<u></u>

W and Z/ γ^* production in p-p collisions with ATLAS

Manuella G. Vincter (Carleton University) on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Measurements of the inclusive W and Z as well as W+jets and Z+jets production cross sections at hadron colliders constitute important tests of the Standard Model

- will provide new constraints on the parton distribution functions
- will allow for precise tests of perturbative QCD
- Also constitute significant background in other SM or BSM processes
- Need to measure them well!

This presentation: ATLAS results of leptonic production of

- W⁺, W⁻ and Z/γ* (JHEP12(2010)060)
- W charge asymmetry (JHEP12(2010)060)
- W+jets (arXiv:1012.5382)
- Z /γ*+jets (ATLAS-CONF-2011-001)







Data presented here collected over a five-month period, from March to August 2010

- Centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV p-p collisions. Integrated luminosity:
 - 0.32 pb⁻¹: W \rightarrow ev, W \rightarrow µv, Z \rightarrow ee, Z \rightarrow µµ
 - 1.3 pb⁻¹ : W(→ev)+jets, W(→ μ v)+jets, Z(→ee)+jets, Z(→ μ μ)+jets
- Hardware-based level-1 trigger: E^e_T>10GeV (or 14GeV), p^μ_T>6 GeV (or 10 GeV)
- Pile-up varied from zero to about two extra interactions per event.



Identification/reconstruction of leptons and jets

Electron and muon identification criteria similar for W incl, W +jets, Z incl, Z+jets

- Some further optimisations in W,Z+jets analysis to reduce QCD background
- e: $E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.47$ (excluding overlap region 1.37-1.52)
 - Identified using both calorimeter and inner (tracking) detector
- μ: p_T>20 GeV, |η|<2.4</p>
 - Identified using both inner detector and muon spectrometer
 - Additional track-based isolation requirements to further suppress QCD bkg

Jets (W,Z+jets analyses only):

- Anti-kT algorithm with R=0.4
- p_T>20 GeV, |η|<2.8
- p_T , η -dependent corrections for difference in calo response between e & hadrons
- Jets within $\Delta R < 0.5$ of lepton were removed
- Jets from pile-up interactions removed
 - Compare tracks associated to primary vertex to all vertices inside jet

Missing transverse energy (W incl and W+jets)

Missing transverse energy: E_T^{miss}>25GeV

- calorimeter energy inside 3D topological clusters, corrected for difference in response of calorimeter to hadrons and electrons, dead-material losses, out of cluster corrections
 - Muon channel also corrects for muon momentum

Transverse mass of the lepton- E_T^{miss} system: $m_T > 40 GeV$





Leptonic backgrounds

- $W \rightarrow \tau \nu \rightarrow I \nu \nu \nu$, $Z \rightarrow II$, $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$, semi-leptonic decays of ttbar (I=e, μ)
 - from MC, normalised to integrated luminosity, using NNLO or NLO+NNLL σ

QCD background

- e: hadrons faking electrons, conversions, semi-leptonic heavy quark decays
- µ: semi-leptonic heavy quark decays

e.g. W+jets: fit E_T^{miss} to sum of two templates (E_T^{miss} >10GeV for e) per jet multiplicity

Signal+leptonic background template (from MC)



Yield of signal events: W,Z inclusive (L \cong 0.32pb⁻¹)

• Yield of signal events N^{sig} = N^{observed} - N^{background}

W incl: Nsig~2100 events

| l | Observed | Background | Background | Background-subtracted |
|----------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | candidates | $(EW+t\bar{t})$ | (QCD) | signal N_W^{sig} |
| e^+ | 637 | $18.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.7$ | $14.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 7.1$ | $604.2 \pm 25.2 \pm 7.6$ |
| e ⁻ | 432 | $14.7 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.3$ | $14.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 7.1$ | $403.2 \pm 20.8 \pm 7.5$ |
| e^{\pm} | 1069 | $33.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 3.0$ | $28.0 \pm 3.0 \pm 10.0$ | $1007.5 \pm 32.7 \pm 10.8$ |
| μ^+ | 710 | $42.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 2.9$ | $12.0 \pm 3.0 \pm 4.6$ | $655.6 \pm 26.6 \pm 6.2$ |
| μ^- | 471 | $35.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 2.4$ | $10.9 \pm 2.4 \pm 4.1$ | $425.0 \pm 21.7 \pm 5.4$ |
| μ^{\pm} | 1181 | $77.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 5.4$ | $22.8 \pm 4.6 \pm 8.7$ | $1080.6 \pm 34.4 \pm 11.2$ |

Z incl: $N^{sig} \sim 180$ events (within 66 < M_{II} < 116 GeV)

| l | Observed | Background | Background | Background-subtracted |
|-------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | candidates | $(EW+t\bar{t})$ | (QCD) | signal N_Z^{sig} |
| e^{\pm} | 70 | $0.27 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.41$ | $68.8 \pm 8.4 \pm 0.4$ |
| μ^{\pm} | 109 | $0.21 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04$ | $108.8 \pm 10.4 \pm 0.0$ |

Cross-section formalism: W,Z inclusive

$$\sigma_{W(Z)}^{\text{tot}} \cdot BR(W(Z) \to \ell \nu \ (\ell \ell)) = \frac{N_{W(Z)}^{\text{sig}}}{A_{W(Z)} \cdot C_{W(Z)} \cdot L_{W(Z)}},$$

.

- N^{sig}_{W(Z)}: number of background-subtracted events passing final selection
- L_{W(Z)}: integrated luminosity of channel (uncertainty of 11%)
- C_{W(Z)}: from PYTHIA (with some data-derived corrections). It gives the fraction of signal events surviving the selections, normalized to the signal that passes the kinematic and m_T or m_{II} cuts at the generator (Born) level.
 - It corrects for efficiency, resolution, and QED radiation effects.
- $A_{W(Z)}$: fraction of the signal that passes the kinematic and m_T or m_{\parallel} cuts at the generator level, normalized to the total sample.
 - It corrects to the total cross section.
 - This is a pure Monte Carlo quantity and is estimated using PYTHIA

Systematic uncertainties on $C_{W(Z)} \cong 0.65$ [e], 0.77 [µ]

Apart from luminosity uncertainty of 11%, dominant systematic uncertainties due to:

- Electron channel:
 - material effects in the detector, reconstruction and ID of electrons

| - | Parameter | | $\delta C_W/C_W(\%)$ | $\delta C_Z/C_Z(\%)$ | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| - | Trigger efficiency | | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | |
| | Material effects, reconstruction an | 5.6 | 8.8 | | |
| | Energy scale and resolution | | 3.3 | 1.9 | |
| | $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ scale and resolution | | 2.0 | - | |
| | Problematic regions in the calorin | neter | 1.4 | 2.7 | |
| | Pile-up | | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| | Charge misidentification | | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| | FSR modelling | | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| | Theoretical uncertainty (PDFs) | | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| - | Total uncertainty | | 7.0 | 9.4 | |
| Muor | n channel: | Parameter | | $\delta C_W/C_W(\%)$ | $\delta C_Z/C_Z(\%)$ |
| | | | | | |
| | | Trigger efficien | су | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| n 🛛 | nuon reco efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction | cy efficiency | 1.9 2.5 | 0.7 5.0 |
| • n | nuon reco efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction Momentum sca | cy efficiency le | 1.9 2.5 1.2 | 0.7 5.0 0.5 |
| • n • ti | nuon reco efficiency rigger efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction Momentum sca Momentum res | cy efficiency le olution | 1.9 2.5 1.2 0.2 | 0.7 5.0 0.5 0.5 |
| ■ n ■ ti | nuon reco efficiency rigger efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction Momentum sca Momentum res E ^{miss} scale and | cy efficiency le olution resolution | 1.9 2.5 1.2 0.2 2.0 | 0.7 5.0 0.5 0.5 |
| n ti | nuon reco efficiency rigger efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction Momentum sca Momentum res <i>E</i> ^{miss} scale and Isolation efficie | cy efficiency le olution resolution ency | 1.9 2.5 1.2 0.2 2.0 1.0 | 0.7 5.0 0.5 0.5 - 2.0 |
| • n • ti | nuon reco efficiency rigger efficiency | Trigger efficien Reconstruction Momentum sca Momentum res <i>E</i> ^{miss} scale and Isolation efficie Theoretical unc | cy efficiency le olution resolution ency vertainty (PDFs) | 1.9 2.5 1.2 0.2 2.0 1.0 0.3 | 0.7 5.0 0.5 0.5 2.0 0.3 |

Systematic uncertainties on A_{W(Z)}

- The acceptances from PYTHIA with the modified leading order parton distribution function set MRST LO* and the corresponding ATLAS MC09 tune
- Systematic uncertainties dominated by the limited knowledge of the proton PDFs and the modelling of the W and Z boson production at the LHC.
- Looked at:
 - Uncertainties within one PDF set: CTEQ 6.6 PDF error eigenvector sets at 90% C.L. with MC@NLO acceptance calculation
 - Compared MRST LO* with CTEQ 6.6 PDF and HERAPDF 1.0 sets
 - Compared PYTHIA vs MC@NLO with one PDF set (CTEQ 6.6)
- Estimate uncertainties on A_W is 3% and A_Z is 4%

Total inclusive cross section results ($L \cong 0.32 \text{ pb}^{-1}$)



W/Z inclusive cross-section ratios (L $\simeq 0.32 \text{ pb}^{-1}$)



Results are dominated by statistical uncertainty

W-charge asymmetry ($L \cong 0.32 \text{ pb}^{-1}$)



12

- In contrast to p-pbar collisions, cross sections for W⁺ and W⁻ production are expected to be different in p-p collisions due to different valence quark distributions
- W-boson charge asymmetry derived from inclusive cross sections prior to acceptance $A_{\ell} = \frac{\sigma_{W^+}^{\text{hd}} - \sigma_{W^-}^{\text{hd}}}{\sigma_{W^+}^{\text{fid}} + \sigma_{W^-}^{\text{fid}}}$ (A_W) correction: fiducial cross section σ^{fid}
- Compared to various models
 - Asymmetry confirmed but data don't have discriminating power between models



Yield of events: W_{z} +jets (L \cong 1.3 pb⁻¹)

■ W+jets: observed events and background: N^{observed}~2000 events for N_{iet}≥1

| | Electron channel | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| _ | process | $N_{jet} \ge 0$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 1$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 2$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 3$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 4$ |
| e | | | | | | |
| | QCD | $130 {}^{+20}_{-60}$ | $100 + 20 \\ -40$ | 45^{+7}_{-20} | $18 \frac{+3}{-8}$ | - |
| | $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ | 113 ± 11 | 25 ± 5 | 4 ± 2 | 0.5 ± 0.4 | - |
| | $Z \rightarrow ee$ | 10 ± 8 | 7 ± 6 | 3 ± 2 | 1 ± 1 | - |
| | $t\overline{t}$ | 17 ± 2 | 17 ± 2 | 17 ± 2 | 14 ± 2 | - |
| | Observed in Data | 4216 | 987 | 276 | 83 | - |

| | Muon channel process | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 0$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 1$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 2$ | $N_{\rm jet} \geq 3$ | $N_{\rm jet} \ge 4$ |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| μ | QCD | 30 ± 20 | 20 ± 13 | $4^{+10}_{-4}_{-4}$ | 2 ± 2 | 1 ± 1 |
| | $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ $t \bar{t}$ | 133 ± 12 170 ± 14 18 ± 2 | 24 ± 6 30 ± 4 18 ± 2 | 5 ± 2 8 ± 1 18 ± 2 | 0.9 ± 0.5 2 ± 0.5 16 ± 2 | 0.4 ± 0.3 0.6 ± 0.2 11 ± 1 |
| | Observed in Data | 4911 | 1049 | 292 | 95 | 36 |

• Z+jets: observed events: N^{observed}~190 events for $N_{iet} \ge 1$ (within 71< M_{II} <111 GeV)

| | N _{jet} ≥1 | N _{jet} ≥2 | N _{jet} ≥3 | N _{jet} ≥4 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Z/γ*(→ee)+jets | 82 | 26 | 9 | 2 |
| $Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow \mu\mu)+jets$ | 110 | 31 | 8 | 2 |



e.g. W+jets: Yield of signal events corrected back to particle level

- Take into account detector and reconstruction efficiencies
- Use ALPGEN, restricting to same phase-space as data
- Particle-level jets constructed using jet-finder on all "long-lived" particles
- Correction factors calculated as 1D functions of jet multiplicity and p_T of leading and next-to-leading jets
 - Some data-derived correction factors e.g. for trigger efficiency
- Biases in the procedure for correcting for detector effects (compare ALPGEN and SHERPA) found to be negligible in comparison to experimental systematics
- Similar procedure for Z+jets
 - See systematic uncertainty table for unfolding systematic

W+jets: experimental systematic uncertainties

Dominant systematic uncertainties

- Luminosity determination (11%)
- Jet energy scale uncertainty

See backup slides for table of uncertainties

15

- 10% at 20 GeV to 8% at 100 GeV plus 5% for difference in calorimeter response to quark and gluon-initiated jets
- QCD template in the electron channel
- Electron identification and muon reconstruction



Dominant systematic uncertainties

- Luminosity determination (11%)
- Jet energy scale and resolution uncertainty
- QCD background in the electron channel
- Lepton reconstruction/identification

See backup slides for table of uncertainties





Comparison of results with predictions

Results shown in comparison to

- PYTHIA (LO)
- ALPGEN & SHERPA (match higher mult matrix elements to a LL parton shower)
- MCFM (provides NLO predictions for Njet≤2, LO for 3 jets)
 - Systematic uncertainties for W+jets:
 - Fragmentation: compare PYTHIA to HERWIG
 - Underlying event: compare AMBT1 tune to JIMMY tune and vary AMBT1 tune to increase underlying event activity by 10%
 - Normalisation&factorisation: vary scales by factor of 2
 - PDF: use 22 eigenvectors of CTEQ6.6, use MSTW2008
 - Systematic uncertainties for Z+jets:
 - Fragmentation& underlying event: turn off both interactions between proton remnants and the string fragmentation, use HERWIG+JIMMY and PYTHIA MC with different UE tunes (ATLAS-MC09, DW and AMBT1).
 - Normalisation&factorisation: vary scales by factor of 2
 - PDF: Hessian method

AMBT1 (ATLAS Min Bias Tune 1): tuning PYTHIA6 to LHC data at $\sqrt{s}=0.9$ %7 TeV, ATLAS-CONF-2010-031 (2010).

W + \ge N_{iet} cross sections (L \cong 1.3 pb⁻¹)



- Cross-sections given in the phase-space of the measurement
 - Kinematic requirements on lepton, jet, E_T^{miss}, m_T

$(W + \ge N_{jet})/(W + \ge N_{jet} - 1)$ cross-section ratios



W+jets cross section vs jet p_T



20

$Z/\gamma^* + \ge N_{jet}$ cross sections (L \cong 1.3 pb⁻¹)



Cross-sections given in the phase-space of the measurement

Kinematic requirements on lepton, jet, M_{II}

Z/γ^* + jets cross section vs jet p_T



Conclusions and summary

- Presented the very first results from ATLAS on W,Z and W,Z+jets production cross sections in the electron and muon decay channels!
 - Based on 0.32-1.3 pb⁻¹ (only ~3% of the available data from 2010 run!)
 - These results have set the stage for the future high statistics analyses
- Experimental uncertainties largely dominate the current results
 - Absolute measurements dominated by lumi and experimental uncertainties
 - Ratios mostly still dominated by statistics
 - Agreement with predictions but no discriminating power with models... yet!

23





BONUS SLIDES!

Monte-Carlo samples: W,Z inclusive

Need MC samples to simulate both the signal and background

- For the W and Z signal processes:
 - W $\rightarrow e/\mu\nu$, Z $\rightarrow ee/\mu\mu$ samples (MRST LO^{*}, corrected to NNLO)
- For the backgrounds the following processes were considered:
 - W $\rightarrow e/\mu/\tau v$, Z $\rightarrow ee/\mu \mu/\tau \tau$ (MRST LO^{*}, corrected to NNLO)
 - ttbar (NLO+NNLL)
 - Jet production via QCD processes (di-jet LO MC)
- $W \rightarrow I_V, Z \rightarrow II, QCD di-jet MC: generated with in-time pileup$

| Physics process | Generator | σ· BR [nb] | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| $W \rightarrow \ell v \ (\ell = e, \mu)$ | PYTHIA [25] | 10.46 ± 0.52 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $W^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$ | | 6.16±0.31 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $W^- \rightarrow \ell^- \overline{V}$ | | 4.30 ± 0.21 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $Z/\gamma^* \to \ell \ell (m_{\ell \ell} > 60 \text{ GeV})$ | PYTHIA | 0.99 ± 0.05 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $W \rightarrow \tau v$ | PYTHIA | 10.46 ± 0.52 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $W \rightarrow \tau \nu \rightarrow \ell \nu \nu \nu$ | PYTHIA | 3.68 ± 0.18 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau (m_{\ell\ell} > 60 \text{ GeV})$ | PYTHIA | 0.99 ± 0.05 | NNLO | [5,8] |
| tī | MC@NLO [26,27], | 0.16 ± 0.01 | NLO+NNLL | [28-30] |
| | POWHEG [31] | | | |
| Dijet (<i>e</i> channel, $\hat{p}_{T} > 15 \text{ GeV}$) | PYTHIA | 1.2×10^{6} | LO | [25] |
| Dijet (μ channel, $\hat{p}_{T} > 8 \text{ GeV}$) | PYTHIA | 10.6×10^{6} | LO | [25] |
| $b\overline{b}$ (μ channel, $\hat{p}_{T} > 18 \text{ GeV}, p_{T}(\mu) > 15 \text{ GeV}$) | PYTHIA | 73.9 | LO | [25] |
| $c\overline{c}$ (μ channel, $\hat{p}_{T} > 18 \text{ GeV}, p_{T}(\mu) > 15 \text{ GeV}$) | PYTHIA | 28.4 | LO | [25] |



Monte-Carlo samples: W,Z + jets

| Physics process | Generator | 0 | $\tau \cdot BR (nb)$ | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|
| $W \to \ell \nu$ inclusive $(\ell = e, \mu, \tau)$ | PYTHIA 6.4.21 [21] | 10.46 | NNLO | [14] |
| $W^+ \to \ell^+ \nu$ | | 6.16 | NNLO | [14] |
| $W^- \rightarrow \ell^- \overline{\nu}$ | | 4.30 | NNLO | [14] |
| $W \to \ell \nu + \text{jets} \ (\ell = e, \mu, \tau)$ | PYTHIA 6.4.21 [21] | | | |
| $W \to \ell \nu + \text{jets} \ (\ell = e, \mu, \tau, \ 0 \le N_{parton} \le 5)$ | ALPGEN 2.13 [22] | | | |
| $W \to \ell \nu + \text{jets} \ (\ell = e, \mu, \tau, \ 0 \le N_{parton} \le 4)$ | SHERPA 1.1.3 [23] | | | |
| $Z \to \ell \ell + \text{jets} \ (m_{\ell \ell} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ 0 \le N_{parton} \le 5)$ | ALPGEN 2.13 [22] | 1.07 | NNLO | [14] |
| $t\overline{t}$ | POWHEG-HVQ | | | |
| | v1.01 patch 4 [24] | 0.16 | NLO+NNLL | [25] |
| Dijet (e channel, $\hat{p}_{\rm T} > 15 {\rm ~GeV}$) | PYTHIA 6.4.21 [21] | 1.2×10^{6} | $_{\rm LO}$ | [21] |
| Dijet (μ channel, $\hat{p}_{\rm T} > 8$ GeV, $p_{\rm T}^{\mu} > 8$ GeV) | PYTHIA 6.4.21 [21] | 10.6×10^6 | LO | [21] |

| Physics process | Generator | $\sigma \times Br(nb)$ |
|--|--------------|------------------------|
| $Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow ll)$ +jets, $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ($m_{ll} > 40$ GeV, $0 \le N_{parton} \le 5$) | ALPGEN | 1.07 (NNLO) |
| $Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow ll)$ +jets, $l = e, \mu \ (m_{ll} > 60 \text{ GeV}, 0 \le N_{parton} \le 4)$ | SHERPA | 0.99 (NNLO) |
| $Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow ll)$ +jets, $l = e, \mu \ (m_{ll} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ \hat{p}_t > 10 \text{ GeV})$ | PYTHIA | 0.47 (LO) |
| $Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow ll)$ +jets, $l = e, \mu \ (m_{ll} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ \hat{p}_t > 10 \text{ GeV})$ | HERWIG+JIMMY | 0.37 (LO) |
| $W(\rightarrow l\nu_l)$ +jets, $l = e, \mu$ | ALPGEN | 10.46 (NNLO) |
| $t\overline{t}$ (lepton + X final state) | MC@NLO | 0.16 (NLO) |
| $WW + WZ + ZZ$ (2 leptons + X final state, $0 \le N_{parton} \le 3$) | ALPGEN | 0.007 (NLO) |
| Dijets (inclusive jets, electron filter $E_T > 17$ GeV) | PYTHIA | 97700 (LO) |
| Dijets $(bb + c\overline{c}, \text{muon filter } p_{\text{T}} > 15 \text{ GeV})$ | PYTHIA | 102.3 (LO) |

26

W+jets: systematic uncertainties for $N_{jet} \ge 1$

| | e channel | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Cross Section | | | |
| Effect | Range | Uncertainty (%) | | | |
| Jet energy scale and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ | $\pm 10\%$ (dependent on jet η and $p_{\rm T}$) $\oplus 5\%$ | +11, -9 | | | |
| Jet energy resolution | 14% on each jet | ± 1.0 | | | |
| Electron trigger | $\pm 0.5\%$ | ∓ 0.7 | | | |
| Electron identification | $\pm 5.2\%$ | ∓ 5.5 | | | |
| Electron energy scale | $\pm 3\%$ | +3.9, -4.7 | | | |
| Pile–up removal cut | $4-7\%$ in lowest jet $p_{\rm T}$ bin | ± 1.9 | | | |
| Residual pile-up effects | from simulation | ± 2.2 | | | |
| QCD background shape | from template variation | -1.5, +5.2 | | | |
| Luminosity | $\pm 11\%$ | -10, +13 | | | |

| | μ channel | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | | Cross Section | | |
| Effect | Range | Uncertainty (%) | | |
| Jet energy scale and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ | $\pm 10\%$ (dependent on jet η and $p_{\rm T}$) $\oplus 5\%$ | +11, -9 | | |
| Jet energy resolution | 14% on each jet | ± 1.8 | | |
| Muon trigger | $\pm 2.5\%$ in barrel, $\pm 2.0\%$ in endcap | ∓ 1.6 | | |
| Muon reconstruction | $\pm 5.6\%$ | -5.4, +5.9 | | |
| Muon momentum scale | $\pm 1\%$ | +2, -0.9 | | |
| Muon momentum resolution | $\pm 5\%$ in barrel, $\pm 9\%$ in endcap | ± 1.4 | | |
| Pile–up removal cut | $4-7\%$ in lowest jet $p_{\rm T}$ bin | ± 1.7 | | |
| Residual pile-up effects | from simulation | ± 1.4 | | |
| Luminosity | $\pm 11\%$ | -11, +13 | | |

Z+jets: systematic uncertainties for $N_{jet} \ge 1$

| <i>e</i> channel | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Source | range | uncertainty on cross section (%) | |
| Jet energy scale | 7% to 8%, depending on $p_{ m T}^{ m jet}$ and $\eta^{ m jet}\oplus$ 5% | 10% to 20% | |
| Jet energy resolution | 14% per jet | 8% to 2% | |
| Pile-up removal | 4% in first $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ bin | 4% at $p_{ m T}^{ m jet}$ $<$ 30 GeV | |
| QCD background | 100% uncertainty | 4% to 6% | |
| $t\overline{t}, Z/W$ +jets, dibosons | 6%, 5%, 5% on normalization | 1% | |
| Lepton reconstruction | 4.9% independent on N_{jet} and p_T^{jet} | 10% | |
| Unfolding | using SHERPA instead of ALPGEN | 2% to 6% | |
| | μ channel | | |
| Source | range | uncertainty on cross section (%) | |
| Jet energy scale | 7% to 8%, depending on $p_{ m T}^{ m jet}$ and $\eta^{ m jet}\oplus$ 5% | 10% to 20% | |
| Jet energy resolution | 14% per jet | 8% to 2% | |
| Pile-up removal | 4% in first $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ bin | 4% at $p_{ m T}^{ m jet} <$ 30 GeV | |
| QCD background | 100% uncertainty | < 1% | |
| $t\overline{t}, Z/W$ +jets, dibosons | 6%, 5%, 5% on normalization | < 1% | |
| Lepton reconstruction | 7% independent on N _{jet} and p _T ^{jet} | 12% | |
| Unfolding | using SHERPA instead of ALPGEN | 2% to 6% | |



Inclusive cross-section results + ratios

| | $\sigma_{W^{(\pm)}}^{\text{tot}} \cdot BR(W \to ev) \text{ [nb]}$ | $\sigma_{W^{(\pm)}}^{\text{tot}} \cdot \text{BR}(W \to \mu \nu) \text{ [nb]}$ |
|--------------|--|--|
| W^+ | $6.27 \pm 0.26(\text{stat}) \pm 0.48(\text{syst}) \pm 0.69(\text{lumi})$ | $5.71 \pm 0.23(\text{stat}) \pm 0.30(\text{syst}) \pm 0.63(\text{lumi})$ |
| W^- | $4.23 \pm 0.22(\text{stat}) \pm 0.33(\text{syst}) \pm 0.47(\text{lumi})$ | $3.86 \pm 0.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.20(\text{syst}) \pm 0.42(\text{lumi})$ |
| W | $10.51 \pm 0.34(\text{stat}) \pm 0.81(\text{syst}) \pm 1.16(\text{lumi})$ | $9.58 \pm 0.30(\text{stat}) \pm 0.50(\text{syst}) \pm 1.05(\text{lumi})$ |
| | | |
| | $\sigma_{Z/\gamma^*}^{\text{tot}} \cdot \text{BR}(Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee)$ [nb], 66 < m_{ee} < 116 GeV | $\sigma_{Z/\gamma^*}^{\text{tot}} \cdot \text{BR}(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu) \text{ [nb]},$ $66 < m_{\mu\mu} < 116 \text{ GeV}$ |
| Z/γ^* | $0.75 \pm 0.09(\text{stat}) \pm 0.08(\text{syst}) \pm 0.08(\text{lumi})$ | $0.87 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.06(\text{syst}) \pm 0.10(\text{lumi})$ |

| | $R^e_{W^{(\pm)}/Z}$ | $R^{\mu}_{W^{(\pm)}/Z}$ |
|-------|--|--|
| W^+ | $8.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.6 \text{ (syst)}$ | $6.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (syst)}$ |
| W^- | $5.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (syst)}$ | 4.4 ± 0.5 (stat) ± 0.2 (syst) |
| W | $14.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.9 \text{ (syst)}$ | $11.0 \pm 1.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (syst)}$ |



| Iet | | | | MCFM | | | MCFM | |
|-----------------|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| multi | multiplicity $W \to e\nu$ (nb) | | | $W \rightarrow e\nu \text{ (nb)}$ | $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$ (nb) | $W \rightarrow \mu \nu \text{ (nb)}$ | | |
| manipheng | | | | , (112) | | | | |
| \geq | $\geq 0 \qquad 4.53 \pm 0.07 {}^{+0.35}_{-0.30} {}^{+0.58}_{-0.47}$ | | $5.08^{+0.11}_{-0.30}$ | $4.58 \pm 0.07 \substack{+0.38 \\ -0.32 } \substack{+0.61 \\ -0.48}$ | | $5.27^{+0.11}_{-0.32}$ | | |
| \geq | 1 | 0.84 | $4 \pm 0.03^{+0.13}_{-0.10} {}^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ | $0.81\substack{+0.02\\-0.04}$ | $0.84 \pm 0.03 \substack{+0.11 \\ -0.09}$ | +0.11 -0.09 | $0.84^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$ | |
| ≥ 2 0.21 | | 0.21 | $1 \pm 0.01 \stackrel{+0.04}{_{-0.03}} \stackrel{+0.03}{_{-0.02}}$ | $0.21_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02 \substack{+0.04 \\ -0.03 } \substack{+0.03 \\ -0.02}$ | | $0.21_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ | |
| ≥ 3 (| | $0.047 \pm 0.007^{+0.014}_{-0.011} {}^{+0.008}_{-0.011}$ | | 0.05 ± 0.02 | $0.064 \pm 0.008 \substack{+0.016 \\ -0.014 } \substack{+0.010 \\ -0.008}$ | | 0.05 ± 0.02 | |
| ≥ 4 | | | - | - | $0.019 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006 \substack{+0.004 \\ -0.003}$ | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Jet | | | MCFM | MCI | | M | |
| multiplic | | olicity | $W \rightarrow e\nu$ | $W \to e\nu$ | $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$ | $W \rightarrow$ | $\mu\nu$ | |
| $\geq 1/\geq 0$ | | ≥ 0 | $0.185 \pm 0.007^{+0.025}_{-0.019}$ | $0.159^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$ | $0.183 \pm 0.007^{+0.023}_{-0.020}$ | $0.160^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$ | | |
| $\geq 2/\geq 1$ | | ≥ 1 | $0.250 \pm 0.019^{+0.019}_{-0.010}$ | $0.255^{+0.017}_{-0.022}$ | $0.274 \pm 0.020^{+0.018}_{-0.011}$ | 0.255^{+}_{-} | $0.017 \\ 0.021$ | |
| $\geq 3/\geq 2$ | | ≥ 2 | $0.224 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.02$ | $2 0.241^{+0.108}_{-0.061}$ | $0.278 \pm 0.041^{+0.024}_{-0.020}$ | 0.242^{+}_{-} | $0.104 \\ 0.061$ | |
| $\geq 4/\geq 3$ | | ≥ 3 | - | - | $0.297 \pm 0.088^{+0.037}_{-0.026}$ | - | | |
| | | | | | | | 30 | |