Status of the Run 3 trigger white paper

David Curtin, Yuri Gershtein & the authors
On behalf of the LHC LLP WG
20 Nov 2020
Eighth workshop of the LHC LLP Community



Quizzes

TV & Movies

Shopping

Videos

News

Tasty



Because there is nothing better than pictures of the Backstreet Boys and NSYNC in the '90s/early 2000s.



Matt Stopera • 27 minutes ago



Trending

38 Useful Or Downright Cute Triggers To Get For Your Next LHC Run

You won't believe #12!



CERN □ 19 minutes ago



26 Minor Characters Who Were Way More

New LLP triggers for run 3

We've been talking about LLP trigger opportunities at almost every LLP workshop for the past few years. With the start of Run 3 coming up, now is the time to 'put up or shut up'.

At the last LLP workshop, there was a fruitful discussion on new triggers and we* decided to summarize these discussions in a white paper, focusing on **concrete** and hopefully **realistic** trigger ideas that in our opinion should be a priority for Run 3.

*we = LLP WG conveners, David, Yuri + ATLAS/CMS/LHCb experts who joined the effort.... More on the authorlist later.....

Current Status: We have a complete draft, including ~15 concrete trigger suggestions for ATLAS/CMS, and ~15 for LHCb. :)

ATLAS/CMS and LHCb

We discuss ATLAS/CMS together, since the two big detectors have general similarities (though we discuss individually where appropriate).

LHCb is discussed separately, since

- 1) it is of a very different design,
- 2) has very fine-grained capabilities in Run 3 (no hardware trigger layer),
- 3) our understanding of LHCb BSM reach has expanded considerably in last few years.

We want to highlight the complementarity between LHCb and ATLAS/CMS.

LHCb's unique capabilities should more than make up for its lower lumi dataset for many BSM scenarios, especially LLPs with modest masses below ~ 50 - 100 GeV, including ones produced in Higgs decays.



Opportunities for new long-lived particle triggers in Run 3 of the Large Hadron Collider

ABSTRACT: Long-lived particles (LLPs) are highly motivated signals of Beyond Standard M (BSM) physics with great discovery potential and unique experimental challenges. The LLP search programme has made great advances during Run 2 of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), but many important regions of signal space remain unexplored. To fully exploit the opportunities that the increased luminosity of Run 3 provides, it is imperative that LLP triggers be preserved and expanded. In this document we present a list of concrete and highly motivated suggestions for new LLP triggers at ATLAS, CMS and LHCb that can be implemented for Run 3. These triggers would greatly extend the reach of the LHC experiments for BSM signals that would otherwise escape detection, and their development should be a high priority. [Draft document, 16 Nov 2020]

	Intr	oduction and executive summary	2	
2	ATL	AS and CMS	5	Strictly focused an what is
	2.1	Using tracker information at the HLT for displaced jets		Strictly focused on what is
		2.1.1 CMS	6	possible for Run 3 only!
		2.1.2 ATLAS	7	possible for right comp.
	2.2	Opportunities for calorimeter-based LLP triggers at L1 and the HLT	8	
		2.2.1 New L1 triggers using calorimeters	8	ATLAS/CMS trigger ideas
		2.2.2 Exploiting calorimeter timing at HLT	9	
	2.3	Using lepton L1 seeds to enable tracking of displaced leptons at the HLT	9	are organized by
		2.3.1 Single displaced leptons	10	detector/trigger
		2.3.2 Multi-lepton triggers	10	
		2.3.3 Other lepton-based trigger strategies	11	subsystem.
	2.4	Using L1 muon seeds to enable use of muon-system timing information at the HLT	11	
		2.4.1 Fractionally-charged particles	12	LUCh triager ideas are
		2.4.2 Displaced or delayed muons	13	LHCb trigger ideas are
	2.5	2.5 Displaced objects in the muon system at L1		organized by LLP decay
		2.5.1 ATLAS	13	
		2.5.2 CMS	13	mode/mass (due to more
3	2.5.1 ATLAS 2.5.2 CMS LHCb 3.1 Displaced jets 3.2 Displaced light hadrons 3.3 Displaced (di-)muons and (di-)tau leptons 3.4 Displaced (di-)electrons and (di-)photons 3.5 Specific opportunities for a GPU-based HLT1 3.6 Other challenging ideas			detailed and complete
	3.1	3.1 Displaced jets		•
	3.2	Displaced light hadrons	17	online reconstruction
	3.3	Displaced (di-)muons and (di-)tau leptons	18	available).
	3.4 Displaced (di-)electrons and (di-)photons			avallabiej.
	3.5	Specific opportunities for a GPU-based HLT1	21	
	3.6	Other challenging ideas	22	

Ph	ysics motivation example					
LL	Ps in low- H_T events, e.g.					
fro	m exotic Higgs decay					
LLPs in low- H_T events, also emerging jets, dark showers, dis-						
						appearing tracks, etc.
displaced jet + X searches, e.g.						
lov	v mass LLPs or dark showers					
recoiling against SM objects						
Ha	dronically decaying LLPs					
wi	th low-HT where displaced					
track reconstruction is particu-						
larly difficult						
Slow LLPs (heavy or produced						
nea	ar threshold)					
LLP decaying in calorimet analogous to existing ATL						
					cap	pability
Dr	amatic reduction of HLT					
thr	esholds for various LLP					
sce	enarios					
GN	MSB					
Soft displaced leptons; GMSB staus, freeze-in DM, LLPs from						
				higgs decays Soft displaced multi-lepton, e.g.		
daı	k photons, dark shower					
Fra	actionally charged particles					
Displaced muons with impact						
par	rameter > 10s of cm					
Ha	dronic LLPs decaying in					
	ion system, analogous to					

existing ATLAS triggers

Table 1. Summary of new ATLAS/CMS trigger suggestions for Run 3. The new component of each trigger is marked with a star *.

Detector	New LLP Trigger	Physics motivation example	Section	
	,	Hadronically decaying LLPs < 15 GeV	3.1	
		General LLPs that decay hadronically		
		Hadronically decaying LLPs with masses $\sim \mathcal{O}(\text{GeV})$	3.2	
		ditto		
		LLPs that decay through Higgs portal, in par- ticular for ≤ 50 GeV masses that are most challenging for main detectors	3.3	
		Heavy neutral leptons		
		Dark photon, dark showers	3.4	
		Axion-like particles, dark showers Increase trigger efficiency for hadronic modes by relying on impact parameter (speed up with VELO-only Kalman filter)		
		Use of electromagnetic calorimeter cluster for selecting neutral LLPs	3.5	
		Addition of downstream tracks to trigger LLPs decaying outside the VELO region		
		Implementation of computationally expensive algorithms		
		Fractionally charged and massive stable charged particles	3.6	
SUEP		SUEPs		

Table 2. Summary of new LHCb trigger suggestions for Run 3.

PRELIMINARY DO

Right now: 0th order Feedback?

Did we leave out any important/obvious LLP trigger ideas that could realistically be implemented for Run 3?

→ leave a comment in these slides, or email David/Yuri/LLP WG Conveners!

(We plan to eventually release the document draft for more detailed feedback within the LLP community/WG, but we need to coordinate this with experimental collaborations, see next slide.)

Gathering official feedback & Strategy for publication

The original aim is to make this public on arXiv/CDS before the end of 2020. Since this is an LHCC LLP Working Group document and should carry the weight of officially endorsed recommendations, we want buy-in from the experimental collaborations.

In the next few days we plan to start disseminating the white paper draft within ATLAS/CMS/LHCb collaborations, and collect feedback and necessary acknowledgements, especially from LLP/trigger experts. We will add people who give feedback to the author list.

Once the collaborations have a chance to vet the document, we will release a draft for a period of public feedback.

After that, we will finalize and eventually upload to arXiv/CDS, either late 2020 or early 2021.