors
  - High-rate TPC readout
  - Many others...

### Summary

- Developed the DMM &TMM featuring high gain and low IBF
- Demonstrated the performance of DMM &TMM with small-size prototypes:
  - Gain:  $7 \times 10^4$  for 5.9 keV X-rays and  $3 \times 10^6$  for single electrons.
  - IBF ratio: down to  $\sim 0.03\%$  for DMM,  $\sim 0.003\%$  for TMM
- Potential applications
  - Gaseous photon detectors Thank you!
  - High-rate TPC readout
  - Many others...

# **Back-up**

### Electron Transparency

 Transparencies for electrons passing through PA and SA meshes are extracted by measuring PA, SA and total (PA and SA combined, DMM) gas gains



### Electron Transparency

Simulation study on Electron transparency with different mesh density



## Ion Space-Charge Effect



 Our IBF measurements are reliable in terms of ion space-charge effect (impact is negligible).

2020/06/23

# Validation of IBF Measurement



- Gain measured with X-ray energy spectrum  $(Q_{full-energy peak}/Q_{Primary})$  consistent with  $I_{Anode}/I_{Primay}$
- I<sub>Anode</sub> stays proportional to X-ray intensity in a rather wide range, suggesting no gas gain saturation in the IBF measurement.
- IBF ratios measured with 55Fe and X-ray tube are consistent

# **Performance Characterization**



IBF measurement setting

• DMM with Ar  $(93\%) + CO_2(7\%)$ 

- Electron transparency
- Energy resolution and gas gain
- Ion back-flow ratio
- DMM with Ne (80%) +  $CF_4$  (10%) +  $C_2H_6$  (10%)
  - Single photon electron response



#### **Sec-amplification (SA)**



# Full energy peak due to the lateral angle photoelectrons and Auger electrons

Gain VS avalanche voltages



#### **Towards large area**

- It is a crucial issue for the DMM (TMM) is to make a large area for real experiments
- Thermal bonding method open the door to make this complex fabrication





A 150mm  $\times$  150mm DMM prototype

Thermal bonding

## Long-Term Stability

#### DMM: 240µm- 45<sup>0</sup> -LPI650 ~24 hours of X-ray



#### Spark probability < 10<sup>-</sup>